

Day Count Conventions: Actual/Actual

- The first “actual” refers to the actual number of days in a month.
- The second refers to the actual number of days in a year
- The number of days between June 17, 1992, and October 1, 1992, is 106.
 - 13 days in June, 31 days in July, 31 days in August, 30 days in September, and 1 day in October.

Day Count Conventions: 30/360

- Each month has 30 days and each year 360 days.
- The number of days between June 17, 1992, and October 1, 1992, is 104.
 - 13 days in June, 30 days in July, 30 days in August, 30 days in September, and 1 day in October.
- In general, the number of days from date (y_1, m_1, d_1) to date (y_2, m_2, d_2) is

$$360 \times (y_2 - y_1) + 30 \times (m_2 - m_1) + (d_2 - d_1). \quad (11)$$

Day Count Conventions: 30/360 (continued)

- If d_1 or d_2 is 31, we must change it to 30 *before* applying formula (11).^a
- Hence:
 - There are 3 days between February 28 and March 1.
 - There are 2 days between February 29 and March 1.
 - There are 29 days between March 1 and March 31.

^aThis is the simplest of all the “30/360” variations (called the “30E/360” convention), used mainly in the Eurobond market (Kosowski & Neftci, 2015).

Day Count Conventions: 30/360 (concluded)

- An equivalent formula to (11) on p. 81 without any adjustment is (check it)

$$360 \times (y_2 - y_1) + 30 \times (m_2 - m_1 - 1) \\ + \max(30 - d_1, 0) + \min(d_2, 30).$$

- There are many variations on the “30/360” convention regarding 31, February 28, and February 29.^a


^aKosowski & Neftci (2015).

Full Price (Dirty Price, Invoice Price)

- In reality, the settlement date may fall on any day between two coupon payment dates.
- Let

$$\omega \triangleq \frac{\text{number of days between the settlement and the next coupon payment date}}{\text{number of days in the coupon period}}. \quad (12)$$

Full Price (continued)



Full Price (concluded)

- The price is now calculated by

$$\begin{aligned} \text{PV} &= \frac{C}{\left(1 + \frac{r}{m}\right)^\omega} + \frac{C}{\left(1 + \frac{r}{m}\right)^{\omega+1}} \cdots \\ &= \sum_{i=0}^{n-1} \frac{C}{\left(1 + \frac{r}{m}\right)^{\omega+i}} + \frac{F}{\left(1 + \frac{r}{m}\right)^{\omega+n-1}}. \end{aligned} \quad (13)$$

Accrued Interest

- The quoted price in the U.S./U.K. does not include the accrued interest; it is called the clean price or flat price.
- The buyer pays the invoice price: the quoted price *plus* the accrued interest (AI).
- AI equals

$$C \times \frac{\text{number of days from the last coupon payment to the settlement date}}{\text{number of days in the coupon period}} = C \times (1 - \omega).$$

Accrued Interest (concluded)

- The yield to maturity is the r satisfying Eq. (13) on p. 86 when PV is the invoice price:

$$\text{clean price} + \text{AI} = \sum_{i=0}^{n-1} \frac{C}{\left(1 + \frac{r}{m}\right)^{\omega+i}} + \frac{F}{\left(1 + \frac{r}{m}\right)^{\omega+n-1}}.$$

Example (“30/360”)

- A bond with a 10% coupon rate and paying interest semiannually, with clean price 111.2891.
- The settlement date is July 1, 1993, and the maturity date is March 1, 1995.
- There are 60 days between July 1, 1993, and the next coupon date, September 1, 1993.
- The accrued interest is $(10/2) \times (1 - \frac{60}{180}) = 3.3333$ per \$100 of par value.

Example (“30/360”) (concluded)

- The yield to maturity is 3%.
- This can be verified by Eq. (13) on p. 86 with
 - $\omega = 60/180$,
 - $n = 4$,
 - $m = 2$,
 - $F = 100$,
 - $C = 5$,
 - $PV = 111.2891 + 3.3333$,
 - $r = 0.03$.

Price Behavior (2) Revisited

- Previously, a bond selling at par if the yield to maturity equals the coupon rate.
- But it assumed that the settlement date is on a coupon payment date.
- Suppose the settlement date for a bond selling at par^a falls between two coupon payment dates.
- Then its yield to maturity is *less* than the coupon rate.^b
 - The reason: Exponential growth *to* C is replaced by linear growth, hence overpaying the accrued interest.

^aThe *quoted price* equals the par value.

^bSee Exercise 3.5.6 of the textbook for proof.

Bond Price Volatility

“Well, Beethoven, what is this?^a”
— Attributed to Prince Anton Esterházy

^aMass in C major, Op. 86 (1808).

Price Volatility

- Volatility measures how bond prices respond to interest rate changes.
- It is key to the risk management of interest rate-sensitive securities.

Price Volatility (concluded)

- What is the sensitivity of the percentage price change to changes in interest rates?
- Define price volatility by

$$-\frac{\frac{\partial P}{\partial y}}{P}. \quad (14)$$

- Intuitively,

$$\frac{\Delta P}{P} \approx -\text{price volatility} \times \Delta y.$$

Price Volatility of Bonds

- The price volatility of a level-coupon bond is

$$-\frac{(C/y)n - (C/y^2)((1+y)^{n+1} - (1+y)) - nF}{(C/y)((1+y)^{n+1} - (1+y)) + F(1+y)}.$$

- F is the par value.
 - C is the coupon payment per period.
 - Formula can be simplified a bit with $C = Fc/m$.
- For the above bond,

$$-\frac{\frac{\partial P}{\partial y}}{P} > 0.$$

Macaulay Duration^a

- The Macaulay duration (MD) is a weighted average of the times to an asset's cash flows.
- The weights are the cash flows' PVs divided by the asset's price.
- Formally,

$$\text{MD} \triangleq \frac{1}{P} \sum_{i=1}^n \frac{C_i}{(1+y)^i} i.$$

- What if $C_i = (1+c)^i$ for some constant c and letting $n \rightarrow \infty$ and assuming $c > y$?^b

^aFrederick Macaulay (1882–1970) in 1938.

^bContributed by Mr. Chen, Yu-Hsing (B06901048, R11922045) on March 3, 2023.

Macauley Duration (concluded)

- The Macauley duration, in periods, is equal to

$$\text{MD} = -(1 + y) \frac{\partial P}{\partial y} \frac{1}{P}. \quad (15)$$

MD of Bonds

- The MD of a level-coupon bond is

$$\text{MD} = \frac{1}{P} \left[\sum_{i=1}^n \frac{iC}{(1+y)^i} + \frac{nF}{(1+y)^n} \right]. \quad (16)$$

- It can be simplified to

$$\text{MD} = \frac{c(1+y) [(1+y)^n - 1] + ny(y-c)}{cy [(1+y)^n - 1] + y^2},$$

where c is the period coupon rate.

- The MD of a level-coupon bond ($c > 0$) is less than n .
- The MD of a zero-coupon bond ($c = 0$) equals n , its term to maturity.

Remarks

- Formulas (15) on p. 98 and (16) on p. 99 hold only if the coupon C , the par value F , and the maturity n are all independent of the yield y .
 - That is, if the cash flow is independent of yields.
- To see this point, suppose the market yield declines.
- The MD will be lengthened.
- But for securities whose maturity actually decreases as a result, the price volatility^a may decrease.

^aAs originally defined in formula (14) on p. 95.

How *Not* To Think about MD

- The MD has its origin in measuring the length of time a bond investment is outstanding.
- But it should be seen mainly as measuring *price volatility*.
- Duration of a security can be longer than its maturity or negative!
- Neither makes sense under the maturity interpretation.
- Many, if not most, duration-related terminology can only be comprehended as measuring volatility.

Conversion

- For the MD to be year-based, modify formula (16) on p. 99 to

$$\frac{1}{P} \left[\sum_{i=1}^n \frac{i}{k} \frac{C}{\left(1 + \frac{y}{k}\right)^i} + \frac{n}{k} \frac{F}{\left(1 + \frac{y}{k}\right)^n} \right],$$

where y is the *annual* yield and k is the compounding frequency per annum.

- Formula (15) on p. 98 also becomes

$$\text{MD} = - \left(1 + \frac{y}{k}\right) \frac{\partial P}{\partial y} \frac{1}{P}.$$

- By definition, MD (in years) = $\frac{\text{MD (in periods)}}{k}$.

Modified Duration

- Modified duration is defined as

$$\text{modified duration} \triangleq -\frac{\partial P}{\partial y} \frac{1}{P} = \frac{\text{MD}}{(1+y)}. \quad (17)$$

- Modified duration equals MD under continuous compounding.
- By the Taylor expansion,
percent price change \approx $-\text{modified duration} \times \text{yield change}$.

Example

- Consider a bond whose modified duration is 11.54 with a yield of 10%.
- If the yield increases instantaneously from 10% to 10.1%, the approximate percentage price change will be

$$-11.54 \times 0.001 = -0.01154 = -1.154\%.$$

Modified Duration of a Portfolio

- By calculus, the modified duration of a portfolio equals

$$\sum_i \omega_i D_i.$$


- D_i is the modified duration of the i th asset.
- ω_i is the market value of that asset expressed as a percentage of the market value of the portfolio.

Effective Duration

- Yield changes may alter the cash flow or the cash flow may be too complex for simple formulas.
- We need a general numerical formula for volatility.
- The effective duration is defined as

$$\frac{P_- - P_+}{P_0(y_+ - y_-)}.$$

- P_- is the price if the yield is decreased by Δy .
- P_+ is the price if the yield is increased by Δy .
- P_0 is the initial price, y is the initial yield.
- Δy is small.



Effective Duration (concluded)

- One can compute the effective duration of just about any financial instrument.
- An alternative is to use

$$\frac{P_0 - P_+}{P_0 \Delta y}.$$

- More economical but theoretically less accurate.

The Practices

- Duration is usually expressed in percentage terms — call it $D_{\%}$ — for quick mental calculation.^a
- The percentage price change expressed in percentage terms is then approximated by

$$-D_{\%} \times \Delta r$$

when the yield increases instantaneously by $\Delta r\%$.

- Suppose $D_{\%} = 10$ and $\Delta r = 2$.
- Price will drop by 20% as $10 \times 2 = 20$.
- $D_{\%}$ in fact equals the original duration (prove it!).

^aNeftci (2008), “Market professionals do not like to use decimal points.”

Hedging

- Hedging offsets the price fluctuations of the position to be hedged by the hedging instrument in the opposite direction, leaving the total wealth unchanged.
- Define dollar duration as

$$\text{modified duration} \times \text{price} = -\frac{\partial P}{\partial y}.$$

- The approximate *dollar* price change is

$$\text{price change} \approx -\text{dollar duration} \times \text{yield change}.$$


- One can hedge a bond portfolio with a dollar duration D by bonds with a dollar duration $-D$.

Convexity

- Convexity is defined as

$$\text{convexity (in periods)} \triangleq \frac{\partial^2 P}{\partial y^2} \frac{1}{P}.$$

- The convexity of a level-coupon bond is positive (prove it!).
- For a bond with positive convexity, the price rises more for a rate decline than it falls for a rate increase of equal magnitude (see plot next page).



Convexity (concluded)

- So between two bonds with the same price and duration, the one with a higher convexity is more valuable.^a
- Suppose there are k periods per annum.
- Convexity measured in periods and convexity measured in years are related by

$$\text{convexity (in years)} = \frac{\text{convexity (in periods)}}{k^2}.$$

^aDo you spot a problem here (Christensen & Sørensen, 1994)?

Use of Convexity

- The approximation $\Delta P/P \approx -\text{duration} \times \text{yield change}$ works for small yield changes.
- For larger yield changes, use

$$\begin{aligned}\frac{\Delta P}{P} &\approx \frac{\partial P}{\partial y} \frac{1}{P} \Delta y + \frac{1}{2} \frac{\partial^2 P}{\partial y^2} \frac{1}{P} (\Delta y)^2 \\ &= -\text{duration} \times \Delta y + \frac{1}{2} \times \text{convexity} \times (\Delta y)^2.\end{aligned}$$

- Recall the figure on p. 112.

The Practices

- Convexity is usually expressed in percentage terms — call it $C_{\%}$ — for quick mental calculation.
- The percentage price change expressed in percentage terms is approximated by

$$-D_{\%} \times \Delta r + C_{\%} \times (\Delta r)^2 / 2$$

when the yield increases instantaneously by $\Delta r\%$.

- Price will drop by 17% if $D_{\%} = 10$, $C_{\%} = 1.5$, and $\Delta r = 2$ because

$$-10 \times 2 + \frac{1}{2} \times 1.5 \times 2^2 = -17.$$

- $C_{\%}$ equals convexity divided by 100 (prove it!).

Effective Convexity


- The effective convexity is defined as

$$\frac{P_+ + P_- - 2P_0}{P_0 (0.5 \times (y_+ - y_-))^2},$$

- P_- is the price if the yield is decreased by Δy .
 - P_+ is the price if the yield is increased by Δy .
 - P_0 is the initial price, y is the initial yield.
 - Δy is small.
- Effective convexity is most relevant when a bond's cash flow is interest rate sensitive.
- How to choose the right Δy is a delicate matter.

Approximate $d^2 f(x)^2/dx^2$ at $x = 1$, Where $f(x) = x^2$

- The difference of $[(1 + \Delta x)^2 + (1 - \Delta x)^2 - 2]/(\Delta x)^2$ and 2:



- This numerical issue is common in financial engineering but does not have general solutions yet (see pp. 870ff).

Interest Rates and Bond Prices: Which Determines Which?^a

- If you have one, you have the other.
- So they are just two names given to the same thing: cost of fund.
- Traders most likely work with prices.
- Banks most likely work with interest rates.

^aContributed by Mr. Wang, Cheng (R01741064) on March 5, 2014.

Term Structure of Interest Rates

Why is it that the interest of money is lower,
when money is plentiful?
— Samuel Johnson (1709–1784)

If you have money, don't lend it at interest.
Rather, give [it] to someone
from whom you won't get it back.
— Thomas Gospel 95


Term Structure of Interest Rates

- Concerned with how interest rates change with maturity.
- The set of yields to maturity for bonds form the term structure.
 - The bonds must be of equal quality.
 - They differ solely in their terms to maturity.
- The term structure is fundamental to the valuation of fixed-income securities.

Term Structure of Interest Rates (concluded)

- The term “term structure” often refers exclusively to the yields of zero-coupon bonds.
- A yield curve plots the yields to maturity of coupon bonds against maturity.
- A par yield curve is constructed from bonds trading near par.

Yield Curve of U.S. Treasuries as of July 24, 2015



Four Typical Shapes

- A normal yield curve is upward sloping.
- An inverted yield curve is downward sloping.
- A flat yield curve is flat.
- A humped yield curve is upward sloping at first but then turns downward sloping.

Spot Rates

- The i -period spot rate $S(i)$ is the yield to maturity of an i -period zero-coupon bond.
- The PV of one dollar i periods from now is by definition

$$[1 + S(i)]^{-i}.$$

- It is the price of an i -period zero-coupon bond.^a
- The one-period spot rate is called the short rate.
- Spot rate curve:^b Plot of spot rates against maturity:

$$S(1), S(2), \dots, S(n).$$

^aRecall Eq. (9) on p. 70.

^bThat is, term structure, per our convention.

Problems with the PV Formula

- In the bond price formula (4) on p. 41,

$$\sum_{i=1}^n \frac{C}{(1+y)^i} + \frac{F}{(1+y)^n},$$

every cash flow is discounted at the same yield y .

- Consider two riskless bonds with different yields to maturity because of their different cash flows:

$$PV_1 = \sum_{i=1}^{n_1} \frac{C}{(1+y_1)^i} + \frac{F}{(1+y_1)^{n_1}},$$


$$PV_2 = \sum_{i=1}^{n_2} \frac{C}{(1+y_2)^i} + \frac{F}{(1+y_2)^{n_2}}.$$

Problems with the PV Formula (concluded)

- The yield-to-maturity methodology discounts their *contemporaneous* cash flows with *different* rates.
- But shouldn't they be discounted at the *same* rate?

Spot Rate Discount Methodology

- A cash flow C_1, C_2, \dots, C_n is equivalent to a package of zero-coupon bonds with the i th bond paying C_i dollars at time i .



Spot Rate Discount Methodology (concluded)

- So a level-coupon bond has the price

$$P = \sum_{i=1}^n \frac{C}{[1 + S(i)]^i} + \frac{F}{[1 + S(n)]^n}. \quad (18)$$

- This pricing method incorporates information from the term structure.
- It discounts each cash flow at the matching spot rate.

Discount Factors

- In general, any riskless security having a cash flow C_1, C_2, \dots, C_n should have a market price of

$$P = \sum_{i=1}^n C_i d(i).$$

- Above, $d(i) \triangleq [1 + S(i)]^{-i}$, $i = 1, 2, \dots, n$, are called the discount factors.
- $d(i)$ is the PV of one dollar i periods from now.
- The above formula will be justified on p. 224.
- The discount factors are often interpolated to form a continuous function called the discount function.

Extracting Spot Rates from Yield Curve

- Start with the short rate $S(1)$.
 - Note that short-term Treasuries are zero-coupon bonds.
- Compute $S(2)$ from the two-period coupon bond price P by solving

$$P = \frac{C}{1 + S(1)} + \frac{C + 100}{[1 + S(2)]^2}.$$

Extracting Spot Rates from Yield Curve (concluded)

- Inductively, we are given the market price P of the n -period coupon bond and

$$S(1), S(2), \dots, S(n-1).$$

- Then $S(n)$ can be computed from Eq. (18) on p. 129, repeated below,

$$P = \sum_{i=1}^n \frac{C}{[1 + S(i)]^i} + \frac{F}{[1 + S(n)]^n}.$$




- The running time can be made to be $O(n)$ (see text).
- The procedure is called bootstrapping.

Some Problems

- Treasuries of the same maturity might be selling at different yields (the multiple cash flow problem).
- Some maturities might be missing from the data points (the incompleteness problem).
- Treasuries might not be of the same quality.
- Interpolation and fitting techniques are needed in practice to create a smooth spot rate curve.^a

^aOften without economic justifications.

Which One (from P. 123)?



Yield Spread

- Consider a *risky* bond with the cash flow C_1, C_2, \dots, C_n and selling for P .
- Calculate the IRR of the risky bond.
- Calculate the IRR of a riskless bond with comparable maturity.
- Yield spread is their difference.

Static Spread

- Were the risky bond riskless, it would fetch

$$P^* = \sum_{t=1}^n \frac{C_t}{[1 + S(t)]^t}.$$

- But as risk must be compensated, in reality $P < P^*$.
- The static spread is the amount s by which the spot rate curve has to shift *in parallel* to price the risky bond:

$$P = \sum_{t=1}^n \frac{C_t}{[1 + s + S(t)]^t}.$$

- Unlike the yield spread, the static spread explicitly incorporates information from the term structure.

Of Spot Rate Curve and Yield Curve

- y_i : yield to maturity for the i -period coupon bond.
- $S(k) \geq y_k$ if $y_1 < y_2 < \dots$ (yield curve is normal).
- $S(k) \leq y_k$ if $y_1 > y_2 > \dots$ (yield curve is inverted).
- $S(k) \geq y_k$ if $S(1) < S(2) < \dots$ (spot rate curve is normal).
- $S(k) \leq y_k$ if $S(1) > S(2) > \dots$ (spot rate curve is inverted).
- If the yield curve is flat, the spot rate curve coincides with the yield curve.

Shapes

- The spot rate curve often has the same shape as the yield curve.
 - If the spot rate curve is inverted (normal, resp.), then the yield curve is inverted (normal, resp.).
- But this is only a trend not a mathematical truth.^a

^aSee a counterexample in the text.

Forward Rates

- The yield curve contains information regarding future interest rates currently “expected” by the market.
- Invest \$1 for j periods to end up with $[1 + S(j)]^j$ dollars at time j .
 - The maturity strategy.
- Invest \$1 in bonds for i periods and at time i invest the proceeds in bonds for another $j - i$ periods where $j > i$.
- Will have $[1 + S(i)]^i [1 + S(i, j)]^{j-i}$ dollars at time j .
 - $S(i, j)$: $(j - i)$ -period spot rate i periods from now.
 - The rollover strategy.

Forward Rates (concluded)

- When $S(i, j)$ equals


$$f(i, j) \triangleq \left[\frac{(1 + S(j))^j}{(1 + S(i))^i} \right]^{1/(j-i)} - 1, \quad (19)$$

we will end up with the same $[1 + S(j)]^j$ dollars.

- As expected,

$$f(0, j) = S(j).$$

- The $f(i, j)$ are the (implied) forward (interest) rates.
 - More precisely, the $(j - i)$ -period forward rate i periods from now.



Forward Rates and Future Spot Rates

- We did not assume any a priori relation between $f(i, j)$ and future spot rate $S(i, j)$.
 - This is the subject of the term structure theories.
- We merely looked for the future spot rate that, *if realized*, will equate the two investment strategies.
- The $f(i, i + 1)$ are the *instantaneous* forward rates or one-period forward rates.


Spot Rates and Forward Rates

- When the spot rate curve is normal, the forward rate dominates the spot rates,

$$f(i, j) > S(j) > \cdots > S(i).$$


- When the spot rate curve is inverted, the forward rate is dominated by the spot rates,

$$f(i, j) < S(j) < \cdots < S(i).$$



forward rate curve
spot rate curve
yield curve

(a)



yield curve
spot rate curve
forward rate curve

(b)

Forward Rates \equiv Spot Rates \equiv Yield Curve

- The FV of \$1 at time n can be derived in two ways.
- Buy n -period zero-coupon bonds and receive

$$[1 + S(n)]^n.$$

- Buy one-period zero-coupon bonds today and a series of such bonds at the forward rates as they mature.
- The FV is

$$[1 + S(1)][1 + f(1, 2)] \cdots [1 + f(n - 1, n)].$$

Forward Rates \equiv Spot Rates \equiv Yield Curves (concluded)

- Since they are identical,

$$S(n) = \{[1 + S(1)][1 + f(1, 2)] \cdots [1 + f(n - 1, n)]\}^{1/n} - 1. \quad (20)$$

- Hence, the forward rates (specifically the one-period forward rates) determine the spot rate curve.
- Other equivalencies can be derived similarly, such as


$$f(T, T + 1) = \frac{d(T)}{d(T + 1)} - 1. \quad (21)$$

Locking in the Forward Rate $f(n, m)$

- Buy one n -period zero-coupon bond for $1/(1 + S(n))^n$ dollars.
- Sell $(1 + S(m))^m / (1 + S(n))^n$ m -period zero-coupon bonds.
- No net initial investment because the cash inflow equals the cash outflow: $1/(1 + S(n))^n$.
- At time n there will be a cash inflow of \$1.
- At time m there will be a cash outflow of $(1 + S(m))^m / (1 + S(n))^n$ dollars.

Locking in the Forward Rate $f(n, m)$ (concluded)

- This implies the interest rate between times n and m equals $f(n, m)$ by formula (19) on p. 140.^a



^aNote that $(1 + S(m))^m / (1 + S(n))^n = (1 + f(n, m))^{m-n}$ by that formula.

Forward Loans

- We had generated the cash flow of a type of forward contract called the forward loan.
- Agreed upon today, it enables one to
 - Borrow money at time n in the future, and
 - Repay the loan at time $m > n$ with an interest rate equal to the known forward rate

$$f(n, m).$$

- Can the spot rate curve be arbitrarily drawn?^a

^aContributed by Mr. Dai, Tian-Shyr (B82506025, R86526008, D88526006) in 1998.

Synthetic Bonds

- We had seen that

forward loan

$$= n\text{-period zero} - [1 + f(n, m)]^{m-n} \times m\text{-period zero}.$$

- Thus

n -period zero

$$= \text{forward loan} + [1 + f(n, m)]^{m-n} \times m\text{-period zero}.$$

- We have created a *synthetic* zero-coupon bond with forward loans and other zero-coupon bonds.
- Useful if the n -period zero is unavailable or illiquid.