Futures Options

- The underlying of a futures option is a futures contract.
- Upon exercise, the option holder takes a position in the futures contract with a futures price equal to the option’s strike price.
  - A call holder acquires a *long* futures position.
  - A put holder acquires a *short* futures position.
- The futures contract is then marked to market.
- And the futures position of the two parties will be at the prevailing futures price (thus zero-valued).
Futures Options (concluded)

• It works as if the call holder received a futures contract plus cash equivalent to the prevailing futures price $F_t$ minus the strike price $X$:

$$F_t - X.$$

– This futures contract has zero value.

• It works as if the put holder sold a futures contract for $X - F_t$ dollars.
Forward Options

- Similar to futures options except that what is delivered is a forward contract with a delivery price equal to the option’s strike price.
  - Exercising a call forward option results in a *long* position in a forward contract.
  - Exercising a put forward option results in a *short* position in a forward contract.

- Exercising a forward option incurs no immediate cash flows: There is no marking to market.
Example

• Consider a call with strike $100 and an expiration date in September.

• The underlying asset is a forward contract with a delivery date in December.

• Suppose the forward price in July is $110.

• Upon exercise, the call holder receives a forward contract with a delivery price of $100.\(^a\)

• If an offsetting position is then taken in the forward market,\(^b\) a $10 profit \textit{in December} will be assured.

• A call on the futures would realize the $10 profit \textit{in July}.

\(^a\)Recall p. 466.

\(^b\)The counterparty will pay you $110 for the underlying asset.
Some Pricing Relations

• Let delivery take place at time $T$, the current time be 0, and the option on the futures or forward contract have expiration date $t$ ($t \leq T$).

• Assume a constant, positive interest rate.

• Although forward price equals futures price, a forward option does not have the same value as a futures option.

• The payoffs of calls at time $t$ are, respectively,\(^a\)

\[
\begin{align*}
\text{futures option} & = \max(F_t - X, 0), \quad (62) \\
\text{forward option} & = \max(F_t - X, 0) e^{-r(T-t)}. \quad (63)
\end{align*}
\]

\(^a\)Recall p. 495.
Some Pricing Relations (concluded)

- A European futures option is worth the same as the corresponding European option on the underlying asset if the futures contract has the same maturity as both options.
  - Futures price equals spot price at maturity.

- This conclusion is independent of the model for the spot price.
Put-Call Parity\textsuperscript{a}

The put-call parity is slightly different from the one in Eq. (30) on p. 221.

**Theorem 13** (1) *For European options on futures contracts,*

\[ C = P - (X - F) e^{-rt}. \]

(2) *For European options on forward contracts,*

\[ C = P - (X - F') e^{-rT}. \]

\textsuperscript{a}See Theorem 12.4.4 of the textbook for proof.
Early Exercise

The early exercise feature is not valuable for forward options.

**Theorem 14** American forward options should not be exercised before expiration as long as the probability of their ending up out of the money is positive.

- See Theorem 12.4.5 of the textbook for proof.

Early exercise may be optimal for American futures options even if the underlying asset generates no payouts.

**Theorem 15** American futures options may be exercised optimally before expiration.
Black’s Model\(^{a}\)

- Formulas for European futures options:

\[
C = Fe^{-rt}N(x) - Xe^{-rt}N(x - \sigma\sqrt{t}), \quad (64) \\
P = Xe^{-rt}N(-x + \sigma\sqrt{t}) - Fe^{-rt}N(-x),
\]

where \( x \triangleq \frac{\ln(F/X) + (\sigma^2/2)t}{\sigma\sqrt{t}} \).

- Formulas (64) are related to those for options on a stock paying a continuous dividend yield.

- They are exactly Eqs. (42) on p. 322 with \( q \) set to \( r \) and \( S \) replaced by \( F \).

\(^{a}\)Black (1976).
Black’s Model (concluded)

• This observation incidentally proves Theorem 15 (p. 501).

• For European forward options, just multiply the above formulas by $e^{-r(T-t)}$.
  
  – Forward options differ from futures options by a factor of $e^{-r(T-t)}$.  

\[\text{Recall Eqs. (62)--(63) on p. 498.}\]
Binomial Model for Forward and Futures Options

- Futures price behaves *like* a stock paying a continuous dividend yield of $r$.
  - The futures price at time 0 is (p. 474)
    
    $$F = Se^{rT}.$$  
  
  - From Lemma 9 (p. 290), the expected value of $S$ at time $\Delta t$ in a risk-neutral economy is
    
    $$Se^{r\Delta t}.$$  
  
  - So the expected futures price at time $\Delta t$ is
    
    $$Se^{r\Delta t}e^{r(T-\Delta t)} = Se^{rT} = F.$$
The above observation continues to hold even if $S$ pays a dividend yield!\(^a\)

By Eq. (60) on p. 484, the futures price at time 0 is

$$F = Se^{(r-q)T}.$$

From Lemma 9 (p. 290), the expected value of $S$ at time $\Delta t$ in a risk-neutral economy is

$$Se^{(r-q)\Delta t}.$$

So the expected futures price at time $\Delta t$ is

$$Se^{(r-q)\Delta t}e^{(r-q)(T-\Delta t)} = Se^{(r-q)T} = F.$$

\(^a\)Contributed by Mr. Liu, Yi-Wei (R02723084) on April 16, 2014.
Binomial Model for Forward and Futures Options (concluded)

- Now, under the BOPM, the risk-neutral probability for the futures price is

\[ p_f \triangleq \frac{(1 - d)}{(u - d)} \]

by Eq. (43) on p. 324.
- The futures price moves from \( F \) to \( Fu \) with probability \( p_f \) and to \( Fd \) with probability \( 1 - p_f \).
- Note that the original \( u \) and \( d \) are used!

- The binomial tree algorithm for forward options is identical except that Eq. (63) on p. 498 is the payoff.
Spot and Futures Prices under BOPM

• The futures price is related to the spot price via

\[ F = Se^{rT} \]

if the underlying asset pays no dividends.\(^a\)

• Recall the futures price \( F \) moves to \( Fu \) with probability \( p_f \) per period.

• So the stock price moves from \( S = Fe^{-rT} \) to

\[ Fu e^{-r(T-\Delta t)} = Sue^{r\Delta t} \]

with probability \( p_f \) per period.

\(^a\)Recall Lemma 11 (p. 474).
Spot and Futures Prices under BOPM (concluded)

- Similarly, the stock price moves from $S = Fe^{-rT}$ to $Sde^{r\Delta t}

  with probability $1 - p_f$ per period.

- Note that

  $$S(ue^{r\Delta t})(de^{r\Delta t}) = Se^{2r\Delta t} \neq S.$$ 

- So this binomial model for $S$ is not the CRR tree.

- This model may not be suitable for pricing barrier options (why?).
Negative Probabilities Revisited

• As $0 < p_f < 1$, we have $0 < 1 - p_f < 1$ as well.

• The problem of negative risk-neutral probabilities is solved:
  – Build the tree for the futures price $F$ of the futures contract expiring at the same time as the option.
  – Let the stock pay a continuous dividend yield of $q$.
  – By Eq. (60) on p. 484, calculate $S$ from $F$ at each node via

$$S = F e^{-(r-q)(T-t)}.$$
Swaps

- Swaps are agreements between two counterparties to exchange cash flows in the future according to a predetermined formula.

- There are two basic types of swaps: interest rate and currency.

- An interest rate swap occurs when two parties exchange interest payments periodically.

- Currency swaps are agreements to deliver one currency against another (our focus here).

- There are theories about why swaps exist.\textsuperscript{a}

\textsuperscript{a}Thanks to a lively discussion on April 16, 2014.
Currency Swaps

- A currency swap involves two parties to exchange cash flows in different currencies.

- Consider the following fixed rates available to party A and party B in U.S. dollars and Japanese yen:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Dollars</th>
<th>Yen</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>A</td>
<td>$D_A%$</td>
<td>$Y_A%$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>B</td>
<td>$D_B%$</td>
<td>$Y_B%$</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

- Suppose A wants to take out a fixed-rate loan in yen, and B wants to take out a fixed-rate loan in dollars.
Currency Swaps (continued)

• A straightforward scenario is for A to borrow yen at \( Y_A \)% and B to borrow dollars at \( D_B \)%.

• But suppose A is relatively more competitive in the dollar market than the yen market, i.e.,

\[
Y_B - D_B < Y_A - D_A \quad \text{or} \quad Y_B - Y_A < D_B - D_A.
\]

• Consider this alternative arrangement:
  – A borrows dollars.
  – B borrows yen.
  – They enter into a currency swap with a bank (the swap dealer) as the intermediary.
Currency Swaps (concluded)

- The counterparties exchange principal at the beginning and the end of the life of the swap.

- This act transforms A’s loan into a yen loan and B’s yen loan into a dollar loan.

- The total gain is \(((D_B - D_A) - (Y_B - Y_A))\%\):
  - The total interest rate is originally \((Y_A + D_B)\%\).
  - The new arrangement has a smaller total rate of \((D_A + Y_B)\%\).

- Transactions will happen only if the gain is distributed so that the cost to each party is less than the original.
Example

- A and B face the following borrowing rates:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Dollars</th>
<th>Yen</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>A</td>
<td>9%</td>
<td>10%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>B</td>
<td>12%</td>
<td>11%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

- A wants to borrow yen, and B wants to borrow dollars.
- A can borrow yen directly at 10%.
- B can borrow dollars directly at 12%.
Example (continued)

- The rate differential in dollars (3%) is different from that in yen (1%).
- So a currency swap with a total saving of $3 - 1 = 2\%$ is possible.
- $A$ is relatively more competitive in the dollar market.
- $B$ is relatively more competitive in the yen market.
Example (concluded)

- Next page shows an arrangement which is beneficial to all parties involved.
  - A effectively borrows yen at 9.5% (lower than 10%).
  - B borrows dollars at 11.5% (lower than 12%).
  - The gain is 0.5% for A, 0.5% for B, and, if we treat dollars and yen identically, 1% for the bank.
As a Package of Cash Market Instruments

- Assume no default risk.
- Take B on p. 517 as an example.
- The swap is equivalent to a long position in a yen bond paying 11% annual interest and a short position in a dollar bond paying 11.5% annual interest.
- The pricing formula is $SP_Y - P_D$.
  - $P_D$ is the dollar bond’s value in dollars.
  - $P_Y$ is the yen bond’s value in yen.
  - $S$ is the $$/yen spot exchange rate.
As a Package of Cash Market Instruments (concluded)

- The value of a currency swap depends on:
  - The term structures of interest rates in the currencies involved.
  - The spot exchange rate.
- It has zero value when

\[ SP_Y = P_D. \]
Example

• Take a 3-year swap on p. 517 with principal amounts of US$1 million and 100 million yen.

• The payments are made once a year.

• The spot exchange rate is 90 yen/$ and the term structures are flat in both nations—8% in the U.S. and 9% in Japan.

• For B, the value of the swap is (in millions of USD)

\[ \frac{1}{90} \times (11 \times e^{-0.09} + 11 \times e^{-0.09 \times 2} + 111 \times e^{-0.09 \times 3}) \\ - \left(0.115 \times e^{-0.08} + 0.115 \times e^{-0.08 \times 2} + 1.115 \times e^{-0.08 \times 3}\right) = 0.074. \]
As a Package of Forward Contracts

• From Eq. (59) on p. 484, the forward contract maturing \( i \) years from now has a dollar value of

\[ f_i \triangleq (SY_i) e^{-qi} - D_i e^{-ri}. \]  \hspace{1cm} (65)

– \( Y_i \) is the yen inflow at year \( i \).
– \( S \) is the $/yen spot exchange rate.
– \( q \) is the yen interest rate.
– \( D_i \) is the dollar outflow at year \( i \).
– \( r \) is the dollar interest rate.
As a Package of Forward Contracts (concluded)

- For simplicity, flat term structures were assumed.
- Generalization is straightforward.
Example

• Take the swap in the example on p. 520.
• Every year, B receives 11 million yen and pays 0.115 million dollars.
• In addition, at the end of the third year, B receives 100 million yen and pays 1 million dollars.
• Each of these transactions represents a forward contract.
• $Y_1 = Y_2 = 11, Y_3 = 111, S = 1/90, D_1 = D_2 = 0.115, D_3 = 1.115, q = 0.09, \text{ and } r = 0.08.$
• Plug in these numbers to get $f_1 + f_2 + f_3 = 0.074$ million dollars as before.
Stochastic Processes and Brownian Motion
Of all the intellectual hurdles which the human mind has confronted and has overcome in the last fifteen hundred years, the one which seems to me to have been the most amazing in character and the most stupendous in the scope of its consequences is the one relating to the problem of motion.

— Herbert Butterfield (1900–1979)
Stochastic Processes

• A stochastic process

\[ X = \{ X(t) \} \]

is a time series of random variables.

• \( X(t) \) (or \( X_t \)) is a random variable for each time \( t \) and is usually called the state of the process at time \( t \).

• A realization of \( X \) is called a sample path.
Stochastic Processes (concluded)

- If the times $t$ form a countable set, $X$ is called a discrete-time stochastic process or a time series.

- In this case, subscripts rather than parentheses are usually employed, as in

$$X = \{ X_n \}.$$  

- If the times form a continuum, $X$ is called a continuous-time stochastic process.
Random Walks

- The binomial model is a random walk in disguise.
- Consider a particle on the integer line, 0, ±1, ±2, ....
- In each time step, it can make one move to the right with probability $p$ or one move to the left with probability $1 - p$.
  - This random walk is symmetric when $p = 1/2$.
- Connection with the BOPM: The particle’s position denotes the number of up moves minus that of down moves up to that time.
Random Walk with Drift

\[ X_n = \mu + X_{n-1} + \xi_n. \]

- \( \xi_n \) are independent and identically distributed with zero mean.
- Drift \( \mu \) is the expected change per period.
- Note that this process is continuous in space.
Martingales\(^a\)

- \(\{ X(t), t \geq 0 \} \) is a martingale if \( E[|X(t)|] < \infty \) for \( t \geq 0 \) and
  \[
  E[X(t) \mid X(u), 0 \leq u \leq s] = X(s), \quad s \leq t. \tag{66}
  \]
- In the discrete-time setting, a martingale means
  \[
  E[X_{n+1} \mid X_1, X_2, \ldots, X_n] = X_n. \tag{67}
  \]
- \( X_n \) can be interpreted as a gambler’s fortune after the \( n \)th gamble.
- Identity (67) then says the expected fortune after the \((n + 1)\)th gamble equals the fortune after the \( n \)th gamble regardless of what may have occurred before.

\(^a\)The origin of the name is somewhat obscure.
Martingales (concluded)

• A martingale is therefore a notion of fair games.

• Apply the law of iterated conditional expectations to both sides of Eq. (67) on p. 531 to yield

\[ E[X_n] = E[X_1] \]  

(68)

for all \( n \).

• Similarly,

\[ E[X(t)] = E[X(0)] \]

in the continuous-time case.
Still a Martingale?

- Suppose we replace Eq. (67) on p. 531 with

\[ E[ X_{n+1} | X_n ] = X_n. \]

- It also says past history cannot affect the future.

- But is it equivalent to the original definition (67) on p. 531?\(^a\)

\(^a\)Contributed by Mr. Hsieh, Chicheng (M9007304) on April 13, 2005.
Still a Martingale? (continued)

• Well, no.$^a$

• Consider this random walk with drift:

\[
X_i = \begin{cases} 
X_{i-1} + \xi_i, & \text{if } i \text{ is even}, \\
X_{i-2}, & \text{otherwise}.
\end{cases}
\]

• Above, $\xi_n$ are random variables with zero mean.

$^a$Contributed by Mr. Zhang, Ann-Sheng (B89201033) on April 13, 2005.
Still a Martingale? (concluded)

• It is not hard to see that

\[ E[X_i | X_{i-1}] = \begin{cases} 
  X_{i-1}, & \text{if } i \text{ is even}, \\
  X_{i-1}, & \text{otherwise}. 
\end{cases} \]

– It is a martingale by the “new” definition.

• But

\[ E[X_i | \ldots, X_{i-2}, X_{i-1}] = \begin{cases} 
  X_{i-1}, & \text{if } i \text{ is even}, \\
  X_{i-2}, & \text{otherwise}. 
\end{cases} \]

– It is not a martingale by the original definition.
Example

• Consider the stochastic process

\[
\left\{ Z_n \triangleq \sum_{i=1}^{n} X_i, n \geq 1 \right\},
\]

where \( X_i \) are independent random variables with zero mean.

• This process is a martingale because

\[
E[ Z_{n+1} | Z_1, Z_2, \ldots, Z_n ]
= E[ Z_n + X_{n+1} | Z_1, Z_2, \ldots, Z_n ]
= E[ Z_n | Z_1, Z_2, \ldots, Z_n ] + E[ X_{n+1} | Z_1, Z_2, \ldots, Z_n ]
= Z_n + E[ X_{n+1} ] = Z_n.
\]
Probability Measure

- A probability measure assigns probabilities to states of the world.

- A martingale is defined with respect to a probability measure, under which the expectation is taken.

- Second, a martingale is defined with respect to an information set.
  - In the characterizations (66)–(67) on p. 531, the information set contains the current and past values of $X$ by default.
  - But it need not be so.
Probability Measure (continued)

- A stochastic process \( \{ X(t), t \geq 0 \} \) is a martingale with respect to information sets \( \{ I_t \} \) if, for all \( t \geq 0 \),
  \[ E[|X(t)|] < \infty \]
  and
  \[ E[X(u)|I_t] = X(t) \]
  for all \( u > t \).

- The discrete-time version: For all \( n > 0 \),
  \[ E[X_{n+1}|I_n] = X_n, \]
  given the information sets \( \{ I_n \} \).
Probability Measure (concluded)

• The above implies

\[ E[X_{n+m} \mid I_n] = X_n \]

for any \( m > 0 \) by Eq. (26) on p. 166.

– A typical \( I_n \) is the price information up to time \( n \).

– Then the above identity says the FVs of \( X \) will not deviate systematically from today’s value given the price history.
Example

• Consider the stochastic process \( \{ Z_n - n\mu, n \geq 1 \} \).
  
  \(- Z_n \overset{\Delta}{=} \sum_{i=1}^{n} X_i. \)
  
  \(- X_1, X_2, \ldots \) are independent random variables with mean \( \mu \).

• Now,

\[
E[ Z_{n+1} - (n + 1) \mu \mid X_1, X_2, \ldots, X_n ] \\
= E[ Z_{n+1} \mid X_1, X_2, \ldots, X_n ] - (n + 1) \mu \\
= E[ Z_n + X_{n+1} \mid X_1, X_2, \ldots, X_n ] - (n + 1) \mu \\
= Z_n + \mu - (n + 1) \mu \\
= Z_n - n\mu.
\]
Example (concluded)

- Define

\[ I_n \triangleq \{ X_1, X_2, \ldots, X_n \}. \]

- Then

\[ \{ Z_n - n\mu, n \geq 1 \} \]

is a martingale with respect to \( \{ I_n \} \).
Martingale Pricing

- Stock prices and zero-coupon bond prices are expected to rise, while option prices are expected to fall.
- They are not martingales.
- Why is then martingale useful?
- Recall a martingale is defined with respect to some information set and some probability measure.
- By modifying the probability measure, we can convert a price process into a martingale.
The price of a European option is the expected discounted payoff in a risk-neutral economy.\textsuperscript{a}

This principle can be generalized using the concept of martingale.

Recall the recursive valuation of European option via

\[ C = \frac{pC_u + (1 - p)C_d}{R}. \]

- \( p \) is the risk-neutral probability.
- \$1 grows to \$R in a period.

\textsuperscript{a}Recall Eq. (36) on p. 258.
Martingale Pricing (continued)

- Let $C(i)$ denote the value of the option at time $i$.
- Consider the discount process

$$\left\{ \frac{C(i)}{R^i}, i = 0, 1, \ldots, n \right\}.$$

- Then,

$$E \left[ \frac{C(i + 1)}{R^{i+1}} \mid C(i) \right] = \frac{pC_u + (1 - p)C_d}{R^{i+1}} = \frac{C(i)}{R^i}.$$
Martingale Pricing (continued)

- It is easy to show that
  \[ E \left[ \frac{C(k)}{R^k} \middle| C(i) \right] = \frac{C(i)}{R^i}, \quad i \leq k. \]  \hspace{1cm} (69)

- This formulation assumes:
  
  1. The model is Markovian: The distribution of the future is determined by the present (time \( i \)) and not the past.
  
  2. The payoff depends only on the terminal price of the underlying asset (Asian options do not qualify).

---

*aContributed by Mr. Wang, Liang-Kai (Ph.D. student, ECE, University of Wisconsin-Madison) and Mr. Hsiao, Huan-Wen (B90902081) on May 3, 2006.*
Martingale Pricing (continued)

• In general, the discount process is a martingale in that

\[ E_i^\pi \left[ \frac{C(k)}{R^k} \right] = \frac{C(i)}{R^i}, \quad i \leq k. \tag{70} \]

- \( E_i^\pi \) is taken under the risk-neutral probability conditional on the price information \textit{up to time} \( i \).

• This risk-neutral probability is also called the EMM, or the equivalent martingale (probability) measure.

\(^a\)In this general formulation, Asian options do qualify.
Martingale Pricing (continued)

- Equation (70) holds for all assets, not just options.

- When interest rates are stochastic, the equation becomes

$$\frac{C(i)}{M(i)} = E_{i}^{\pi} \left[ \frac{C(k)}{M(k)} \right], \quad i \leq k. \quad (71)$$

  - $M(j)$ is the balance in the money market account at time $j$ using the rollover strategy with an initial investment of $\$1$.

  - It is called the bank account process.

- It says the discount process is a martingale under $\pi$. 
Martingale Pricing (continued)

• If interest rates are stochastic, then $M(j)$ is a random variable.
  
  $- M(0) = 1.$
  
  $- M(j)$ is known at time $j - 1.$

• Identity (71) on p. 547 is the general formulation of risk-neutral valuation.

---

\(^{\text{a}}\)Because the interest rate for the next period has been revealed then.
Martingale Pricing (concluded)

**Theorem 16** A discrete-time model is arbitrage-free if and only if there exists a probability measure\(^a\) such that the discount process is a martingale.

\(^a\)This measure is called the risk-neutral probability measure.
Futures Price under the BOPM

- Futures prices form a martingale under the risk-neutral probability.
  - The expected futures price in the next period is\(^a\)
    \[
p_f F_u + (1 - p_f) F_d = F \left( \frac{1 - d}{u - d} u + \frac{u - 1}{u - d} d \right) = F.
    \]

- Can be generalized to
  \[
  F_i = E_i^\pi [ F_k ], \quad i \leq k,
  \]
  where \( F_i \) is the futures price at time \( i \).

- This equation holds under stochastic interest rates, too.\(^b\)

\(^a\)Recall p. 504.
\(^b\)See Exercise 13.2.11 of the textbook.
Martingale Pricing and Numeraire\textsuperscript{a}

• The martingale pricing formula (71) on p. 547 uses the money market account as numeraire.\textsuperscript{b}
  – It expresses the price of any asset \textit{relative to} the money market account.

• The money market account is not the only choice for numeraire.

• Suppose asset $S$’s value is positive at all times.

\textsuperscript{a}John Law (1671–1729), “Money to be qualified for exchanning goods and for payments need not be certain in its value.”
\textsuperscript{b}Leon Walras (1834–1910).
Martingale Pricing and Numeraire (concluded)

• Choose $S$ as numeraire.

• Martingale pricing says there exists a risk-neutral probability $\pi$ under which the relative price of any asset $C$ is a martingale:

$$\frac{C(i)}{S(i)} = E^\pi_i \left[ \frac{C(k)}{S(k)} \right], \quad i \leq k.$$  

- $S(j)$ denotes the price of $S$ at time $j$.

• So the discount process remains a martingale.\(^a\)

\(^a\)This result is related to Girsanov’s theorem (1960).
Example

• Take the binomial model with two assets.

• In a period, asset one’s price can go from $S$ to $S_1$ or $S_2$.

• In a period, asset two’s price can go from $P$ to $P_1$ or $P_2$.

• Both assets must move up or down at the same time.

• Assume

$$\frac{S_1}{P_1} < \frac{S}{P} < \frac{S_2}{P_2}$$

(72)

to rule out arbitrage opportunities.
Example (continued)

- For any derivative security, let $C_1$ be its price at time one if asset one’s price moves to $S_1$.

- Let $C_2$ be its price at time one if asset one’s price moves to $S_2$.

- Replicate the derivative by solving

  \[
  \alpha S_1 + \beta P_1 = C_1, \\
  \alpha S_2 + \beta P_2 = C_2,
  \]

  using $\alpha$ units of asset one and $\beta$ units of asset two.
Example (continued)

- By Eqs. (72) on p. 553, $\alpha$ and $\beta$ have unique solutions.
- In fact,
  \[
  \alpha = \frac{P_2C_1 - P_1C_2}{P_2S_1 - P_1S_2} \quad \text{and} \quad \beta = \frac{S_2C_1 - S_1C_2}{S_2P_1 - S_1P_2}.
  \]
- The derivative costs
  \[
  C = \alpha S + \beta P \\
  = \frac{P_2S - PS_2}{P_2S_1 - P_1S_2} C_1 + \frac{PS_1 - P_1S}{P_2S_1 - P_1S_2} C_2.
  \]
Example (continued)

- It is easy to verify that

\[
\frac{C}{P} = p \frac{C_1}{P_1} + (1 - p) \frac{C_2}{P_2}.
\]

- Above,

\[
p \triangleq \frac{(S/P) - (S_2/P_2)}{(S_1/P_1) - (S_2/P_2)}.
\]

- By Eqs. (72) on p. 553, 0 < p < 1.

- C’s price using asset two as numeraire (i.e., C/P) is a martingale under the risk-neutral probability p.

- The expected returns of the two assets are irrelevant.
Example (concluded)

- In the BOPM, $S$ is the stock and $P$ is the bond.

- Furthermore, $p$ assumes the bond is the numeraire.

- In the binomial option pricing formula (38) on p. 262, the $S \sum b(j; n, pu/R)$ term uses the stock as the numeraire.
  - It results in a different probability measure $pu/R$.

- In the limit, $SN(x)$ for the call and $SN(-x)$ for the put in the Black-Scholes formula (p. 292) use the stock as the numeraire.\(^a\)

\(^a\)See Exercise 13.2.12 of the textbook.
Brownian Motion\textsuperscript{a}

- Brownian motion is a stochastic process \{X(t), t \geq 0\} with the following properties.

1. \(X(0) = 0\), unless stated otherwise.

2. For any \(0 \leq t_0 < t_1 < \cdots < t_n\), the random variables
   \[X(t_k) - X(t_{k-1})\]
   for \(1 \leq k \leq n\) are independent.\textsuperscript{b}

3. For \(0 \leq s < t\), \(X(t) - X(s)\) is normally distributed
   with mean \(\mu(t - s)\) and variance \(\sigma^2(t - s)\), where \(\mu\)
   and \(\sigma \neq 0\) are real numbers.

\textsuperscript{a}Robert Brown (1773–1858).
\textsuperscript{b}So \(X(t) - X(s)\) is independent of \(X(r)\) for \(r \leq s < t\).
Brownian Motion (concluded)

- The existence and uniqueness of such a process is guaranteed by Wiener’s theorem.\textsuperscript{a}

- This process will be called a \((\mu, \sigma)\) Brownian motion with drift \(\mu\) and variance \(\sigma^2\).

- Although Brownian motion is a continuous function of \(t\) with probability one, it is almost nowhere differentiable.

- The \((0, 1)\) Brownian motion is called the Wiener process.

- If condition 3 is replaced by “\(X(t) - X(s)\) depends only on \(t - s\),” we have the more general Levy process.\textsuperscript{b}

\textsuperscript{a}Norbert Wiener (1894–1964). He received his Ph.D. from Harvard in 1912.

\textsuperscript{b}Paul Levy (1886–1971).
Example

• If \( \{ X(t), t \geq 0 \} \) is the Wiener process, then

\[
X(t) - X(s) \sim N(0, t - s).
\]

• A \((\mu, \sigma)\) Brownian motion \( Y = \{ Y(t), t \geq 0 \} \) can be expressed in terms of the Wiener process:

\[
Y(t) = \mu t + \sigma X(t). \tag{73}
\]

• Note that

\[
Y(t + s) - Y(t) \sim N(\mu s, \sigma^2 s).
\]
Brownian Motion as Limit of Random Walk

Claim 1  A \((\mu, \sigma)\) Brownian motion is the limiting case of random walk.

- A particle moves \(\Delta x\) to the right with probability \(p\) after \(\Delta t\) time.
- It moves \(\Delta x\) to the left with probability \(1 - p\).
- Define

\[
X_i \triangleq \begin{cases} 
+1 & \text{if the } i\text{th move is to the right,} \\
-1 & \text{if the } i\text{th move is to the left.}
\end{cases}
\]

- \(X_i\) are independent with

\[
\text{Prob}[X_i = 1] = p = 1 - \text{Prob}[X_i = -1].
\]
Brownian Motion as Limit of Random Walk (continued)

• Assume $n \overset{\Delta}{=} t/\Delta t$ is an integer.

• Its position at time $t$ is

$$Y(t) \overset{\Delta}{=} \Delta x (X_1 + X_2 + \cdots + X_n).$$

• Recall

$$E[X_i] = 2p - 1,$$
$$\text{Var}[X_i] = 1 - (2p - 1)^2.$$
Brownian Motion as Limit of Random Walk (continued)

• Therefore,

\[ E[Y(t)] = n(\Delta x)(2p - 1), \]
\[ \text{Var}[Y(t)] = n(\Delta x)^2 \left[ 1 - (2p - 1)^2 \right]. \]

• With \( \Delta x \overset{\Delta}{=} \sigma \sqrt{\Delta t} \) and \( p \overset{\Delta}{=} \left[ 1 + (\mu/\sigma) \sqrt{\Delta t} \right]/2, \)

\[
E[Y(t)] = n\sigma \sqrt{\Delta t} (\mu/\sigma) \sqrt{\Delta t} = \mu t, \\
\text{Var}[Y(t)] = n\sigma^2 \Delta t \left[ 1 - (\mu/\sigma)^2 \Delta t \right] \to \sigma^2 t,
\]

as \( \Delta t \to 0. \)

\(^{a}\)Identical to Eq. (41) on p. 285!
Brownian Motion as Limit of Random Walk (concluded)

- Thus, \( \{ Y(t), t \geq 0 \} \) converges to a \((\mu, \sigma)\) Brownian motion by the central limit theorem.

- Brownian motion with zero drift is the limiting case of symmetric random walk by choosing \( \mu = 0 \).

- Similarity to the the BOPM: The \( p \) is identical to the probability in Eq. (41) on p. 285 and \( \Delta x = \ln u \).

- Note that

\[
\text{Var}[Y(t + \Delta t) - Y(t)] = \text{Var}[\Delta x X_{n+1}] = (\Delta x)^2 \times \text{Var}[X_{n+1}] \to \sigma^2 \Delta t.
\]
Geometric Brownian Motion

- Let $X \triangleq \{ X(t), t \geq 0 \}$ be a Brownian motion process.

- The process
  \[ \{ Y(t) \triangleq e^{X(t)}, t \geq 0 \}, \]
  is called geometric Brownian motion.

- Suppose further that $X$ is a $(\mu, \sigma)$ Brownian motion.

- $X(t) \sim N(\mu t, \sigma^2 t)$ with moment generating function
  \[ E\left[ e^{sX(t)} \right] = E\left[ Y(t)^s \right] = e^{\mu ts + (\sigma^2 ts^2 / 2)} \]
  from Eq. (27) on p 168.
Geometric Brownian Motion (concluded)

- In particular,\(^a\)

\[
E[Y(t)] = e^{\mu t + (\sigma^2 t/2)},
\]

\[
\text{Var}[Y(t)] = E[Y(t)^2] - E[Y(t)]^2
\]

\[
= e^{2\mu t + \sigma^2 t} \left( e^{\sigma^2 t} - 1 \right).
\]

\(^a\)Recall Eqs. (29) on p. 176.
A Case for Long-Term Investment\textsuperscript{a}

- Suppose the stock follows the geometric Brownian motion
  
  \[ S(t) = S(0) e^{N(\mu t, \sigma^2 t)} = S(0) e^{tN(\mu, \sigma^2 / t)}, \quad t \geq 0, \]
  
  where \( \mu > 0 \).

- The annual rate of return has a normal distribution:
  
  \[ N \left( \mu, \frac{\sigma^2}{t} \right). \]

- The larger the \( t \), the likelier the return is positive.

- The smaller the \( t \), the likelier the return is negative.

\textsuperscript{a}Contributed by Prof. King, Gow-Hsing on April 9, 2015. See http://www.cb.idv.tw/phpbb3/viewtopic.php?f=7&t=1025
Continuous-Time Financial Mathematics
A proof is that which convinces a reasonable man; a rigorous proof is that which convinces an unreasonable man.
— Mark Kac (1914–1984)

The pursuit of mathematics is a divine madness of the human spirit.
— Alfred North Whitehead (1861–1947), Science and the Modern World
Stochastic Integrals

• Use \( W \triangleq \{ W(t), t \geq 0 \} \) to denote the Wiener process.

• The goal is to develop integrals of \( X \) from a class of stochastic processes,\(^a\)

\[
I_t(X) \triangleq \int_0^t X \, dW, \quad t \geq 0.
\]

• \( I_t(X) \) is a random variable called the stochastic integral of \( X \) with respect to \( W \).

• The stochastic process \( \{ I_t(X), t \geq 0 \} \) will be denoted by \( \int X \, dW \).

\(^a\)Kiyoshi Ito (1915–2008).
Stochastic Integrals (concluded)

• Typical requirements for $X$ in financial applications are:
  – $\text{Prob}\left[ \int_0^t X^2(s) \, ds < \infty \right] = 1$ for all $t \geq 0$ or the stronger $\int_0^t E\left[ X^2(s) \right] \, ds < \infty$.
  – The information set at time $t$ includes the history of $X$ and $W$ up to that point in time.
  – But it contains nothing about the evolution of $X$ or $W$ after $t$ (nonanticipating, so to speak).
  – The future cannot influence the present.
Ito Integral

• A theory of stochastic integration.
• As with calculus, it starts with step functions.
• A stochastic process \( \{ X(t) \} \) is simple if there exist
  \[
  0 = t_0 < t_1 < \cdots
  \]
  such that
  \[
  X(t) = X(t_{k-1}) \quad \text{for} \quad t \in [t_{k-1}, t_k), \quad k = 1, 2, \ldots
  \]
  for any realization (see figure on next page).
Ito Integral (continued)

- The Ito integral of a simple process is defined as

\[
I_t(X) \triangleq \sum_{k=0}^{n-1} X(t_k)[W(t_{k+1}) - W(t_k)],
\]

(74)

where \( t_n = t \).

- The integrand \( X \) is evaluated at \( t_k \), not \( t_{k+1} \).

- Define the Ito integral of more general processes as a limiting random variable of the Ito integral of simple stochastic processes.
Ito Integral (continued)

• Let \( X = \{ X(t), t \geq 0 \} \) be a general stochastic process.

• Then there exists a random variable \( I_t(X) \), unique almost certainly, such that \( I_t(X_n) \) converges in probability to \( I_t(X) \) for each sequence of simple stochastic processes \( X_1, X_2, \ldots \) such that \( X_n \) converges in probability to \( X \).

• If \( X \) is continuous with probability one, then \( I_t(X_n) \) converges in probability to \( I_t(X) \) as

\[
\delta_n \triangleq \max_{1 \leq k \leq n} (t_k - t_{k-1})
\]

goes to zero.
Ito Integral (concluded)

- It is a fundamental fact that $\int X \, dW$ is continuous almost surely.

- The following theorem says the Ito integral is a martingale.$^a$

**Theorem 17** The Ito integral $\int X \, dW$ is a martingale.

- A corollary is the mean value formula

\[ E \left[ \int_a^b X \, dW \right] = 0. \]

$^a$See Exercise 14.1.1 for simple stochastic processes.
Discrete Approximation

• Recall Eq. (74) on p. 575.

• The following simple stochastic process \( \left\{ \hat{X}(t) \right\} \) can be used in place of \( X \) to approximate \( \int_{0}^{t} X \, dW \),

\[
\hat{X}(s) \overset{\Delta}{=} X(t_{k-1}) \quad \text{for} \quad s \in [t_{k-1}, t_{k}), \quad k = 1, 2, \ldots, n.
\]

• Note the nonanticipating feature of \( \hat{X} \).
  – The information up to time \( s \),

\[
\left\{ \hat{X}(t), W(t), 0 \leq t \leq s \right\},
\]

cannot determine the future evolution of \( X \) or \( W \).
Discrete Approximation (concluded)

• Suppose we defined the stochastic integral as

\[ n-1 \sum_{k=0}^{n-1} X(t_{k+1})[W(t_{k+1}) - W(t_k)]. \]

• Then we would be using the following different simple stochastic process in the approximation,

\[ \hat{Y}(s) \overset{\Delta}{=} X(t_k) \text{ for } s \in [t_{k-1}, t_k), \ k = 1, 2, \ldots, n. \]

• This clearly anticipates the future evolution of \( X \).

\[ a \text{ See Exercise 14.1.2 of the textbook for an example where it matters.} \]
Ito Process

- The stochastic process $X = \{X_t, t \geq 0\}$ that solves

$$X_t = X_0 + \int_0^t a(X_s, s) \, ds + \int_0^t b(X_s, s) \, dW_s, \quad t \geq 0$$

is called an Ito process.

- $X_0$ is a scalar starting point.
- $\{a(X_t, t) : t \geq 0\}$ and $\{b(X_t, t) : t \geq 0\}$ are stochastic processes satisfying certain regularity conditions.
- $a(X_t, t)$: the drift.
- $b(X_t, t)$: the diffusion.
Ito Process (continued)

- A shorthand\(^a\) is the following stochastic differential equation\(^b\) (SDE) for the Ito differential \(dX_t\),

\[
dX_t = a(X_t, t) \, dt + b(X_t, t) \, dW_t. \tag{75}
\]

- Or simply

\[
dX_t = a_t \, dt + b_t \, dW_t.
\]

- This is Brownian motion with an *instantaneous* drift \(a_t\) and an *instantaneous* variance \(b_t^2\).

- \(X\) is a martingale if \(a_t = 0\).\(^c\)

---

\(^a\)Paul Langevin (1872–1946) in 1904.
\(^b\)Like any equation, an SDE contains an unknown, the process \(X_t\).
\(^c\)Recall Theorem 17 (p. 577).
Ito Process (concluded)

• From calculus, we would expect $\int_0^t W \, dW = W(t)^2/2$.

• But $W(t)^2/2$ is not a martingale, hence wrong!

• The correct answer is $[W(t)^2 - t]/2$.

• An equivalent form of Eq. (75) is

\[
    dX_t = a_t \, dt + b_t \sqrt{dt} \, \xi, 
\]

where $\xi \sim N(0, 1)$. 

Euler Approximation

- Define $t_n \triangleq n\Delta t$.
- The following approximation follows from Eq. (76),
  \[
  \hat{X}(t_{n+1}) = \hat{X}(t_n) + a(\hat{X}(t_n), t_n) \Delta t + b(\hat{X}(t_n), t_n) \Delta W(t_n).
  \] (77)
- It is called the Euler or Euler-Maruyama method.
- Recall that $\Delta W(t_n)$ should be interpreted as
  \[ W(t_{n+1}) - W(t_n), \]
  not $W(t_n) - W(t_{n-1})!$
Euler Approximation (concluded)

• With the Euler method, one can obtain a sample path

\[ \hat{X}(t_1), \hat{X}(t_2), \hat{X}(t_3), \ldots \]

from a sample path

\[ W(t_0), W(t_1), W(t_2), \ldots . \]

• Under mild conditions, \( \hat{X}(t_n) \) converges to \( X(t_n) \).
More Discrete Approximations

- Under fairly loose regularity conditions, Eq. (77) on p. 584 can be replaced by

\[
\widehat{X}(t_{n+1}) = \widehat{X}(t_n) + a(\widehat{X}(t_n), t_n) \Delta t + b(\widehat{X}(t_n), t_n) \sqrt{\Delta t} Y(t_n).
\]

- \(Y(t_0), Y(t_1), \ldots\) are independent and identically distributed with zero mean and unit variance.
More Discrete Approximations (concluded)

- An even simpler discrete approximation scheme:

\[ \hat{X}(t_{n+1}) = \hat{X}(t_n) + a(\hat{X}(t_n), t_n) \Delta t + b(\hat{X}(t_n), t_n) \sqrt{\Delta t} \xi. \]

- \( \text{Prob}[\xi = 1] = \text{Prob}[\xi = -1] = 1/2. \)
- Note that \( E[\xi] = 0 \) and \( \text{Var}[\xi] = 1. \)

- This is a binomial model.

- As \( \Delta t \) goes to zero, \( \hat{X} \) converges to \( X. \)

\[ ^{a} \text{He (1990).} \]