

Principles of Financial Computing

Prof. Yuh-Dauh Lyuu

Dept. Computer Science & Information Engineering
and

Department of Finance
National Taiwan University

Introduction

You must go into finance, Amory.
— F. Scott Fitzgerald (1896–1940),
This Side of Paradise (1920)

The two most dangerous words in Wall Street
vocabulary are “financial engineering.”
— Wilbur Ross (2007)

Class Information

- Yuh-Dauh Lyuu. *Financial Engineering & Computation: Principles, Mathematics, Algorithms*. Cambridge University Press, 2002.
- Official Web page is

`www.csie.ntu.edu.tw/~lyuu/finance1.html`

- Lecture notes will be uploaded before class.
- Homeworks and teaching assistants will also be announced there.
- Do not mistake last year's homeworks for this year's!

Class Information (continued)

- Check

`www.csie.ntu.edu.tw/~lyuu/capitals.html`

for some of the software.

- Check the CBIS (Convertible Bond Information System) at

`http://www.cb.idv.tw/cb/`

for a convertible-bond software system.^a

^aThanks to Prof. Gow-Hsing King and his strong team.

Class Information (concluded)

- Please ask many questions in class.
 - This is the best way for me to remember you in a large class.^a
- No roll calls.

^a “[A] science concentrator [...] said that in his eighth semester of [Harvard] college, there was not a single science professor who could identify him by name.” (*New York Times*, September 3, 2003.)

Grading

- Programming assignments.
- Treat each homework as an examination.
- You are expected to write your own codes and turn in your source code.
- Do not copy or collaborate with fellow students.
- Never ask your friends to write programs for you.
- Never give your code to other students or publish your code.

What This Course Is About

- Financial theories in pricing.
- Mathematical backgrounds.
- Derivative securities.
- Pricing models.
- Efficient algorithms in pricing financial instruments.
- Research problems.
- Help in finding your thesis directions.

What This Course Is *Not* About

- How to program.^a
- Basic calculus, probability, combinatorics, and algebra.
- Details of the financial markets.
- How to be rich.
- How the markets will perform tomorrow.
- Professional behavior.

^a<http://www.csie.ntu.edu.tw/train/>

Useful Journals

- *Applied Mathematical Finance.*
- *Communications on Pure and Applied Mathematics.*
- *European Journal of Finance.*
- *European Journal of Operational Research.*
- *Finance and Stochastics.*
- *Financial Analysts Journal.*
- *International Journal of Finance & Economics.*
- *Journal of Banking & Finance.*
- *Journal of Computational Finance.*
- *Journal of Derivatives.*

Useful Journals (continued)

- *Journal of Economic Dynamics & Control.*
- *Journal of Finance.*
- *Journal of Financial Economics.*
- *Journal of Fixed Income.*
- *Journal of Futures Markets.*
- *Journal of Financial and Quantitative Analysis.*
- *Journal of Portfolio Management.*
- *Journal of Real Estate Finance and Economics.*
- *Journal of Risk and Uncertainty.*
- *Management Science.*

Useful Journals (concluded)


- *Mathematical Finance.*
- *Quantitative Finance.*
- *Review of Financial Studies.*
- *Review of Derivatives Research.*
- *Risk Magazine.*
- *SIAM Journal on Financial Mathematics.*
- *Stochastics and Stochastics Reports.*

The Modelers' Hippocratic Oath^a

- I will remember that I didn't make the world, and it doesn't satisfy my equations.
- Though I will use models boldly to estimate value, I will not be overly impressed by mathematics.
- I will never sacrifice reality for elegance without explaining why I have done so.
- Nor will I give the people who use my model false comfort about its accuracy. Instead, I will make explicit its assumptions and oversights.
- I understand that my work may have enormous effects on society and the economy, many of them beyond my comprehension.

^aEmanuel Derman and Paul Wilmott, January 7, 2009.

Total Outstanding Notional Amount of OTC Derivatives in G10 and Switzerland



Analysis of Algorithms

I can calculate the motions
of the heavenly bodies,
but not the madness of people.
— Isaac Newton (1642–1727)

It is unworthy of excellent men
to lose hours like slaves
in the labor of computation.
— Gottfried Wilhelm Leibniz (1646–1716)

Computability and Algorithms

- Algorithms are precise procedures that can be turned into computer programs.
- Uncomputable problems.
 - Does this program have infinite loops?
 - Is this program bug free?
- Computable problems.
 - Intractable problems.
 - Tractable problems.

Complexity

- A set of basic operations are assumed to take one unit of time ($+$, $-$, \times , $/$, \log , x^y , e^x , \dots).
- The total number of these operations is the total work done by an algorithm (its computational complexity).
- The space complexity is the amount of memory space used by an algorithm.
- Concentrate on the abstract complexity of an algorithm instead of its detailed implementation.
- Complexity is a good guide to an algorithm's *actual* running time.

Asymptotics

- Consider the search algorithm on p. 20.
- The worst-case complexity is n comparisons.
- There are operations besides comparison, to be sure.
- We care only about the asymptotic growth rate not the exact number of operations.
 - So the complexity of maintaining the loop is subsumed by the complexity of the body of the loop.
- The complexity is hence $O(n)$.

Algorithm for Searching an Element

```
1: for  $k = 1, 2, 3, \dots, n$  do  
2:   if  $x = A_k$  then  
3:     return  $k$ ;  
4:   end if  
5: end for  
6: return not-found;
```

Common Complexities

- Let n stand for the “size” of the problem.
 - Number of elements, number of cash flows, number of time periods, etc.
- Linear time if the complexity is $O(n)$.
- Quadratic time if the complexity is $O(n^2)$.
- Cubic time if the complexity is $O(n^3)$.
- Superpolynomial if the complexity is higher than polynomials, say $2^{O(\sqrt{n})}$.^a
- Exponential time if the complexity is $2^{O(n)}$.


^aE.g., Dai (R86526008, D8852600) and Lyuu (2007), Lyuu and Wang (F95922018) (2011), and Chiu (R98723059) (2012).

Basic Financial Mathematics

In the fifteenth century
mathematics was mainly concerned with
questions of commercial arithmetic and
the problems of the architect.
— Joseph Alois Schumpeter (1883–1950)

I'm more concerned about
the return of my money than
the return on my money.
— Will Rogers (1879–1935)

The Time Line



Time Value of Money^a

$$FV = PV(1 + r)^n, \quad (1)$$

$$PV = FV \times (1 + r)^{-n}.$$

- FV (future value).
- PV (present value).
- r : interest rate.

^aFibonacci (1170–1240) and Irving Fisher (1867–1947).

Periodic Compounding

- Suppose the annual interest rate r is compounded m times per annum.
- Then

$$1 \rightarrow \left(1 + \frac{r}{m}\right) \rightarrow \left(1 + \frac{r}{m}\right)^2 \rightarrow \left(1 + \frac{r}{m}\right)^3 \rightarrow \dots$$

- Hence, after n years,

$$\text{FV} = \text{PV} \left(1 + \frac{r}{m}\right)^{nm}. \quad (2)$$

Common Compounding Methods

- Annual compounding: $m = 1$.
- Semiannual compounding: $m = 2$.
- Quarterly compounding: $m = 4$.
- Monthly compounding: $m = 12$.
- Weekly compounding: $m = 52$.
- Daily compounding: $m = 365$.

Easy Translations

- An annual interest rate of r compounded m times a year is “equivalent to” an interest rate of r/m per $1/m$ year.
- If a loan asks for a return of 1% per month, the annual interest rate will be 12% *with monthly compounding*.

Example

- Annual interest rate is 10% compounded twice per annum.
- Each dollar will grow to be

$$[1 + (0.1/2)]^2 = 1.1025$$

one year from now.

- The rate is “equivalent to” an interest rate of 10.25% compounded once *per annum*,

$$1 + 0.1025 = 1.1025$$

Continuous Compounding^a

- Let $m \rightarrow \infty$ so that

$$\left(1 + \frac{r}{m}\right)^m \rightarrow e^r$$

in Eq. (2) on p. 26.

- Then

$$FV = PV \times e^{rn},$$

where $e = 2.71828 \dots$

^aJacob Bernoulli (1654–1705) in 1685.

Continuous Compounding (concluded)

- Continuous compounding is easier to work with.
- Suppose the annual interest rate is r_1 for n_1 years and r_2 for the following n_2 years.
- Then the FV of one dollar will be

$$e^{r_1 n_1 + r_2 n_2}$$

after $n_1 + n_2$ years.

The PV Formula

- The PV of the cash flow C_1, C_2, \dots, C_n at times $1, 2, \dots, n$ is

$$\text{PV} = \frac{C_1}{1+y} + \frac{C_2}{(1+y)^2} + \dots + \frac{C_n}{(1+y)^n}. \quad (3)$$

- This formula and its variations are the engine behind most of financial calculations.^a
 - What is y ?
 - What are C_i ?
 - What is n ?

^aCochrane (2005), “Asset pricing theory all stems from one simple concept [...]: price equals expected discounted payoff.”

An Algorithm for Evaluating PV in Eq. (3)

```
1:  $x := 0$ ;  
2: for  $i = 1, 2, \dots, n$  do  
3:    $x := x + C_i / (1 + y)^i$ ;  
4: end for  
5: return  $x$ ;
```

- The algorithm takes time proportional to $\sum_{i=1}^n i = O(n^2)$.^a
- Can improve it to $O(n)$ if you apply $a^b = e^{b \ln a}$ in step 3.^b

^aIf only $+$, $-$, \times , and $/$ are allowed.

^bRecall that we count x^y as taking one unit of time.

Another Algorithm for Evaluating PV

```
1:  $x := 0$ ;  
2:  $d := 1 + y$ ;  
3: for  $i = n, n - 1, \dots, 1$  do  
4:    $x := (x + C_i)/d$ ;  
5: end for  
6: return  $x$ ;
```

Horner's Rule: The Idea Behind p. 34

- This idea is

$$\left(\cdots \left(\left(\frac{C_n}{1+y} + C_{n-1} \right) \frac{1}{1+y} + C_{n-2} \right) \frac{1}{1+y} + \cdots \right) \frac{1}{1+y}.$$

– Due to Horner (1786–1837) in 1819.

- The algorithm takes $O(n)$ time.
- It is the most efficient possible in terms of the absolute number of arithmetic operations.^a

^aBorodin and Munro (1975).

Conversion between Compounding Methods

- Suppose r_1 is the annual rate with continuous compounding.
- Suppose r_2 is the equivalent rate compounded m times per annum.
- How are they related?

Conversion between Compounding Methods (concluded)

- Principle: Both interest rates must produce the same amount of money after one year.
- That is,

$$\left(1 + \frac{r_2}{m}\right)^m = e^{r_1}.$$

- Therefore,^a

$$\begin{aligned} r_1 &= m \ln \left(1 + \frac{r_2}{m}\right), \\ r_2 &= m \left(e^{r_1/m} - 1\right). \end{aligned}$$

^aAre they really equivalent? In what sense are they equivalent?

Annuities^a

- An annuity pays out the same C dollars at the end of each year for n years.
- With a rate of r , the FV at the end of the n th year is

$$\sum_{i=0}^{n-1} C(1+r)^i = C \frac{(1+r)^n - 1}{r}. \quad (4)$$

^aJan de Witt (1625–1672) in 1671 and Nicholas Bernoulli (1687–1759) in 1709.

General Annuities

- If m payments of C dollars each are received per year (the general annuity), then Eq. (4) becomes

$$C \frac{\left(1 + \frac{r}{m}\right)^{nm} - 1}{\frac{r}{m}}.$$

- The PV of a general annuity is

$$\text{PV} = \sum_{i=1}^{nm} C \left(1 + \frac{r}{m}\right)^{-i} = C \frac{1 - \left(1 + \frac{r}{m}\right)^{-nm}}{\frac{r}{m}}. \quad (5)$$

Amortization

- It is a method of repaying a loan through regular payments of interest *and* principal.
- The size of the loan (the original balance) is reduced by the principal part of each payment.
- The interest part of each payment pays the interest incurred on the remaining principal balance.
- As the principal gets paid down over the term of the loan, the interest part of the payment diminishes.

Example: Home Mortgage

- By paying down the principal consistently, the risk to the lender is lowered.
- When the borrower sells the house, only the remaining principal is due the lender.
- Consider the equal-payment case, i.e., fixed-rate, level-payment, fully amortized mortgages.
 - They are called traditional mortgages in the U.S.

A Numerical Example

- Consider a 15-year, \$250,000 loan at 8.0% interest rate.
- Solve Eq. (5) on p. 39 with $PV = 250000$, $n = 15$, $m = 12$, and $r = 0.08$.
- That is,

$$250000 = C \frac{1 - \left(1 + \frac{0.08}{12}\right)^{-15 \times 12}}{\frac{0.08}{12}}.$$

- This gives a monthly payment of $C = 2389.13$.

The Amortization Schedule

Month	Payment	Interest	Principal	Remaining principal
				250,000.000
1	2,389.13	1,666.667	722.464	249,277.536
2	2,389.13	1,661.850	727.280	248,550.256
3	2,389.13	1,657.002	732.129	247,818.128
...				
178	2,389.13	47.153	2,341.980	4,730.899
179	2,389.13	31.539	2,357.591	2,373.308
180	2,389.13	15.822	2,373.308	0.000
Total	430,043.438	180,043.438	250,000.000	

A Numerical Example (continued)

In every month:

- The principal and interest parts add up to \$2,389.13.
- The remaining principal is reduced by the amount indicated under the **Principal** heading.^a
- The interest is computed by multiplying the remaining balance of the previous month by $0.08/12$.

^aThis column varies with r . Thanks to a lively class discussion on Feb 24, 2010. In fact, every column varies with r . Contributed by Ms. Wu, Japie (R01921056) on February 20, 2013.

A Numerical Example (concluded)

Note that:

- The Principal column adds up to \$250,000.
- The Payment column adds up to \$430,043.438!
- If the borrower plans to pay off the mortgage right after the 178th month's monthly payment, he needs to pay another \$4,730.899.^a

^aContributed by Ms. Wu, Japie (R01921056) on February 20, 2013.

Method 1 of Calculating the Remaining Principal

- A month's principal payment = monthly payment – (previous month's remaining principal) \times (monthly interest rate).
- A month's remaining principal = previous month's remaining principal – principal payment calculated above.
- Generate the amortization schedule until you reach the particular month you are interested in.

Method 1 of Calculating the Remaining Principal (concluded)

- This method is relatively slow but is universal in its applicability.
- It can, for example, accommodate prepayments and variable interest rates.

Method 2 of Calculating the Remaining Principal

- Right after the k th payment, the remaining principal is the PV of the future $nm - k$ cash flows,

$$\sum_{i=1}^{nm-k} C \left(1 + \frac{r}{m}\right)^{-i} = C \frac{1 - \left(1 + \frac{r}{m}\right)^{-nm+k}}{\frac{r}{m}}. \quad (6)$$

- This method is much faster.
- But it is more limited in applications because it assumes more.

Yields

- The term yield denotes the return of investment.
- Two widely used yields are the bond equivalent yield (BEY) and the mortgage equivalent yield (MEY).
- Recall Eq. (2) on p. 26: $FV = PV \left(1 + \frac{r}{m}\right)^{nm}$.
- BEY corresponds to the r above that equates PV with FV when $m = 2$.
- MEY corresponds to the r above that equates PV with FV when $m = 12$.
- Again, although BEY and MEY might be different, they nevertheless mean the *same* yield.

Internal Rate of Return (IRR)

- It is the yield y which equates an investment's PV with its price P ,

$$P = \frac{C_1}{(1+y)} + \frac{C_2}{(1+y)^2} + \frac{C_3}{(1+y)^3} + \cdots + \frac{C_n}{(1+y)^n}.$$

- IRR assumes all cash flows are reinvested at the *same* rate as the internal rate of return because:

$$FV = C_1(1+y)^{n-1} + C_2(1+y)^{n-2} + C_3(1+y)^{n-3} + \cdots + C_n.$$

- So it must be used with extreme caution.

Numerical Methods for IRRs

- Define


$$f(y) \equiv \sum_{t=1}^n \frac{C_t}{(1+y)^t} - P.$$

– P is the market price.

- Solve $f(y) = 0$ for a real $y \geq -1$.
- $f(y)$ is monotonically decreasing in y if $C_t > 0$ for all t .
- So a unique real-number solution exists for this $f(y)$.

The Bisection Method

- Start with a and b where $a < b$ and $f(a)f(b) < 0$.
- Then $f(\xi)$ must be zero for some $\xi \in [a, b]$.
- If we evaluate f at the midpoint $c \equiv (a + b)/2$, either (1) $f(c) = 0$, (2) $f(a)f(c) < 0$, or (3) $f(c)f(b) < 0$.
- In the first case we are done, in the second case we continue the process with the new bracket $[a, c]$, and in the third case we continue with $[c, b]$.
- The bracket is halved in the latter two cases.
- After n steps, we will have confined ξ within a bracket of length $(b - a)/2^n$.




The Newton-Raphson Method

- It converges faster than the bisection method.
- Start with a first approximation x_0 to a root of $f(x) = 0$.
- Then

$$x_{k+1} \equiv x_k - \frac{f(x_k)}{f'(x_k)}.$$

- When computing yields,

$$f'(x) = - \sum_{t=1}^n \frac{tC_t}{(1+x)^{t+1}}. \quad (7)$$



The Secant Method

- A variant of the Newton-Raphson method.
- Replace differentiation with difference.
- Start with two approximations x_0 and x_1 .
- Then compute the $(k + 1)$ st approximation with

$$x_{k+1} = x_k - \frac{f(x_k)(x_k - x_{k-1})}{f(x_k) - f(x_{k-1})}.$$

- Note that it is easier to calculate $[f(x_k) - f(x_{k-1})]/(x_k - x_{k-1})$ than the $f'(x_k)$ on p. 54.

The Secant Method (concluded)

- Its convergence rate is 1.618.
- This is slightly worse than the Newton-Raphson method's 2.
- But the secant method does not need to evaluate $f'(x_k)$ needed by the Newton-Raphson method.
- This saves about 50% in computation efforts per iteration.
- The convergence rate of the bisection method is 1.

Solving Systems of Nonlinear Equations

- It is not easy to extend the bisection method to higher dimensions.
- But the Newton-Raphson method can be extended to higher dimensions.
- Let (x_k, y_k) be the k th approximation to the solution of the two simultaneous equations,

$$f(x, y) = 0,$$

$$g(x, y) = 0.$$

Solving Systems of Nonlinear Equations (concluded)

- The $(k + 1)$ st approximation (x_{k+1}, y_{k+1}) satisfies the following linear equations,

$$\begin{bmatrix} \frac{\partial f(x_k, y_k)}{\partial x} & \frac{\partial f(x_k, y_k)}{\partial y} \\ \frac{\partial g(x_k, y_k)}{\partial x} & \frac{\partial g(x_k, y_k)}{\partial y} \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} \Delta x_{k+1} \\ \Delta y_{k+1} \end{bmatrix} = - \begin{bmatrix} f(x_k, y_k) \\ g(x_k, y_k) \end{bmatrix},$$

where unknowns $\Delta x_{k+1} \equiv x_{k+1} - x_k$ and

$\Delta y_{k+1} \equiv y_{k+1} - y_k$.

- The above has a unique solution for $(\Delta x_{k+1}, \Delta y_{k+1})$ when the 2×2 matrix is invertible.
- Set

$$x_{k+1} = x_k + \Delta x_{k+1},$$

$$y_{k+1} = y_k + \Delta y_{k+1}.$$

Zero-Coupon Bonds (Pure Discount Bonds)

- By Eq. (1) on p. 25, the price of a zero-coupon bond that pays F dollars in n periods is

$$F/(1 + r)^n,$$

where r is the interest rate per period.

- Can be used to meet future obligations as there is no reinvestment risk.


Example

- The interest rate is 8% compounded semiannually.
- A zero-coupon bond that pays the par value 20 years from now will be priced at $1/(1.04)^{40}$, or 20.83%, of its par value.
- It will be quoted as 20.83.^a
- If the bond matures in 10 years instead of 20, its price would be 45.64.

^aOnly one fifth of the par value!

Level-Coupon Bonds

- Coupon rate.
- Par value, paid at maturity.
- F denotes the par value, and C denotes the coupon.
- Cash flow:



- Coupon bonds can be thought of as a matching package of zero-coupon bonds, at least theoretically.^a

^a “You see, Daddy didn’t bake the cake, and Daddy isn’t the one who gets to eat it. But he gets to slice the cake and hand it out. And when he does, little golden crumbs fall off the cake. And Daddy gets to eat those,” wrote Tom Wolfe (1931–) in *Bonfire of the Vanities* (1987).

Pricing Formula

$$\begin{aligned} P &= \sum_{i=1}^n \frac{C}{\left(1 + \frac{r}{m}\right)^i} + \frac{F}{\left(1 + \frac{r}{m}\right)^n} \\ &= C \frac{1 - \left(1 + \frac{r}{m}\right)^{-n}}{\frac{r}{m}} + \frac{F}{\left(1 + \frac{r}{m}\right)^n}. \end{aligned} \quad (8)$$

- n : number of cash flows.
- m : number of payments per year.
- r : annual rate compounded m times per annum.
- Note $C = Fc/m$ when c is the annual coupon rate.
- Price P can be computed in $O(1)$ time.

Yields to Maturity

- It is the r that satisfies Eq. (8) on p. 63 with P being the bond price.
- For a 15% BEY, a 10-year bond with a coupon rate of 10% paid semiannually sells for

$$5 \times \frac{1 - [1 + (0.15/2)]^{-2 \times 10}}{0.15/2} + \frac{100}{[1 + (0.15/2)]^{2 \times 10}} \\ = 74.5138$$

percent of par.

- So 15% is the yield to maturity if the bond sells for 74.5138.^a

^aNote that the coupon rate 10% is less than the yield 15%.

Price Behavior (1)

- Bond prices fall when interest rates rise, and vice versa.
- “Only 24 percent answered the question correctly.”^a

^aCNN, December 21, 2001.

Price Behavior (2)^a

- A level-coupon bond sells
 - at a premium (above its par value) when its coupon rate c is above the market interest rate r ;
 - at par (at its par value) when its coupon rate is equal to the market interest rate;
 - at a discount (below its par value) when its coupon rate is below the market interest rate.

^aConsult the text for proofs.


9% Coupon Bond

Yield (%)	Price (% of par)
7.5	113.37
8.0	108.65
8.5	104.19
9.0	100.00
9.5	96.04
10.0	92.31
10.5	88.79

Terminology

- Bonds selling at par are called par bonds.
- Bonds selling at a premium are called premium bonds.
- Bonds selling at a discount are called discount bonds.

Price Behavior (3): Convexity



Day Count Conventions: Actual/Actual

- The first “actual” refers to the actual number of days in a month.
- The second refers to the actual number of days in a coupon period.
- The number of days between June 17, 1992, and October 1, 1992, is 106.
 - 13 days in June, 31 days in July, 31 days in August, 30 days in September, and 1 day in October.

Day Count Conventions: 30/360

- Each month has 30 days and each year 360 days.
- The number of days between June 17, 1992, and October 1, 1992, is 104.
 - 13 days in June, 30 days in July, 30 days in August, 30 days in September, and 1 day in October.
- In general, the number of days from date $D_1 \equiv (y_1, m_1, d_1)$ to date $D_2 \equiv (y_2, m_2, d_2)$ is
$$360 \times (y_2 - y_1) + 30 \times (m_2 - m_1) + (d_2 - d_1)$$
- But if d_1 or d_2 is 31, we need to change it to 30 before applying the above formula.

Day Count Conventions: 30/360 (concluded)

- An equivalent formula without any adjustment is

$$360 \times (y_2 - y_1) + 30 \times (m_2 - m_1 - 1) \\ + \max(30 - d_1, 0) + \min(d_2, 30).$$

- Many variations regarding 31, Feb 28, and Feb 29.