Backward Induction on the RT Tree

- After the RT tree is constructed, it can be used to price options by backward induction.
- Recall that each node keeps two variances h_{\max}^2 and h_{\min}^2 .
- We now increase that number to K equally spaced variances between h_{max}^2 and h_{min}^2 at each node.
- Besides the minimum and maximum variances, the other K-2 variances in between are linearly interpolated.^a

^aIn practice, log-linear interpolation works better (Lyuu and Wu (2005)). Log-cubic interpolation works even better (Liu (2005)).

Backward Induction on the RT Tree (continued)

- For example, if K = 3, then a variance of 10.5436×10^{-6} will be added between the maximum and minimum variances at node (2,0) on p. 710.^a
- In general, the kth variance at node (i, j) is

$$h_{\min}^2(i,j) + k \, \frac{h_{\max}^2(i,j) - h_{\min}^2(i,j)}{K-1},$$

 $k = 0, 1, \dots, K - 1.$

• Each interpolated variance's jump parameter and branching probabilities can be computed as before.

^aRepeated on p. 730.



Backward Induction on the RT Tree (concluded)

- During backward induction, if a variance falls between two of the K variances, linear interpolation of the option prices corresponding to the two bracketing variances will be used as the approximate option price.
- The above ideas are reminiscent of the ones on p. 337, where we dealt with arithmetic average-rate options.

Numerical Examples

- We next use the numerical example on p. 730 to price a European call option with a strike price of 100 and expiring at date 3.
- Recall that the riskless interest rate is zero.
- Assume K = 2; hence there are no interpolated variances.
- The pricing tree is shown on p. 733 with a call price of 0.66346.
 - The branching probabilities needed in backward induction can be found on p. 734.





- Let us derive some of the numbers on p. 733.
- A gray line means the updated variance falls strictly between h_{max}^2 and h_{min}^2 .
- The option price for a terminal node at date 3 equals $\max(S_3 100, 0)$, independent of the variance level.
- Now move on to nodes at date 2.
- The option price at node (2,3) depends on those at nodes (3,5), (3,3), and (3,1).
- It therefore equals

 $0.1387 \times 5.37392 + 0.7197 \times 3.19054 + 0.1416 \times 1.05240 = 3.19054.$

- Option prices for other nodes at date 2 can be computed similarly.
- For node (1, 1), the option price for both variances is
 0.1237 × 3.19054 + 0.7499 × 1.05240 + 0.1264 × 0.14573 = 1.20241.
- Node (1,0) is most interesting.
- We knew that a down move from it gives a variance of 0.000105609.
- This number falls between the minimum variance
 0.000105173 and the maximum variance 0.0001227 at
 node (2,-1) on p. 730.

- The option price corresponding to the minimum variance is 0.
- The option price corresponding to the maximum variance is 0.14573.
- The equation

 $x \times 0.000105173 + (1 - x) \times 0.0001227 = 0.000105609$

is satisfied by x = 0.9751.

• So the option for the down state is approximated by

$$x \times 0 + (1 - x) \times 0.14573 = 0.00362.$$

- The up move leads to the state with option price 1.05240.
- The middle move leads to the state with option price 0.48366.
- The option price at node (1,0) is finally calculated as
 0.4775 × 1.05240 + 0.0400 × 0.48366 + 0.4825 × 0.00362 = 0.52360.

- It is possible for some of the three variances following an interpolated variance to exceed the maximum variance or be exceeded by the minimum variance.
- When this happens, the option price corresponding to the maximum or minimum variance will be used during backward induction.^a
- An interpolated variance may choose a branch that goes into a node that is *not* reached in the forward-induction tree-building phase.^b

^aCakici and Topyan (2000). ^bLyuu and Wu (2005).

Numerical Examples (concluded)

- In this case, the algorithm fails.
- It may also be hard to calculate the implied β₁ and β₂ from option prices.^a

^aChang (2006).

Introduction to Term Structure Modeling

The fox often ran to the hole by which they had come in, to find out if his body was still thin enough to slip through it. — Grimm's Fairy Tales And the worst thing you can have is models and spreadsheets.— Warren Buffet, May 3, 2008

Outline

- Use the binomial interest rate tree to model stochastic term structure.
 - Illustrates the basic ideas underlying future models.
 - Applications are generic in that pricing and hedging methodologies can be easily adapted to other models.
- Although the idea is similar to the earlier one used in option pricing, the current task is more complicated.
 - The evolution of an entire term structure, not just a single stock price, is to be modeled.
 - Interest rates of various maturities cannot evolve arbitrarily or arbitrage profits may occur.

lssues

- A stochastic interest rate model performs two tasks.
 - Provides a stochastic process that defines future term structures without arbitrage profits.
 - "Consistent" with the observed term structures.
- The unbiased expectations theory, the liquidity preference theory, and the market segmentation theory can all be made consistent with the model.

History

- Methodology founded by Merton (1970).
- Modern interest rate modeling is often traced to 1977 when Vasicek and Cox, Ingersoll, and Ross developed simultaneously their influential models.
- Early models have fitting problems because they may not price today's benchmark bonds correctly.
- An alternative approach pioneered by Ho and Lee (1986) makes fitting the market yield curve mandatory.
- Models based on such a paradigm are called (somewhat misleadingly) arbitrage-free or no-arbitrage models.

Binomial Interest Rate Tree

• Goal is to construct a no-arbitrage interest rate tree consistent with the yields and/or yield volatilities of zero-coupon bonds of all maturities.

– This procedure is called calibration.^a

• Pick a binomial tree model in which the logarithm of the future short rate obeys the binomial distribution.

- Exactly like the CRR tree.

• The limiting distribution of the short rate at any future time is hence lognormal.

^aDerman (2004), "complexity without calibration is pointless."

- A binomial tree of future short rates is constructed.
- Every short rate is followed by two short rates in the following period (see p. 749).
- In the figure on p. 749 node A coincides with the start of period j during which the short rate r is in effect.



- At the conclusion of period j, a new short rate goes into effect for period j + 1.
- This may take one of two possible values:
 - r_{ℓ} : the "low" short-rate outcome at node B.
 - $r_{\rm h}$: the "high" short-rate outcome at node C.
- Each branch has a fifty percent chance of occurring in a risk-neutral economy.

- We shall require that the paths combine as the binomial process unfolds.
- The short rate r can go to $r_{\rm h}$ and r_{ℓ} with equal risk-neutral probability 1/2 in a period of length Δt .
- Hence the volatility of $\ln r$ after Δt time is

$$\sigma = \frac{1}{2} \frac{1}{\sqrt{\Delta t}} \ln\left(\frac{r_{\rm h}}{r_{\ell}}\right)$$

(see Exercise 23.2.3 in text).

• Above, σ is annualized, whereas r_{ℓ} and $r_{\rm h}$ are period based.

• Note that

$$\frac{r_{\rm h}}{r_{\ell}} = e^{2\sigma\sqrt{\Delta t}}.$$

- Thus greater volatility, hence uncertainty, leads to larger $r_{\rm h}/r_{\ell}$ and wider ranges of possible short rates.
- The ratio $r_{\rm h}/r_{\ell}$ may depend on time if the volatility is a function of time.
- Note that $r_{\rm h}/r_{\ell}$ has nothing to do with the current short rate r if σ is independent of r.

• In general there are j possible rates in period j,

$$r_j, r_j v_j, r_j v_j^2, \ldots, r_j v_j^{j-1},$$

where

$$v_j \equiv e^{2\sigma_j \sqrt{\Delta t}} \tag{77}$$

is the multiplicative ratio for the rates in period j (see figure on next page).

- We shall call r_j the baseline rates.
- The subscript j in σ_j is meant to emphasize that the short rate volatility may be time dependent.



• In the limit, the short rate follows the following process,

$$r(t) = \mu(t) e^{\sigma(t) W(t)},$$
 (78)

in which the (percent) short rate volatility $\sigma(t)$ is a deterministic function of time.

- As the expected value of r(t) equals $\mu(t) e^{\sigma(t)^2(t/2)}$, a declining short rate volatility is usually imposed to preclude the short rate from assuming implausibly high values.
- Incidentally, this is how the binomial interest rate tree achieves mean reversion.

Memory Issues

- Path independency: The term structure at any node is independent of the path taken to reach it.
- So only the baseline rates r_i and the multiplicative ratios v_i need to be stored in computer memory.
- This takes up only O(n) space.^a
- Storing the whole tree would have taken up $O(n^2)$ space.
 - Daily interest rate movements for 30 years require roughly $(30 \times 365)^2/2 \approx 6 \times 10^7$ double-precision floating-point numbers (half a gigabyte!).

^aThroughout this chapter, n denotes the depth of the tree.

Set Things in Motion

- The abstract process is now in place.
- Now need the annualized rates of return associated with the various riskless bonds that make up the benchmark yield curve and their volatilities.
- In the U.S., for example, the on-the-run yield curve obtained by the most recently issued Treasury securities may be used as the benchmark curve.

Set Things in Motion (concluded)

- The term structure of (yield) volatilities^a can be estimated from either the historical data (historical volatility) or interest rate option prices such as cap prices (implied volatility).
- The binomial tree should be consistent with both term structures.
- Here we focus on the term structure of interest rates.

^aOr simply the volatility (term) structure.

Model Term Structures

- The model price is computed by backward induction.
- Refer back to the figure on p. 749.
- Given that the values at nodes B and C are $P_{\rm B}$ and $P_{\rm C}$, respectively, the value at node A is then

$$\frac{P_{\rm B}+P_{\rm C}}{2(1+r)} + {\rm cash~flow~at~node~A}. \label{eq:pb}$$

- We compute the values column by column without explicitly expanding the binomial interest rate tree (see figure next page).
- This takes quadratic time and linear space.



Term Structure Dynamics

- An *n*-period zero-coupon bond's price can be computed by assigning \$1 to every node at period *n* and then applying backward induction.
- Repeating this step for n = 1, 2, ..., one obtains the market discount function implied by the tree.
- The tree therefore determines a term structure.
- It also contains a term structure dynamics.
 - Taking any node in the tree as the current state induces a binomial interest rate tree and, again, a term structure.

Sample Term Structure

- We shall construct interest rate trees consistent with the sample term structure in the following table.
- Assume the short rate volatility is such that $v \equiv r_{\rm h}/r_{\ell} = 1.5$, independent of time.

1	2	3
4	4.2	4.3
4	4.4	4.5
0.96154	0.92101	0.88135
	1 4 4 0.96154	1244.244.40.961540.92101

An Approximate Calibration Scheme

- Start with the implied one-period forward rates and then equate the expected short rate with the forward rate (see Exercise 5.6.6 in text).
- For the first period, the forward rate is today's one-period spot rate.
- In general, let f_j denote the forward rate in period j.
- This forward rate can be derived from the market discount function via $f_j = (d(j)/d(j+1)) 1$ (see Exercise 5.6.3 in text).

An Approximate Calibration Scheme (continued)

• Since the *i*th short rate $r_j v_j^{i-1}$, $1 \le i \le j$, occurs with probability $2^{-(j-1)} {j-1 \choose i-1}$, this means

$$\sum_{i=1}^{j} 2^{-(j-1)} \binom{j-1}{i-1} r_j v_j^{i-1} = f_j$$

• Thus

$$r_j = \left(\frac{2}{1+v_j}\right)^{j-1} f_j. \tag{79}$$

• The binomial interest rate tree is trivial to set up.

An Approximate Calibration Scheme (concluded)

- The ensuing tree for the sample term structure appears in figure next page.
- For example, the price of the zero-coupon bond paying \$1 at the end of the third period is

$$\frac{1}{4} \times \frac{1}{1.04} \times \left(\frac{1}{1.0352} \times \left(\frac{1}{1.0288} + \frac{1}{1.0432}\right) + \frac{1}{1.0528} \times \left(\frac{1}{1.0432} + \frac{1}{1.0648}\right)\right)$$

or 0.88155, which exceeds discount factor 0.88135.

- The tree is thus not calibrated.
- Indeed, this bias is inherent (see text).



Issues in Calibration

- The model prices generated by the binomial interest rate tree should match the observed market prices.
- Perhaps the most crucial aspect of model building.
- Treat the backward induction for the model price of the m-period zero-coupon bond as computing some function of the unknown baseline rate r_m called $f(r_m)$.
- A root-finding method is applied to solve $f(r_m) = P$ for r_m given the zero's price P and $r_1, r_2, \ldots, r_{m-1}$.
- This procedure is carried out for m = 1, 2, ..., n.
- It runs in cubic time, hopelessly slow.

Binomial Interest Rate Tree Calibration

- Calibration can be accomplished in quadratic time by the use of forward induction.^a
- The scheme records how much \$1 at a node contributes to the model price.
- This number is called the state price.
 - It is the price of a state contingent claim that pays\$1 at that particular node (state) and 0 elsewhere.
- The column of state prices will be established by moving *forward* from time 1 to time n.

^aJamshidian (1991).

Binomial Interest Rate Tree Calibration (continued)

- Suppose we are at time j and there are j+1 nodes.
 - The baseline rate for period j is $r \equiv r_j$.
 - The multiplicative ratio be $v \equiv v_j$.
 - $-P_1, P_2, \ldots, P_j$ are the state prices at time j-1, corresponding to rates r, rv, \ldots, rv^{j-1} .
- By definition, $\sum_{i=1}^{j} P_i$ is the price of the (j-1)-period zero-coupon bond.
- We want to find r based on P_1, P_2, \ldots, P_j and the price of the *j*-period zero-coupon bond.

Binomial Interest Rate Tree Calibration (continued)

- One dollar at time j has a known market value of $1/[1+S(j)]^j$, where S(j) is the j-period spot rate.
- Alternatively, this dollar has a present value of

$$g(r) \equiv \frac{P_1}{(1+r)} + \frac{P_2}{(1+rv)} + \frac{P_3}{(1+rv^2)} + \dots + \frac{P_j}{(1+rv^{j-1})}.$$

• So we solve

$$g(r) = \frac{1}{[1+S(j)]^j}$$
(80)

for r.

Binomial Interest Rate Tree Calibration (continued)

- Given a decreasing market discount function, a unique positive solution for r is guaranteed.
- The state prices at time *j* can now be calculated (see figure (a) next page).
- We call a tree with these state prices a binomial state price tree (see figure (b) next page).
- The calibrated tree is depicted on p. 773.





Binomial Interest Rate Tree Calibration (concluded)

- The Newton-Raphson method can be used to solve for the r in Eq. (80) on p. 770 as g'(r) is easy to evaluate.
- The monotonicity and the convexity of g(r) also facilitate root finding.
- The total running time is $O(Cn^2)$, where C is the maximum number of times the root-finding routine iterates, each consuming O(n) work.
- With a good initial guess, the Newton-Raphson method converges in only a few steps^a

^aLyuu (1999).

A Numerical Example

- One dollar at the end of the second period should have a present value of 0.92101 by the sample term structure.
- The baseline rate for the second period, r_2 , satisfies

$$\frac{0.480769}{1+r_2} + \frac{0.480769}{1+1.5 \times r_2} = 0.92101.$$

- The result is $r_2 = 3.526\%$.
- This is used to derive the next column of state prices shown in figure (b) on p. 772 as 0.232197, 0.460505, and 0.228308.
- Their sum gives the correct market discount factor 0.92101.

A Numerical Example (concluded)

• The baseline rate for the third period, r_3 , satisfies

$$\frac{0.232197}{1+r_3} + \frac{0.460505}{1+1.5 \times r_3} + \frac{0.228308}{1+(1.5)^2 \times r_3} = 0.88135.$$

- The result is $r_3 = 2.895\%$.
- Now, redo the calculation on p. 765 using the new rates: $\frac{1}{4} \times \frac{1}{1.04} \times \left[\frac{1}{1.03526} \times \left(\frac{1}{1.02895} + \frac{1}{1.04343}\right) + \frac{1}{1.05289} \times \left(\frac{1}{1.04343} + \frac{1}{1.06514}\right)\right],$ which equals 0.88135, an exact match.
- The tree on p. 773 prices without bias the benchmark securities.