Control Variates

- Use the analytic solution of a similar yet simpler problem to improve the solution.
- Suppose we want to estimate E[X] and there exists a random variable Y with a known mean $\mu \equiv E[Y]$.
- Then $W \equiv X + \beta(Y \mu)$ can serve as a "controlled" estimator of E[X] for any constant β .
 - $-\beta$ can scale the deviation $Y \mu$ to arrive at an adjustment for X.
 - However β is chosen, W remains an unbiased estimator of E[X] as

$$E[W] = E[X] + \beta E[Y - \mu] = E[X].$$

©2006 Prof. Yuh-Dauh Lyuu, National Taiwan University

Page 577

Control Variates (continued)

• Note that

$$\operatorname{Var}[W] = \operatorname{Var}[X] + \beta^{2} \operatorname{Var}[Y] + 2\beta \operatorname{Cov}[X, Y],$$
(64)

• Hence W is less variable than X if and only if

$$\beta^2 \operatorname{Var}[Y] + 2\beta \operatorname{Cov}[X, Y] < 0.$$
(65)

• The success of the scheme clearly depends on both β and the choice of Y.



©2006 Prof. Yuh-Dauh Lyuu, National Taiwan University

Page 579

Choice of Y

- In general, the choice of Y is ad hoc, and experiments must be performed to confirm the wisdom of the choice.
- Try to match calls with calls and puts with puts.^a
- On many occasions, Y is a discretized version of the derivative that gives μ.
 - Discretely monitored geometric average-rate option vs. the continuously monitored geometric average-rate option given by formulas (29) on p. 318.
- For some choices, the discrepancy can be significant, such as the lookback option.^b

 $^{\rm a}{\rm Contributed}$ by Ms. Teng, Huei-Wen (R91723054) on May 25, 2004. $^{\rm b}{\rm Contributed}$ by Mr. Tsai, Hwai (R92723049) on May 12, 2004.

Optimal Choice of β

• Equation (64) on p. 578 is minimized when

 $\beta = -\operatorname{Cov}[X, Y] / \operatorname{Var}[Y],$

which was called beta earlier in the book.

• For this specific β ,

$$\operatorname{Var}[W] = \operatorname{Var}[X] - \frac{\operatorname{Cov}[X,Y]^2}{\operatorname{Var}[Y]} = \left(1 - \rho_{X,Y}^2\right) \operatorname{Var}[X],$$

where $\rho_{X,Y}$ is the correlation between X and Y.

• The stronger X and Y are correlated, the greater the reduction in variance.

©2006 Prof. Yuh-Dauh Lyuu, National Taiwan University

Page 581

Optimal Choice of β (continued)

- For example, if this correlation is nearly perfect (±1), we could control X almost exactly, eliminating practically all of its variance.
- Typically, neither $\operatorname{Var}[Y]$ nor $\operatorname{Cov}[X, Y]$ is known.
- Therefore, we cannot obtain the maximum reduction in variance.
- We can guess these values and hope that the resulting W does indeed have a smaller variance than X.
- A second possibility is to use the simulated data to estimate these quantities.



- Hence, if X and Y are positively correlated, $\beta < 0$, then X is adjusted downward whenever $Y > \mu$ and upward otherwise.
- The opposite is true when X and Y are negatively correlated, in which case $\beta > 0$.

©2006 Prof. Yuh-Dauh Lyuu, National Taiwan University

Page 583

Problems with the Monte Carlo Method

- The error bound is only probabilistic.
- The probabilistic error bound of \sqrt{N} does not benefit from regularity of the integrand function.
- The requirement that the points be independent random samples are wasteful because of clustering.
- In reality, pseudorandom numbers generated by completely deterministic means are used.
- Monte Carlo simulation exhibits a great sensitivity on the seed of the pseudorandom-number generator.

Matrix Computation

©2006 Prof. Yuh-Dauh Lyuu, National Taiwan University

Page 585

To set up a philosophy against physics is rash; philosophers who have done so have always ended in disaster. — Bertrand Russell



Demittions and Dasie Results (continued)

- A square matrix A is said to be symmetric if $A^{\mathrm{T}} = A$.
- A real $n \times n$ matrix $A \equiv [a_{ij}]_{i,j}$ is diagonally dominant if $|a_{ii}| > \sum_{j \neq i} |a_{ij}|$ for $1 \le i \le n$.
 - $-\,$ Such matrices are nonsingular.
- The identity matrix is the square matrix

 $I \equiv \operatorname{diag}[1, 1, \dots, 1].$

Definitions and Basic Results (concluded)

- A matrix has full column rank if its columns are linearly independent.
- A real symmetric matrix A is positive definite if $x^{\mathrm{T}}Ax = \sum_{i,j} a_{ij}x_ix_j > 0$ for any nonzero vector x.
- It is known that a matrix A is positive definite if and only if there exists a matrix W such that A = W^TW and W has full column rank.

Decompositions

• Gaussian elimination can be used to factor any square matrix all of whose leading principal submatrices are nonsingular into a product of a lower triangular matrix L and an upper triangular matrix U:

$$A = LU.$$

- This is called the LU decomposition.
- The conditions are satisfied by positive definite matrices and diagonally dominant matrices.
- Positive definite matrices can in fact be factored as

$$A = LL^{\mathrm{T}},\tag{66}$$

called the Cholesky decomposition.

©2006 Prof. Yuh-Dauh Lyuu, National Taiwan University

Page 591

Generation of Multivariate Normal Distribution

- Let $\boldsymbol{x} \equiv [x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n]^{\mathrm{T}}$ be a vector random variable with a positive definite covariance matrix C.
- As usual, assume $E[\mathbf{x}] = \mathbf{0}$.
- This distribution can be generated by Py.
 - $-C = PP^{T}$ is the Cholesky decomposition of C.
 - $\mathbf{y} \equiv [y_1, y_2, \dots, y_n]^{\mathrm{T}}$ is a vector random variable with a covariance matrix equal to the identity matrix.

Gaussian Elimination^a

- Gaussian elimination is a standard method for solving a linear system Ax = b, where $A \in \mathbb{R}^{n \times n}$.
- The total running time is $O(n^3)$.
- The space complexity is $O(n^2)$.

©2006 Prof. Yuh-Dauh Lyuu, National Taiwan University

^aCarl Friedrich Gauss (1777–1855) in 1809.

Page 589

Generation of Multivariate Normal Distribution (concluded)

- Suppose we want to generate the multivariate normal distribution with a covariance matrix $C = PP^{T}$.
- We start with independent standard normal distributions y_1, y_2, \ldots, y_n .

©2006 Prof. Yuh-Dauh Lyuu, National Taiwan University

• Then $P[y_1, y_2, \dots, y_n]^{\mathrm{T}}$ has the desired distribution.

Multivariate Derivatives Pricing (concluded)

- Suppose $dS_j/S_j = r dt + \sigma_j dW_j$, $1 \le j \le n$, where C is the correlation matrix for dW_1, dW_2, \ldots, dW_k .
- Let $C = PP^{\mathrm{T}}$.
- Let ξ consist of k independent random variables from N(0, 1).
- Let $\xi' = P\xi$.
- Similar to Eq. (63) on p. 567,

$$S_{i+1} = S_i e^{(r - \sigma_j^2/2) \Delta t + \sigma_j \sqrt{\Delta t} \xi'_j}, \quad 1 \le j \le n.$$

©2006 Prof. Yuh-Dauh Lyuu, National Taiwan University

Page 595

Multivariate Derivatives Pricing

- Generating the multivariate normal distribution is essential for the Monte Carlo pricing of multivariate derivatives (p. 528).
- For example, the rainbow option on k assets has payoff

$$\max(\max(S_1, S_2, \ldots, S_k) - X, 0)$$

at maturity.

• The closed-form formula is a multi-dimensional integral.^a ^aJohnson (1987).

Least-Squares Problems

- The least-squares (LS) problem is concerned with $\min_{x \in \mathbb{R}^n} || Ax b ||$, where $A \in \mathbb{R}^{m \times n}$, $b \in \mathbb{R}^m$, $m \ge n$.
- The LS problem is called regression analysis in statistics and is equivalent to minimizing the mean-square error.
- Often stated as Ax = b, the LS problem is overdetermined when there are more equations than unknowns (m > n).

Page 593



- In polynomial regression, $x_0 + x_1x + \dots + x_nx^n$ is used to fit the data $\{(a_1, b_1), (a_2, b_2), \dots, (a_m, b_m)\}.$
- This leads to the LS problem,

$$\begin{bmatrix} 1 & a_1 & a_1^2 & \cdots & a_1^n \\ 1 & a_2 & a_2^2 & \cdots & a_2^n \\ \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ 1 & a_m & a_m^2 & \cdots & a_m^n \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} x_0 \\ x_1 \\ \vdots \\ x_n \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} b_1 \\ b_2 \\ \vdots \\ b_m \end{bmatrix}.$$

• Consult the text for solutions.

©2006 Prof. Yuh-Dauh Lyuu, National Taiwan University

Page 597

The Least-Squares Monte Carlo Approach

- The continuation value can be estimated from the cross-sectional information in the simulation by using least squares.^a
- The result is a function of the state for estimating the continuation values.
- Use the function to estimate the continuation value for each path to determine its cash flow.
- This is called the least-squares Monte Carlo (LSM) approach and is provably convergent.^b

^aLongstaff and Schwartz (2001). ^bClément, Lamberton, and Protter (2002).

©2006 Prof. Yuh-Dauh Lyuu, National Taiwan University

Page 599

American Option Pricing by Simulation

- The continuation value of an American option is the conditional expectation of the payoff from keeping the option alive now.
- The option holder must compare the immediate exercise value and the continuation value.
- In standard Monte Carlo simulation, each path is treated independently of other paths.
- But the decision to exercise the option cannot be reached by looking at only one path alone.

A Numerical Example

- Consider a 3-year American put on a non-dividend-paying stock.
- The put is exercisable at years 0, 1, 2, and 3.
- The strike price X = 105.
- The annualized riskless rate is r = 5%.
- The spot stock price is 101.
 - $-\,$ The annual discount factor hence equals 0.951229.
- We use only 8 price paths to illustrate the algorithm.

A Numerical Example (continued)					
		Stock price	e paths		
Path	Year 0	Year 1	Year 2	Year 3	
1	101	97.6424	92.5815	107.5178	
2	101	101.2103	105.1763	102.4524	
3	101	105.7802	103.6010	124.5115	
4	101	96.4411	98.7120	108.3600	
5	101	124.2345	101.0564	104.5315	
6	101	95.8375	93.7270	99.3788	
7	101	108.9554	102.4177	100.9225	
8	101	104.1475	113.2516	115.0994	

Page 601





©2006 Prof. Yuh-Dauh Lyuu, National Taiwan University

Page 603



Cash flows at year 3						
Path	Year 0	Year 1	Year 2	Year 3		
1		_		0		
2				2.5476		
3				0		
4				0		
5				0.4685		
6				5.6212		
7				4.0775		
8				0		

Page 605

A Numerical Example (continued) We move on to year 2. For each state that is in the money at year 2, we must decide whether to exercise it. There are 6 paths for which the put is in the money: 1, 3, 4, 5, 6, 7. Only in-the-money paths will be used in the regression because they are where early exercise is relevant. If there were none, we would move on to year 1.

©2006 Prof. Yuh-Dauh Lyuu, National Taiwan University

Page 607

A Numerical Example (continued)

- The cash flows at year 3 are the exercise value if the put is in the money.
- Only 4 paths are in the money: 2, 5, 6, 7.
- Some of the cash flows may not occur if the put is exercised earlier, which we will find out step by step.
- Incidentally, the European counterpart has a value of

$$0.951229^3 \times \frac{2.5476 + 0.4685 + 5.6212 + 4.0775}{8} = 1.3680.$$

A Numerical Example (continued)

- Let x denote the stock prices at year 2 for those 6 paths.
- Let y denote the corresponding discounted future cash flows (at year 3) if the put is not exercised at year 2.

A Numerical Example (continued)							
Regression at year 2							
Path	x	y					
1	92.5815	0×0.951229					
2							
3	103.6010	0×0.951229					
4	98.7120	0×0.951229					
5	101.0564	0.4685×0.951229					
6	93.7270	5.6212 imes 0.951229					
7	102.4177	4.0775×0.951229					
8							

Page 609

Optimal early exercise decision at year 2					
Continuation	Exercise	Path			
f(92.5815) = 2.2558	12.4185	1			
_		2			
f(103.6010) = 1.1168	1.3990	3			
f(98.7120) = 1.5901	6.2880	4			
f(101.0564) = 1.3568	3.9436	5			
f(93.7270) = 2.1253	11.2730	6			
f(102.4177) = 0.3326	2.5823	7			
		8			

©2006 Prof. Yuh-Dauh Lyuu, National Taiwan University

Page 611

A Numerical Example (continued)

- We regress y on 1, x, and x^2 .
- The result is

 $f(x) = 22.08 - 0.313114 \times x + 0.00106918 \times x^2.$

- f estimates the continuation value conditional on the stock price at year 2.
- We next compare the immediate exercise value and the continuation value.

A Numerical Example (continued)

- Amazingly, the put should be exercised in all 6 paths: 1, 3, 4, 5, 6, 7.
- Now, any positive cash flow at year 3 should be set to zero for these paths as the put is exercised before year 3.
 They are paths 5, 6, 7.
- Hence the cash flows on p. 605 become the next ones.

Cash flows at years 2 & 3						
Year 3	Year 2	Year 1	Year 0	Path		
0	12.4185			1		
2.5476	0	—		2		
0	1.3990	—		3		
0	6.2880			4		
0	3.9436			5		
0	11.2730	—		6		
0	2.5823	—		7		
0	0	_		8		

Page 613



©2006 Prof. Yuh-Dauh Lyuu, National Taiwan University

Page 615

A Numerical Example (continued)

- We move on to year 1.
- For each state that is in the money at year 1, we must decide whether to exercise it.
- There are 5 paths for which the put is in the money: 1, 2, 4, 6, 8.
- Only in-the-money paths will be used in the regression because they are where early exercise is relevant.
 - If there were none, we would move on to year 0.

A Numerical Example (continued)

Regression at year 1

Path	x	y
1	97.6424	12.4185×0.951229
2	101.2103	2.5476×0.951229^2
3		_
4	96.4411	6.2880 imes 0.951229
5	_	
6	95.8375	11.2730×0.951229
7		
8	104.1475	0

A Numerical Example (continued)

- We regress y on 1, x, and x^2 .
- The result is

 $f(x) = -420.964 + 9.78113 \times x - 0.0551567 \times x^2.$

- f estimates the continuation value conditional on the stock price at year 1.
- We next compare the immediate exercise value and the continuation value.

©2006 Prof. Yuh-Dauh Lyuu, National Taiwan University

Page 617

A Numerical Example (continued)

Opt	imal early e	exercise decision at year 1
Path	Exercise	Continuation
1	7.3576	f(97.6424) = 8.2230
2	3.7897	f(101.2103) = 3.9882
3		
4	8.5589	f(96.4411) = 9.3329
5		
6	9.1625	f(95.8375) = 9.83042
7		
 8	0.8525	f(104.1475) = -0.551885

A Numerical Example (continued) The put should be exercised for 1 path only: 8. Now, any positive future cash flow should be set to zero for this path as the put is exercised before years 2 and 3. But there is none. Hence the cash flows on p. 613 become the next ones. They also confirm the plot on p. 604.

©2006 Prof. Yuh-Dauh Lyuu, National Taiwan University

Page 619

A Numerical Example (continued)

Cash flows at years 1, 2, & 3						
Path	Year 0	Year 1	Year 2	Year 3		
1		0	12.4185	0		
2		0	0	2.5476		
3		0	1.3990	0		
4		0	6.2880	0		
5		0	3.9436	0		
6		0	11.2730	0		
7		0	2.5823	0		
8		0.8525	0	0		





Page 621



©2006 Prof. Yuh-Dauh Lyuu, National Taiwan University

Page 623

A Numerical Example (concluded)

- As this is larger than the immediate exercise value of 105 - 101 = 4, the put should not be exercised at year 0.
- Hence the put's value is estimated to be 4.66263.
- Compare this to the European put's value of 1.3680 (p. 606).



Conditional Variance Models for Price Volatility

- Although a stationary model (see text for definition) has constant variance, its *conditional* variance may vary.
- Take for example an AR(1) process $X_t = aX_{t-1} + \epsilon_t$ with |a| < 1.
 - Here, ϵ_t is a stationary, uncorrelated process with zero mean and constant variance σ^2 .
- The conditional variance,

$$\operatorname{Var}[X_t | X_{t-1}, X_{t-2}, \dots],$$

equals σ^2 , which is smaller than the unconditional variance $\operatorname{Var}[X_t] = \sigma^2/(1-a^2)$.

©2006 Prof. Yuh-Dauh Lyuu, National Taiwan University

Page 625

Conditional Variance Models for Price Volatility (concluded)

- In the lognormal model, the conditional variance evolves independently of past returns.
- Suppose we assume that conditional variances are deterministic functions of past returns:

$$V_t = f(X_{t-1}, X_{t-2}, \dots)$$

for some function f.

• Then V_t can be computed given the information set of past returns:

$$I_{t-1} \equiv \{X_{t-1}, X_{t-2}, \dots\}$$

$\mathsf{ARCH}\ \mathsf{Models^a}$

- An influential model in this direction is the autoregressive conditional heteroskedastic (ARCH) model.
- Assume that $\{U_t\}$ is a Gaussian stationary, uncorrelated process.

 $^{\mathrm{a}}\mathrm{Engle}$ (1982), co-winner of the 2003 Nobel Prize in Economic Sciences.

©2006 Prof. Yuh-Dauh Lyuu, National Taiwan University

Page 627

ARCH Models (continued)

• The ARCH(p) process is defined by

$$X_t - \mu = \left(a_0 + \sum_{i=1}^p a_i (X_{t-i} - \mu)^2\right)^{1/2} U_t,$$

where $a_1, \ldots, a_p \ge 0$ and $a_0 > 0$.

- Thus
$$X_t | I_{t-1} \sim N(\mu, V_t^2)$$
.

• The variance V_t^2 satisfies

$$V_t^2 = a_0 + \sum_{i=1}^p a_i (X_{t-i} - \mu)^2.$$

• The volatility at time t as estimated at time t-1 depends on the p most recent observations on squared returns.

ARCH Models (concluded)

• The ARCH(1) process

$$X_t - \mu = (a_0 + a_1(X_{t-1} - \mu)^2)^{1/2} U_t$$

is the simplest.

• For it,

Var[
$$X_t | X_{t-1} = x_{t-1}$$
] = $a_0 + a_1(x_{t-1} - \mu)^2$.

- The process $\{X_t\}$ is stationary with finite variance if and only if $a_1 < 1$, in which case $\operatorname{Var}[X_t] = a_0/(1-a_1)$.
- The parameters can be estimated by statistical techniques.

©2006 Prof. Yuh-Dauh Lyuu, National Taiwan University

Page 629

GARCH Models (concluded)

- The estimate of volatility averages past squared returns by giving heavier weights to recent squared returns (see text).
- It is usually assumed that $a_1 + a_2 < 1$ and $a_0 > 0$, in which case the unconditional, long-run variance is given by $a_0/(1 a_1 a_2)$.
- A popular special case of GARCH(1, 1) is the exponentially weighted moving average process, which sets a_0 to zero and a_2 to $1 a_1$.
- This model is used in J.P. Morgan's Risk Metrics $^{\scriptscriptstyle\rm TM}$.

GARCH Option Pricing

• Options can be priced when the underlying asset's

• Let h_t^2 be the conditional variance of the return over the period [t, t+1] given the information at date t.

- "One day" is merely a convenient term for any

return follows a GARCH process.

• Let S_t denote the asset price at date t.

©2006 Prof. Yuh-Dauh Lyuu, National Taiwan University

Page 631

$\mathsf{GARCH}\ \mathsf{Models^a}$

- A very popular extension of the ARCH model is the generalized autoregressive conditional heteroskedastic (GARCH) process.
- The simplest GARCH(1, 1) process adds $a_2V_{t-1}^2$ to the ARCH(1) process, resulting in

$$V_t^2 = a_0 + a_1 (X_{t-1} - \mu)^2 + a_2 V_{t-1}^2.$$

• The volatility at time t as estimated at time t-1 depends on the squared return and the estimated volatility at time t-1.

^aBollerslev (1986); Taylor (1986).

©2006 Prof. Yuh-Dauh Lyuu, National Taiwan University

elapsed time Δt .

GARCH Option Pricing (continued)

• Adopt the following risk-neutral process for the price dynamics:^a

$$\ln \frac{S_{t+1}}{S_t} = r - \frac{h_t^2}{2} + h_t \epsilon_{t+1}, \tag{67}$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} h_{t+1}^2 &= \beta_0 + \beta_1 h_t^2 + \beta_2 h_t^2 (\epsilon_{t+1} - c)^2, \quad (68) \\ \epsilon_{t+1} &\sim N(0, 1) \text{ given information at date } t, \\ r &= \text{ daily riskless return,} \\ c &\geq 0. \end{aligned}$$

^aDuan (1995).

©2006 Prof. Yuh-Dauh Lyuu, National Taiwan University

Page 633

GARCH Option Pricing (continued) It captures the volatility clustering in asset returns first noted by Mandelbrot (1963).^a When c = 0, a large εt+1 results in a large ht+1, which in turns tends to yield a large ht+2, and so on. It also captures the negative correlation between the asset return and changes in its (conditional) volatility.^b For c > 0, a positive εt+1 (good news) tends to raise ht+1, whereas a negative εt+1 (bad news) tends to do the opposite. ^a"… large changes tend to be followed by large changes—of either sign—and small changes tend to be followed by small changes ..." ^bNoted by Black (1976): Volatility tends to rise in response to "bad news" and fall in response to "good news."

©2006 Prof. Yuh-Dauh Lyuu, National Taiwan University

Page 635

GARCH Option Pricing (concluded)

• With $y_t \equiv \ln S_t$ denoting the logarithmic price, the model becomes

$$y_{t+1} = y_t + r - \frac{h_t^2}{2} + h_t \epsilon_{t+1}.$$
 (69)

- The pair (y_t, h_t^2) completely describes the current state.
- The conditional mean and variance of y_{t+1} are clearly

$$E[y_{t+1} | y_t, h_t^2] = y_t + r - \frac{h_t^2}{2}, \qquad (70)$$

$$\operatorname{Var}[y_{t+1} | y_t, h_t^2] = h_t^2.$$
 (71)

GARCH Option Pricing (continued)

- The five unknown parameters of the model are c, h_0, β_0, β_1 , and β_2 .
- It is postulated that $\beta_0, \beta_1, \beta_2 \ge 0$ to make the conditional variance positive.
- The above process, called the nonlinear asymmetric GARCH model, generalizes the GARCH(1,1) model (see text).

The Ritchken-Trevor (RT) Algorithm $^{\rm a}$

- The GARCH model is a continuous-state model.
- To approximate it, we turn to trees with *discrete* states.
- Path dependence in GARCH makes the tree for asset prices explode exponentially (why?).
- We need to mitigate this combinatorial explosion somewhat.

^aRitchken and Trevor (1999).

©2006 Prof. Yuh-Dauh Lyuu, National Taiwan University

Page 637

The Ritchken-Trevor Algorithm (continued)

- It remains to pick the jump size and the three branching probabilities.
- The role of σ in the Black-Scholes option pricing model is played by h_t in the GARCH model.
- As a jump size proportional to σ/\sqrt{n} is picked in the BOPM, a comparable magnitude will be chosen here.
- Define $\gamma \equiv h_0$, though other multiples of h_0 are possible, and

$$\gamma_n \equiv \frac{\gamma}{\sqrt{n}}$$

- The jump size will be some integer multiple η of γ_n .
- We call η the jump parameter (p. 640).

©2006 Prof. Yuh-Dauh Lyuu, National Taiwan University

Page 639



The Ritchken-Trevor Algorithm (continued)

- Partition a day into n periods.
- Three states follow each state (y_t, h_t^2) after a period.
- As the trinomial model combines, 2n + 1 states at date t + 1 follow each state at date t (recall p. 511).
- These 2n + 1 values must approximate the distribution of (y_{t+1}, h_{t+1}^2) .
- So the conditional moments (70)–(71) at date t + 1 on p. 636 must be matched by the trinomial model to guarantee convergence to the continuous-state model.

The Ritchken-Trevor Algorithm (continued)

- The middle branch does not change the underlying asset's price.
- The probabilities for the up, middle, and down branches are

$$p_{u} = \frac{h_{t}^{2}}{2\eta^{2}\gamma^{2}} + \frac{r - (h_{t}^{2}/2)}{2\eta\gamma\sqrt{n}},$$

$$p_{m} = 1 - \frac{h_{t}^{2}}{\eta^{2}\gamma^{2}},$$

$$p_{d} = \frac{h_{t}^{2}}{2\eta^{2}\gamma^{2}} - \frac{r - (h_{t}^{2}/2)}{2\eta\gamma\sqrt{n}}.$$
(72)
(73)
(73)

 $2n\gamma\sqrt{n}$

 $p_d =$

Page 641

The Ritchken-Trevor Algorithm (continued) • We can dispense with the intermediate nodes between dates to create a (2n+1)-nomial tree (p. 644). • The resulting model is multinomial with 2n + 1branches from any state (y_t, h_t^2) . • There are two reasons behind this manipulation. - Interdate nodes are created merely to approximate the continuous-state model after one day.

- Keeping the interdate nodes results in a tree that can be as much as n times larger.

©2006 Prof. Yuh-Dauh Lyuu, National Taiwan University

Page 643



The Ritchken-Trevor Algorithm (continued)

- It can be shown that:
 - The trinomial model takes on 2n+1 values at date t+1 for y_{t+1} .
 - These values have a matching mean for y_{t+1} .
 - These values have an asymptotically matching variance for y_{t+1} .
- The central limit theorem thus guarantees the desired convergence as n increases.

The Ritchken-Trevor Algorithm (continued)

- A node with logarithmic price $y_t + \ell \eta \gamma_n$ at date t + 1 follows the current node at date t with price y_t for some $-n \leq \ell \leq n$.
- To reach that price in n periods, the number of up moves must exceed that of down moves by exactly ℓ .
- The probability that this happens is

$$P(\ell) \equiv \sum_{j_u, j_m, j_d} \frac{n!}{j_u! j_m! j_d!} p_u^{j_u} p_m^{j_m} p_d^{j_d},$$

with
$$j_u, j_m, j_d \ge 0, n = j_u + j_m + j_d$$
, and $\ell = j_u - j_d$.

©2006 Prof. Yuh-Dauh Lyuu, National Taiwan University

Page 645

- The Ritchken-Trevor Algorithm (continued)
- A particularly simple way to calculate the $P(\ell)$ s starts by noting that

$$(p_u x + p_m + p_d x^{-1})^n = \sum_{\ell=-n}^n P(\ell) x^{\ell}.$$
 (75)

- So we expand $(p_u x + p_m + p_d x^{-1})^n$ and retrieve the probabilities by reading off the coefficients.
- It can be computed in $O(n^2)$ time.

The Ritchken-Trevor Algorithm (continued)

- The updating rule (68) on p. 633 must be modified to account for the adoption of the discrete-state model.
- The logarithmic price $y_t + \ell \eta \gamma_n$ at date t + 1 following state (y_t, h_t^2) at date t has a variance equal to

$$h_{t+1}^2 = \beta_0 + \beta_1 h_t^2 + \beta_2 h_t^2 (\epsilon'_{t+1} - c)^2, \qquad (76)$$

– Above,

$$\epsilon'_{t+1} = \frac{\ell \eta \gamma_n - (r - h_t^2/2)}{h_t}, \quad \ell = 0, \pm 1, \pm 2, \dots, \pm n,$$

is a discrete random variable with 2n + 1 values.

©2006 Prof. Yuh-Dauh Lyuu, National Taiwan University

Page 647

The Ritchken-Trevor Algorithm (continued)

- Different conditional variances h_t^2 may require different η so that the probabilities calculated by Eqs. (72)–(74) on p. 641 lie between 0 and 1.
- This implies varying jump sizes.
- The necessary requirement $p_m \ge 0$ implies $\eta \ge h_t/\gamma$.
- Hence we try

 $\eta = \lceil h_t/\gamma \rceil, \lceil h_t/\gamma \rceil + 1, \lceil h_t/\gamma \rceil + 2, \dots$

until valid probabilities are obtained or until their nonexistence is confirmed.

The Ritchken-Trevor Algorithm (continued)

• The sufficient and necessary condition for valid probabilities to exist is^a

$$\frac{|r - (h_t^2/2)|}{2\eta\gamma\sqrt{n}} \le \frac{h_t^2}{2\eta^2\gamma^2} \le \min\left(1 - \frac{|r - (h_t^2/2)|}{2\eta\gamma\sqrt{n}}, \frac{1}{2}\right).$$

- Obviously, the magnitude of η tends to grow with h_t .
- The plot on p. 650 uses n = 1 to illustrate our points for a 3-day model.
- For example, node (1,1) of date 1 and node (2,3) of date 2 pick η = 2.

^aLyuu and Wu (2003).

©2006 Prof. Yuh-Dauh Lyuu, National Taiwan University

Page 649



©2006 Prof. Yuh-Dauh Lyuu, National Taiwan University

Page 651



The Ritchken-Trevor Algorithm (concluded)

- The possible values of h_t^2 at a node are exponential nature.
- To address this problem, we record only the maximum and minimum h_t^2 at each node.^a
- Therefore, each node on the tree contains only two states (y_t, h_{\max}^2) and (y_t, h_{\min}^2) .
- Each of (y_t, h_{\max}^2) and (y_t, h_{\min}^2) carries its own η and set of 2n + 1 branching probabilities.

^aCakici and Topyan (2000).

Negative Aspects of the Ritchken-Trevor Algorithm $^{\rm a}$

- A small n may yield inaccurate option prices.
- But the tree will grow exponentially if n is large enough.
 - Specifically, $n > (1 \beta_1)/\beta_2$ when r = c = 0.
- A large n has another serious problem: The tree cannot grow beyond a certain date.
- Thus the choice of n may be limited in practice.
- The RT algorithm can be modified to be free of exponential complexity and shortened maturity.^b

^aLyuu and Wu (2003). ^bLyuu and Wu (2005).

©2006 Prof. Yuh-Dauh Lyuu, National Taiwan University

Page 653



- Let $h_{\max}^2(i, j)$ denote the maximum variance at node (i, j).
- Let $h_{\min}^2(i, j)$ denote the minimum variance at node (i, j).
- Initially, $h_{\max}^2(0,0) = h_{\min}^2(0,0) = h_0^2$.
- The resulting three-day tree is depicted on p. 656.

©2006 Prof. Yuh-Dauh Lyuu, National Taiwan University

Page 655



Numerical Examples

- Assume $S_0 = 100$, $y_0 = \ln S_0 = 4.60517$, r = 0, $h_0^2 = 0.0001096$, $\gamma = h_0 = 0.010469$, n = 1, $\gamma_n = \gamma/\sqrt{n} = 0.010469$, $\beta_0 = 0.000006575$, $\beta_1 = 0.9$, $\beta_2 = 0.04$, and c = 0.
- A daily variance of 0.0001096 corresponds to an annual volatility of $\sqrt{365 \times 0.0001096} \approx 20\%$.
- Let $h^2(i,j)$ denote the variance at node (i,j).
- Initially, $h^2(0,0) = h_0^2 = 0.0001096$.

A top (bottom) number inside a gray box refers to the minimum (maximum, respectively) variance h_{\min}^2 (h_{\max}^2 , respectively) for the node. Variances are multiplied by 100,000 for readability. A top (bottom) number inside a white box refers to η corresponding to h_{\min}^2 (h_{\max}^2 , respectively).

©2006 Prof. Yuh-Dauh Lyuu, National Taiwan University

Page 657

Numerical Examples (continued)

- Let us see how the numbers are calculated.
- Start with the root node, node (0,0).
- Try $\eta=1\,$ in Eqs. (72)–(74) on p. 641 first to obtain

 $p_u = 0.4974,$ $p_m = 0,$ $p_d = 0.5026.$

• As they are valid probabilities, the three branches from the root node use single jumps.

Numerical Examples (continued)

- Move on to node (1, 1).
- It has one predecessor node—node (0,0)—and it takes an up move to reach the current node.
- So apply updating rule (76) on p. 647 with $\ell = 1$ and $h_t^2 = h^2(0,0)$.
- The result is $h^2(1,1) = 0.000109645$.

C2006 Prof. Yuh-Dauh Lyuu, National Taiwan University

Page 659

Numerical Examples (continued)

- Because $\lceil h(1,1)/\gamma \rceil = 2$, we try $\eta = 2$ in Eqs. (72)–(74) on p. 641 first to obtain
 - $p_u = 0.1237,$ $p_m = 0.7499,$ $p_d = 0.1264.$
- As they are valid probabilities, the three branches from node (1,1) use double jumps.

Numerical Examples (continued)

- Carry out similar calculations for node (1,0) with $\ell = 0$ in updating rule (76) on p. 647.
- Carry out similar calculations for node (1, -1) with $\ell = -1$ in updating rule (76).
- Single jump $\eta = 1$ works in both nodes.
- The resulting variances are

 $h^2(1,0) = 0.000105215,$ $h^2(1,-1) = 0.000109553.$

©2006 Prof. Yuh-Dauh Lyuu, National Taiwan University

Page 661

Numerical Examples (continued) • Now move on to the other predecessor node (1, -1). • Because it takes an up move to reach the current node, apply updating rule (76) on p. 647 with $\ell = 1$ and $h_t^2 = h^2(1, -1)$. • The result is $h_{t+1}^2 = 0.000109603$. • We hence record $h_{\min}^2(2, 0) = 0.000101269$, $h_{\max}^2(2, 0) = 0.000109603$.

©2006 Prof. Yuh-Dauh Lyuu, National Taiwan University

Page 663

Numerical Examples (continued)

- Consider state $h_{\max}^2(2,0)$ first.
- Because $\lceil h_{\max}(2,0)/\gamma \rceil = 2$, we first try $\eta = 2$ in Eqs. (72)–(74) on p. 641 to obtain
 - $p_u = 0.1237,$ $p_m = 0.7500,$ $p_d = 0.1263.$
- As they are valid probabilities, the three branches from node (2,0) with the maximum variance use double jumps.

Numerical Examples (continued)

- Node (2,0) has 2 predecessor nodes, (1,0) and (1,-1).
- Both have to be considered in deriving the variances.
- Let us start with node (1,0).
- Because it takes a middle move to reach the current node, we apply updating rule (76) on p. 647 with $\ell = 0$ and $h_t^2 = h^2(1,0)$.
- The result is $h_{t+1}^2 = 0.000101269$.

Numerical Examples (continued)

- Now consider state $h_{\min}^2(2,0)$.
- Because $\lceil h_{\min}(2,0)/\gamma \rceil = 1$, we first try $\eta = 1$ in Eqs. (72)–(74) on p. 641 to obtain

 $p_u = 0.4596,$ $p_m = 0.0760,$ $p_d = 0.4644.$

• As they are valid probabilities, the three branches from node (2,0) with the minimum variance use single jumps.

©2006 Prof. Yuh-Dauh Lyuu, National Taiwan University

Page 665



- Now move on to predecessor node (1,0).
- Because it also takes a down move to reach the current node, we apply updating rule (76) on p. 647 with $\ell = -1$ and $h_t^2 = h^2(1,0)$.
- The result is $h_{t+1}^2 = 0.000105609$.

©2006 Prof. Yuh-Dauh Lyuu, National Taiwan University

Page 667

Numerical Examples (continued)

- Finally, consider predecessor node (1, -1).
- Because it takes a middle move to reach the current node, we apply updating rule (76) on p. 647 with $\ell = 0$ and $h_t^2 = h^2(1, -1)$.
- The result is $h_{t+1}^2 = 0.000105173$.
- We hence record

 $h_{\min}^2(2,-1) = 0.000105173,$ $h_{\max}^2(2,-1) = 0.0001227.$

Numerical Examples (continued)

- Node (2, -1) has 3 predecessor nodes.
- Start with node (1, 1).
- Because it takes a down move to reach the current node, we apply updating rule (76) on p. 647 with $\ell = -1$ and $h_t^2 = h^2(1, 1)$.
- The result is $h_{t+1}^2 = 0.0001227$.

Numerical Examples (continued)

- Consider state $h_{\max}^2(2,-1)$.
- Because $\lceil h_{\max}(2,-1)/\gamma \rceil = 2$, we first try $\eta = 2$ in Eqs. (72)–(74) on p. 641 to obtain

 $p_u = 0.1385,$ $p_m = 0.7201,$ $p_d = 0.1414.$

As they are valid probabilities, the three branches from node (2, −1) with the maximum variance use double jumps.

©2006 Prof. Yuh-Dauh Lyuu, National Taiwan University

Page 669

Numerical Examples (continued)

- Next, consider state $h_{\min}^2(2, -1)$.
- Because $\lceil h_{\min}(2,-1)/\gamma \rceil = 1$, we first try $\eta = 1$ in Eqs. (72)–(74) on p. 641 to obtain
 - $p_u = 0.4773,$ $p_m = 0.0404,$ $p_d = 0.4823.$
- As they are valid probabilities, the three branches from node (2, −1) with the minimum variance use single jumps.



- Other nodes at dates 2 and 3 can be handled similarly.
- In general, if a node has k predecessor nodes, then 2k variances will be calculated using the updating rule.
 - This is because each predecessor node keeps two variance numbers.
- But only the maximum and minimum variances will be kept.

©2006 Prof. Yuh-Dauh Lyuu, National Taiwan University

Page 671

Negative Aspects of the RT Algorithm Revisited $^{\rm a}$

- Recall the problems mentioned on p. 653.
- In our case, combinatorial explosion occurs when

$$n > \frac{1-\beta_1}{\beta_2} = \frac{1-0.9}{0.04} = 2.5.$$

- Suppose we are willing to accept the exponential running time and pick n = 100 to seek accuracy.
- But the problem of shortened maturity forces the tree to stop at date 9!

^aLyuu and Wu (2003).



Backward Induction on the RT Tree

- After the RT tree is constructed, it can be used to price options by backward induction.
- Recall that each node keeps two variances h_{\max}^2 and h_{\min}^2 .
- We now increase that number to K equally spaced variances between h_{\max}^2 and h_{\min}^2 at each node.
- Besides the minimum and maximum variances, the other K-2 variances in between are linearly interpolated.^a

^aIn practice, log-linear interpolation works better (Lyuu and Wu (2005)). Log-cubic interpolation works even better (Liu (2005)).



Backward Induction on the RT Tree (concluded)

- During backward induction, if a variance falls between two of the K variances, linear interpolation of the option prices corresponding to the two bracketing variances will be used as the approximate option price.
- The above ideas are reminiscent of the ones on p. 323, where we dealt with arithmetic average-rate options.