## Theory of Computation

## Final-Term Examination on January 8, 2013 Fall Semester, 2012

Notes: You may use any results proved in the class unless stated otherwise. Recall:

- **RP**: If  $L \in \mathbf{RP}$ , then there exists a randomized polynomial-time TM M such that:
  - if  $x \in L$ , then at least half of the computation paths of M on x halt with "yes";
  - if  $x \notin L$ , then all computation paths halt with "no."
- **BPP**: If  $L \in \mathbf{BPP}$ , then there exists a randomized polynomial-time TM M such that:
  - If  $x \in L$ , then at least 3/4 of the computation paths of M on x lead to "yes";
  - If  $x \notin L$ , then at least 3/4 of the computation paths of M on x lead to "no."
- IP: If  $L \in IP$ , then there exists an interactive proof system (P, V) such that the prover runs in exponential time and the verifier runs in probabilistic polynomial time and:
  - If  $x \in L$ , then the probability that x is accepted by the verifier is at least  $1 2^{-|x|}$ .
  - If  $x \notin L$ , then the probability that x is accepted by the verifier with any prover replacing the original prover is at most  $2^{-|x|}$ .

Note that the number of rounds and the lengths of the messages are both polynomials in |x|. You can assume V sends out the first message.

## **Problem 1 (25 points)** Prove (a) $\mathbf{RP} \subseteq \mathbf{BPP}$ and (b) $\mathbf{BPP} \subseteq \mathbf{PSPACE}$ .

Ans:

- (a) Let M be a randomized polynomial-time TM that recognizes  $L \in \mathbf{RP}$  with one-sided error-probability  $\epsilon$ . Assuming  $\epsilon \leq 1/4$  does not affect **RP** (recall the slide on pp. 540). Thus the same TM M also recognizes L with two-sided error-probability  $\epsilon$ .
- (b) Let M be a randomized polynomial-time TM that recognizes  $L \in \mathbf{BPP}$ with two-sided error-probability  $\epsilon \leq 1/4$ . Let r(n) be the number of coin tosses of M. Then the following TM decides L:

Count of the number s of accepting paths.

If  $s \ge (1-\epsilon)2^{r(n)}$ , then accept; otherwise, reject.

By reusing space across executions of the loop in counting the number of accepting paths, this can be implemented in polynomial space.

**Problem 2 (25 points)** Please compute the Jacobi symbol (1003/1151). You need to write down the calculations instead of merely giving the answer. (Hint: Let p and q be two odd numbers (not necessarily primes). The law of quadratic reciprocity says  $(p|q)(q|p) = (-1)^{\frac{p-1}{2}\frac{q-1}{2}}$ .)

Ans:

$$(1003/1151) = (-1)^{\frac{1003-1}{2}\frac{1151-1}{2}}(1151/1003)$$
  
=  $-(1151/1003)$   
=  $-(148/1003) = -(4/1003) \times (37/1003)$   
=  $-(37/1003) = -(-1)^{\frac{37-1}{2}\frac{1003-1}{2}}(1003/37)$   
=  $-(1003/37)$   
=  $-(4/37) = -(2/37) \times (2/37)$   
=  $-(-1)^{\frac{372-1}{8}} \times (-1)^{\frac{372-1}{8}}$   
=  $-1.$ 

**Problem 3 (25 points)** Define  $IP^*$  as IP except that the prover now runs in (deterministic) polynomial space instead of exponential time. Show that  $IP^* \subseteq PSPACE$ . (You cannot use the known fact IP = PSPACE.)

Ans: Let  $L \in \mathbf{IP}^*$ , (P, V) be an interactive proof system, V be a probabilistic polynomial-time verifier, P be a polynomial-space prover, c and k be some positive integers, n be the length of the input,  $m_i \in \{0,1\}^*$  be ACCEPT/REJECT or the message sent in round i, and  $r \in \{0,1\}^{n^k}$  be the random bit string in each round (for brevity, we had assumed r is of the same length in each round). Assume P and V interact for at most  $n^c$  rounds, and V accepts or rejects the input before or at round  $n^c$ . Construct deterministic TM M to simulate (P, V) as follows. Assume without loss of generality that V sends the first message. In the algorithm, t is the total number of choices for which V accepts up to round i. On any input x, M computes a and t recursively as follows by calling  $\Gamma(x, 1)$ :

Algorithm  $(x, i, m_i, \ldots, m_{i-1})$ 

1: (a, t) = (0, 0);2: if  $i = n^c$  then for all  $r \in \{0,1\}^{n^k}$  do 3: if  $V(x, i, m_1, m_2, ..., m_{i-1}, r) = \text{ACCEPT then}$ 4: a = a + 1;5: end if 6: 7: end for return  $(a, 2^{n^k});$ 8: 9: else for all  $r \in \{0,1\}^{n^k}$  do 10:  $m_i = V(x, i, m_1, \dots, m_{i-1}, r);$ 11: if  $m_i = \text{ACCEPT}$  then 12:(a,t) = (a+1,t+1);13:else if  $m_i = \text{REJECT}$  then 14:(a,t) = (a,t+1);15:else 16: $m_{i+1} = P(x, i+1, m_1, \dots, m_i);$ 17: $(a, t) = (a, t) + \Gamma(x, i + 2, m_1, \dots, m_{i+1});$ 18:end if 19:20: end for return (a, t); 21: 22: end if

Let  $s = \frac{a}{t}$ . If  $s \ge 2/3$ , then M accepts x; otherwise, M rejects x. This algorithm performs in polynomial space. So M decides L in polynomial space. **Problem 4 (25 points)** Prove that there is no  $\epsilon$ -approximation algorithm for 6-COLORING if  $\epsilon < 1/7$  and assuming P  $\neq$  NP. (Hint: Recall that an  $\epsilon$ -approximation algorithm F guarantees that

$$OPT \le c(F(G)) \le \frac{OPT}{1-\epsilon}$$

where c(F(G)) is the number of colors the polynomial-time algorithm F uses to color G. What is the quality of the coloring scheme if you color the input graph using the alleged  $\epsilon$ -approximation algorithm?)

**Ans:** We prove the problem by contradiction. We assume that there exists an  $\epsilon$ -approximation algorithm F that colors the graph G in polynomial time. Given  $\epsilon < 1/7$ , F will color G with at most  $x = \frac{OPT}{1-\epsilon} = 6$  in polynomial time if G is 6-colorable. That is, F can decide the answer "YES" or "NO" to NP-complete problem 6-coloring in polynomial time. However, we know that it is impossible to solve an NP-complete problem in polynomial time if  $P \neq NP$ .