

Comments

- The following invariant is maintained by the algorithm:

$$P_i^*(0) + P_i^*(1) \equiv P_{i-1}^*(r_{i-1}) \pmod{q} \quad (8)$$

for $1 \leq i \leq n$.

- $P_i^*(0) + P_i^*(1)$ equals $\sum_{x_i=0,1} \cdots \sum_{x_n=0,1} \Phi(r_1, \dots, r_{i-1}, x_i, x_{i+1}, \dots, x_n)$ modulo q .
- But the above equals $P_{i-1}^*(r_{i-1}) \pmod{q}$ by definition.

Completeness

- Suppose ϕ is unsatisfiable.
- For $i \geq 1$, by Eq. (8) on p. 594,

$$\begin{aligned} & P_i^*(0) + P_i^*(1) \\ = & \sum_{x_i=0,1} \sum_{x_{i+1}=0,1} \cdots \sum_{x_n=0,1} \Phi(r_1, \dots, r_{i-1}, x_i, x_{i+1}, \dots, x_n) \\ = & P_{i-1}^*(r_{i-1}) \\ \equiv & v_{i-1} \pmod{q}. \end{aligned}$$

Comments (concluded)

- The computation of v_1, v_2, \dots, v_n must rely on Peggy's supplied polynomials as Victor does not have the power to carry out the exponential-time calculations.
- But $\Phi(r_1, r_2, \dots, r_n)$ in Step 12 is computed without relying on Peggy's polynomials.

Completeness (concluded)

- In particular at $i = 1$, because ϕ is unsatisfiable, we have

$$\begin{aligned} P_1^*(0) + P_1^*(1) &= \sum_{x_1=0,1} \cdots \sum_{x_n=0,1} \Phi(x_1, \dots, x_n) \\ &\equiv v_0 \\ &= 0 \pmod{q}. \end{aligned}$$

- Finally, $v_n = P_n^*(r_n) = \Phi(r_1, r_2, \dots, r_n)$.
- Because all the tests by Victor will pass, Victor will accept ϕ .

Soundness

- Suppose ϕ is not unsatisfiable.
- An honest Peggy following the protocol will fail after sending $P_1^*(z)$.
 - $P_1^*(z) = \sum_{x_2=0,1} \cdots \sum_{x_n=0,1} \Phi(z, x_2, \dots, x_n)$.
 - So $P_1^*(0) + P_1^*(1) = \sum_{x_1=0,1} \sum_{x_2=0,1} \cdots \sum_{x_n=0,1} \Phi(x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n) \not\equiv v_0 \pmod{q}$.
 - But $v_0 = 0$.

Soundness (continued)

- Let $P_i(z)$ represent the polynomial sent by Peggy in place of $P_i^*(z)$.
- Victor calculates $v_i = P_i(r_i) \pmod{q}$.
- In order to deceive Victor in the next round, round $i + 1$, Peggy must use r_1, r_2, \dots, r_i to find a $P_{i+1}(z)$ of degree at most m such that

$$P_{i+1}(0) + P_{i+1}(1) = v_i \pmod{q}$$

(see Step 8 of the algorithm on p. 593).

- And so on to the end, except that Peggy has no control over Step 12.

Soundness (continued)

- We will show that if Peggy is dishonest in one round (by sending a polynomial other than $P_i^*(z)$), then with high probability she must be dishonest in the next round, too.
- In the last round (Step 12), her dishonesty is exposed.

A Key Claim

Theorem 88 *If $P_i^*(0) + P_i^*(1) \not\equiv v_{i-1} \pmod{q}$, then either Victor rejects in the i th round, or $P_i^*(r_i) \not\equiv v_i \pmod{q}$ with probability at least $1 - (m/q)$, where the probability is taken over Victor's choices of r_i .*

- Remember that Victor has no way of knowing $P_i^*(r_i)$.
- Victor calculates v_i with $P_i(z)$, claimed by the not necessarily trust-worthy Peggy as $P_i^*(z)$.
- So $v_i = P_i(r_i) \pmod{q}$.
- What Victor can do is to check for consistencies.

The Proof of Theorem 88 (continued)

- If Peggy sends a $P_i(z)$ which equals $P_i^*(z)$, then

$$P_i(0) + P_i(1) = P_i^*(0) + P_i^*(1) \not\equiv v_{i-1} \pmod{q},$$

and Victor rejects immediately.

- Suppose Peggy sends a $P_i(z)$ different from $P_i^*(z)$.
- If $P_i(z)$ does not pass Victor's test

$$P_i(0) + P_i(1) \equiv v_{i-1} \pmod{q}, \quad (9)$$

then Victor rejects and we are done, too.

Soundness (continued)

- Suppose Victor does not reject in any of the first n rounds.
- As ϕ is not unsatisfiable,

$$P_1^*(0) + P_1^*(1) \not\equiv v_0 \pmod{q}.$$

- By Theorem 88 (p. 601) and the fact that Victor does not reject, we have $P_1^*(r_1) \not\equiv v_1 \pmod{q}$ with probability at least $1 - (m/q)$.
- Now by Eq. (8) on p. 594,

$$P_1^*(r_1) = P_2^*(0) + P_2^*(1) \not\equiv v_1 \pmod{q}.$$

The Proof of Theorem 88 (concluded)

- Finally, assume $P_i(z)$ passes the test (9).
- $P_i(z) - P_i^*(z) \not\equiv 0$ is a polynomial of degree at most m .
- Hence equation $P_i(z) - P_i^*(z) \equiv 0 \pmod{q}$ has at most m roots $r_i \in \mathbb{Z}_q$, i.e.,

$$P_i^*(r_i) \equiv v_i \pmod{q}.$$

- Hence, Victor will pick one of these as his r_i so that

$$P_i^*(r_i) \equiv v_i \pmod{q}$$

with probability at most m/q .

Soundness (concluded)

- Iterating on this procedure, we eventually arrive at

$$P_n^*(r_n) \not\equiv v_n \pmod{q}$$

with probability at least $(1 - m/q)^n$.

- As $P_n^*(r_n) = \Phi(r_1, r_2, \dots, r_n)$, Victor's last test at Step 12 fails and he rejects.
- Altogether, Victor rejects with probability at least

$$[1 - (m/q)]^n > 1 - (nm/q) > 2/3$$

because $q > 2^n 3^m$.

An Example

- $(x_1 \vee x_2 \vee x_3) \wedge (x_1 \vee \neg x_2 \vee \neg x_3)$.

- The above is satisfied by assigning true to x_1 .

- The arithmetized formula is

$$\Phi(x_1, x_2, x_3) = (x_1 + x_2 + x_3) \times [x_1 + (1 - x_2) + (1 - x_3)]$$

- Indeed, $\sum_{x_1=0,1} \sum_{x_2=0,1} \sum_{x_3=0,1} \Phi(x_1, x_2, x_3) = 16 \neq 0$.

- We have $n = 3$ and $m = 2$.

- A prime q that satisfies $q > 2^3 \times 3^2 = 72$ is 73.

An Example (continued)

- Now suppose Peggy does not follow the protocol.

- In order to deceive Victor, she comes up with fake polynomials $P_i(z)$ from beginning to end.

- The table below is an execution of the algorithm.

i	$P_i(z)$	$P_i(0) + P_i(1)$	$= v_{i-1}?$	r_i	v_i
0					0
1	$8z^2 + 11z + 27$	0	yes	10	61
2	$10z^2 + 9z + 21$	61	yes	4	71
3	$z^2 + 2z + 34$	71	yes	r_3	$P_3(r_3)$

An Example (continued)

- The table below is an execution of the algorithm in Z_{73} when Peggy follows the protocol.

i	$P_i^*(z)$	$P_i^*(0) + P_i^*(1)$	$= v_{i-1}?$	r_i	v_i
0					0
1	$4z^2 + 8z + 2$	16	no		

- Victor therefore rejects ϕ early on at $i = 1$.

An Example (concluded)

- Victor has been satisfied up to round 3.

- Finally at Step 12, Victor checks if

$$\Phi(10, 4, r_3) \equiv P_3(r_3) \pmod{73}.$$

- It can be verified that the only choices of $r_3 \in \{0, 1, \dots, 72\}$ that can mislead Victor are 10 and 12.

- The probability of that happening is only $2/73$.^a

^aThe calculation is in fact incorrect, as such r_3 do not exist in this case. But you got the idea. Contributed by Ms. Ching-Ju Lin (R92922038) on January 7, 2004.

An Example

- $(x_1 \vee x_2) \wedge (x_1 \vee \neg x_2) \wedge (\neg x_1 \vee x_2) \wedge (\neg x_1 \vee \neg x_2)$.
- The above is unsatisfiable.
- The arithmetized formula is

$$\Phi(x_1, x_2) = (x_1 + x_2) \times (x_1 + 1 - x_2) \times (1 - x_1 + x_2) \times (2 - x_1 - x_2).$$
- Because $\Phi(x_1, x_2) = 0$ for any *boolean* assignment $\{0, 1\}^2$ to (x_1, x_2) , certainly

$$\sum_{x_1=0,1} \sum_{x_2=0,1} \Phi(x_1, x_2) = 0.$$
- With $n = 2$ and $m = 4$, a prime q that satisfies $q > 2^2 \times 3^4 = 4 \times 81 = 324$ is 331.

Objections to the Soundness Proof?^a

- Based on the steps required of a cheating Peggy on p. 600, why must we go through so many rounds (in fact, n rounds)?
- Why not just go directly to round n :
 - Victor sends r_1, r_2, \dots, r_{n-1} to Peggy.
 - Peggy returns with a (claimed) $P_n^*(z)$.
 - Victor accepts if and only if $\Phi(r_1, r_2, \dots, r_{n-1}, r_n) \equiv P_n^*(r_n) \pmod q$ for a random $r_n \in Z_q$.

^aContributed by Ms. Emily Hou (D89011) and Mr. Pai-Hsuen Chen (R90008) on January 2, 2002.

An Example (concluded)

- The table below is an execution of the algorithm in Z_{331} .

i	$P_i^*(z)$	$P_i^*(0) + P_i^*(1)$	$= v_{i-1}?$	r_i	v_i
0					0
1	$z(z+1)(1-z)(2-z)$ $+ (z+1)z(2-z)(1-z)$	0	yes	10	283
2	$(10+z) \times (11-z)$ $\times (-9+z) \times (-8-z)$	283	yes	5	46

- Victor calculates $\Phi(10, 5) \equiv 46 \pmod{331}$.
- As it equals $v_2 = 46$, Victor accepts ϕ as unsatisfiable.

Objections to the Soundness Proof? (continued)

- Let us analyze the compressed proposal when ϕ is satisfiable.
- To succeed in foiling Victor, Peggy must find a polynomial $P_n(z)$ of degree m such that

$$\Phi(r_1, r_2, \dots, r_{n-1}, z) \equiv P_n(z) \pmod q.$$
- But this she is able to do: Just give the verifier the polynomial $\Phi(r_1, r_2, \dots, r_{n-1}, z)$!
- What has happened?

Objections to the Soundness Proof? (concluded)

- You need the intermediate rounds to “tie” Peggy up with a chain of claims.
- In the original algorithm on p. 593, for example, $P_n(z)$ is bound by the equality $P_n(0) + P_n(1) \equiv v_{n-1} \pmod{q}$ in Step 8.
- That v_{n-1} is in turn derived by an earlier polynomial $P_{n-1}(z)$, which is in turn bound by $P_{n-1}(0) + P_{n-1}(1) \equiv v_{n-2} \pmod{q}$, and so on.

Finis