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Abstract—Recently, there is a trend on developing mobile applications based on service-oriented architecture in numerous application domains, such as telematics and smart home. Although efforts have been made on developing composite SOAP services, little emphasis has been put on invoking and composing a combination of SOAP, non-SOAP, and non-web services into a composite process to execute complex tasks on various mobile devices. Main challenges are two-fold: one is how to invoke and compose heterogeneous web services with various protocols and content types, including SOAP, RESTful, and OSGi services; and the other is how to integrate non-web services, like Web contents and mobile applications, into a composite service process. In this work, we propose an approach to invoking and composing SOAP, non-SOAP, and non-web services with two key features: an extended BPEL engine bundled with adapters to enable direct invocation and composition of SOAP, RESTful and OSGi services based on Adapter pattern; and two transformation mechanisms devised to enable conversion of Web contents and Android activities into OSGi services. In the experimental evaluations, we demonstrate network traffic and turnaround time of our approach are better than those of the traditional ones.

Index Terms—Heterogeneous service composition, web service, service composition engine.

1 INTRODUCTION
Recently, there is a trend on developing mobile applications based on service-oriented architecture (SOA) in numerous application domains, such as telematics [1], business [2], smart home [3],[4] and internet of things (IOT) [5]. In IOT, for example, heterogeneous web services are supposed to be integrated to provide composite services. These heterogeneous services may include Simple Object Access Protocol (SOAP) [22] services, RESTful services [29], and OSGi services [30]. SOAP is a protocol specification for exchanging structured information during the implementation of web services, and SOAP services can be employed to build composite web services using Business Process Execution Language (BPEL) [6]. RESTful services are also considered an architectural style that can be used to construct software for clients to request services. An increasing number of Internet services have been developed as RESTful services to provide easier interfaces for displaying object features and communicating with external services. OSGi technology provides an open service platform for service installation, activation, and management in devices. OSGi services support point-to-point remote service delivery programs that enable dynamical binding, assembly, and execution of device services.

Although efforts have been made on developing composite SOAP services [6][7], little emphasis has been put on invoking and composing a combination of SOAP, non-SOAP, and non-web services into a composite process in order to complete complex tasks on a variety of mobile devices. The challenges can be best explained from the following two perspectives:

• How to invoke and compose heterogeneous web services with various protocols and content types, such as SOAP, RESTful, and OSGi services? A composite process may involve invocations of SOAP, RESTful and OSGi services over SOAP protocol, HTTP and Java method call, respectively. In addition, it also involves a variety of message content types, such as SOAP, JSON, YAML, Protocol Buffer and Java objects, in composing the heterogeneous web services.

• How to integrate non-web services, including Web contents and mobile applications, into a composite web service process? Non-web services in a mobile environment typically consist of Web contents and mobile applications. Web contents are usually in the form of HTML documents. The program execution entry points of mobile applications are usually developed as GUI components, e.g., Activities in Android platform. Both of these two non-web services are difficult to be composed by a service composition engine, like a BPEL engine.

In this work, we propose a framework for invoking and composing SOAP, non-SOAP and non-web services on mobile devices with two key features: a BPEL engine extended and bundled with adapters to enable the direct invocation and composition of SOAP, RESTful and OSGi services based on Adapter pattern, which provides a flexible mechanism for adding newly developed adapters for invoking some other kinds of
services without modifying the core BPEL engine; and two transformation mechanisms devised to enable the transformation of Web contents and Android activities into OSGi services that can be composed by the extended BPEL engine.

Figure 1 shows the conceptual model of the framework for invoking and composing SOAP, non-SOAP and non-web services. Three adapters for invoking SOAP, RESTful, and OSGi services are developed to extend our BPEL engine, and two transformation mechanisms for converting Web contents and Android activities are undergone a conversion into OSGi services before being invoked and composed at runtime by the extended BPEL engine through adapters.

This paper is organized as follows. We discuss the related work in Section 2. Section 3 describes fully the proposed framework. In Section 4, we conduct two experimental evaluations. Finally, in Section 5, we summarize the contributions of the proposed framework.

2 RELATED WORK

It is widely accepted that combining multiple web services into a composite service is more beneficial to users than finding a complex and preparatory atomic service that satisfy a special request [26], [27], [28], [18], [17]. The resulted composite services can be used as atomic services by themselves in other service compositions to satisfy clients requests. BPEL4WS [6] provides a mixture of block-structured and graph-structured process models, and variables associated with message types can be specified as input or output variables to invoke, receive, and reply web services.

Recently, BPEL has been extended to support modeling the composition of heterogeneous web services, such as RESTful and OSGi services. REST [29] (REpresentational State Transfer) is a style of software architecture for distributing hypermedia systems such as the World Wide Web. REST defines a set of architectural principles [29] by which one can design web services that focus on a system’s resources, including how resource states are addressed and transferred over HTTP by a wide range of clients written in different languages.

In [8], F. Curbera et al. offer a service-composite development model for composing RESTful services. During the invocations of RESTful services, the messages returned from a service are stored as BPEL process variables. However, how to transform the messages to different content types for follow-up service invocations is unclear.

In [16], K. He proposes a composite system for integrating both SOAP and RESTful services with a hybrid orchestration based on a BPEL engine and a REST orchestration engine. The composite service workflow is divided into two kinds of sub-workflows according to the types (SOAP or RESTful) of services to be composed. However, the message transformation between the SOAP and RESTful is not discussed.

In [11], S. Farokhi et al. propose a framework, called MDCHeS, to support dynamic composition and to use both SOAP-based and RESTful Web services simultaneously in composite services with three different views: data, process, and component view. However, the approach, like the other approaches, does not discuss the message transformation between the SOAP and RESTful.

In [33], J. Nitzsche et al. extended BPEL 2.0 with a WSDL-less interaction model, call BPEL$^\text{light}$, to enable coupling business logic and Web service technology, including WSDL, by introducing a new and single type of interaction activity resuming all BPEL interaction activities. The main focus of the paper is to enable modeling processes or process fragments that can be reused and bound to specific service interfaces in any interface description language. Our approach, however, focuses more on how to model and implement the binding relationships among heterogeneous services.

In [31][32], C. Pautasso proposed a process-based composition language for composing RESTful and traditional WSDL-based services based on BPEL. The local adapters (e.g. XSLT, JavaScript) are used to process the data and transform it to make it compatible with what the other service requires. In our approach, SOAP, RESTful and OSGi services are all supported for invocation while executing a composite process. Furthermore, SOAP, JSON, YAML, Protocol Buffer, and Java object messages returned from a service can be transformed into Java objects used as variables of a BPEL process. After the first transformation, the variables can then be transformed again into messages of different content types for follow-up service invocations.

3 HETEROGENEOUS SERVICE COMPOSITION FRAMEWORK

In this work, an extended BPEL engine is developed by applying Adapter pattern [21] to compose SOAP, RESTful and OSGi services. Figure 2 shows the system architecture of the heterogeneous service composition framework. A concise description of our BPEL engine is given below without going into details of its modules.
The adapters and transformers will be further elaborated in the sections 3.2, 3.3, 3.4 and 3.5.

The entry point of the BPEL engine is Composite Service Activator component with inputs of WSDL and BPEL documents. Deploy component deploys the documents with BPEL properties and uses Service Generator component to transform the BPEL process defined in the BPEL document into Java classes.

Reader component is in charge of generating a BPEL model by analyzing the WSDL and BPEL documents and instantiating the Java class. BPEL Manager component starts the BPEL process by creating a BPEL process instance from the BPEL model with instances of the Java classes.

BPEL Runtime component runs BPEL processes at run time. A BPEL process consists of two kinds of activities: basic and structured. A basic activity describes elemental steps of the process behavior. A structured activity encodes control-flow logic and can contain other basic or structured activities recursively. Thread Manager component handles the multi-threads based on XPath and XQuery.

SOAP, RESTful, and OSGi Adapters are responsible for invoking SOAP, RESTful, and OSGi services, respectively. The three adapters implement the Partner Link Handler interface, which enables the BPEL engine to delegate service invocation behaviors to the services. Mobile Applications Transformer transforms Android APKs into OSGi services, and Web Content Asset Transformer transforms web contents into OSGi services.

Our BPEL engine, complied with BPEL version 2.0, is implemented in Java with 44 packages, 426 classes, 3750 methods and a total of 93609 lines of code. The adapters and transformers are implemented with 11 packages, 105 classes, 461 methods, and 6453 lines of code.

### 3.1 Experimental Scenario

In this work, a smart living control system with a number of heterogeneous services is developed as the experimental environment for comparing our proposed approach with the traditional approach. The system obtains sensor data in order to calculate the predicted mean vote (PMV) indicator for temperature, humidity, illuminance, etc. The PMV system is supplemented with HVAC (heating, ventilation, and air conditioning) technology, lighting, and motorized roller blinds to provide an energy-saving living environment. We develop two smart living system scenarios by adopting the traditional approach and the proposed approach (see Figure 3 and Figure 4).

In the traditional approach (Figure 3), RESTful services and Web contents are wrapped as SOAP services and deployed in the server side. As for the Android activity running on Android platform, they can not be directly invoked by the BPEL engine. Hence, a SOAP service is required as a proxy for retrieving the data generated from the activity. The steps of composing the services are as follows:

1) Steps 1-3: The web information about current weather information including temperature, humidity, sunlight, and illumination of the area are retrieved through an Android activity. After that, the activity sends the weather data to a SOAP service. The BPEL engine will retrieve the data through invoking the SOAP service.

2) Step 4: The BPEL engine sends the weather data to the calculated PMV SOAP service. Based on the temperature, humidity, and illumination value, the optimal parameter values for the HVAC technology, lighting, and motorized roller blinds will be determined and returned to the BPEL engine.

3) Steps 5-7: The RESTful services of Motorized roller
The steps of composing the services are as follows:

1) Step 1: As the Weather Data Android Activity is transformed into an OSGi bundle through the mobile applications transformer, the extended BPEL engine can directly invoke the OSGi service to retrieve the weather information.

2) Step 2: The extended BPEL engine sends the weather data to the calculated PMV SOAP service. Based on the temperature, humidity, and illumination value, the optimal parameter values for the HVAC technology, lighting, and motorized roller blinds will be determined and returned to the extended BPEL engine.

3) Steps 3-5: The RESTful services of Motorized roller blinds controller, Lighting Controller, and HVAC Controller will be directly invoked with the parameters of the optimal parameter values by the extended BPEL engine.

4) Step 6: The energy saving information web page will be transformed into an OSGi bundle through the web content asset transformer, and to be composed by the extended BPEL engine through the OSGi adapter.

### 3.2 Composing RESTful Services

- **Protocol:** The protocols that a RESTful service supports, e.g., HTTP.
- **Message Format:** The message formats that a RESTful service supports, e.g., JSON, YAML, and protocol buffer.
- **Url:** The URL of a RESTful service.

The extension of WSDL for describing RESTful services includes protocols, urls, and message formats. Figure 5 shows an example of describing a Weather RESTful service. The service supports HTTP protocol and is with the content format of JSON.

![Fig. 5. An example of describing a RESTful service](image-url)

In our proposed approach (Figure 4), the scenario includes an extended BPEL engine bundled with adapters that enable direct invocations of the heterogeneous services in the experimental environment (see Figure 4). The steps of composing the services are as follows:

- **Steps 3-5:** The RESTful services of Motorized roller blinds controller, Lighting Controller, and HVAC Controller will be directly invoked with the parameters of the optimal parameter values by the extended BPEL engine.

- **Step 6:** The energy saving information web page will be transformed into an OSGi bundle through the web content asset transformer, and to be composed by the extended BPEL engine through the OSGi adapter.

### 3.3 Composing OSGi Services

- **type:** The type that an OSGi service locates, e.g., local.
- **serviceName:** The service name that an OSGi service registers in the OSGi register.
- **filter:** The filter is an optional property that identifies a concrete OSGi service.

In the framework, we extend WSDL to describe OSGi services including their types, service names and filters.
the project assets of an Android application that runs on an OSGi platform (Apache Felix) and several existing Android applications. The output is a new Android application together with several OSGi bundles that provide services for invoking the Activities of the existing Android applications. The project assets of developing an Android application include source code files (src), resource files (res), libraries (lib), and a Manifest file. The main functions of the transformer are as follows:

1) Integrate resources: In order to prevent the conflicts among the resource file names of the Android applications, all resource files (images, strings, layouts, etc.) will be renamed during the transformation process.
2) Integrate source code: The parts of the code that originally refer to the resource files will be modified to refer to the renamed resource files.
3) Import libraries: All of the libraries of each Android application will be copied into a new directory, and the new classpath file will include the references to these libraries.
4) Integrate manifests: The AndroidManifest.xml is a configuration file that contains Android application information and describes all package components, including the activities, services, and receivers. This function is to integrate the manifest files into a new one.
5) Modify the Felix export packages: To deploy the OSGi framework on the new Android application, the Apache Felix library (Felix.jar) was transformed into an OSGi bundle. The Context.startService() function is used to start (bind) the OSGi framework, and the Context.stopService() is used to stop (unbind) the OSGi framework. After the OSGi framework environment is established, OSGi bundles can be installed and uninstalled on the Android platform.

The activities of transforming the Android applications into OSGi bundles are as follows:

1) Add a bundle activator: For each Android activity, an OSGi bundle activator class will be automatically generated for the invocation of the Android
activity. The activator class includes five methods:

- `void invokeActivity()`: This method involves no input parameter value or returns value. Invoking this method will directly invoke the Android activity using Android Intent mechanism.
- `void invokeActivity(byte[] bytes)`: This method will invoke the Android activity with a parameter of a byte array.
- `byte[] invokeActivityResult()`: When the method is invoked, it will invoke the Android activity and wait for the returned value from the activity.
- `byte[] invokeActivityResult(byte[] bytes)`: This method will invoke the Android activity with a parameter of a byte array and wait for the returned value from the activity.
- `byte[] invokeActivityResult(Object obj)`: This method will invoke the Android activity with a parameter of an object and wait for the returned value from the activity.

2) Generate Manifest: This activity is to generate a manifest file for each generated OSGi bundle. The following elements must be declared in the manifest file.

3) Generate and add classes.dex: The OSGi bundles will be compiled into a dex file to enable their executions on the Android platform.

3.5 Composing Web Contents

As Web contents in HTML are usually readable only for human and are with diverse information, the web content asset transformer enables a system designer to identify the assets in the web pages and transform them to OSGi services at design time so as to be composed in a composite process at runtime.

Figure 10 shows the architecture of the web content asset transformer. The transformer consists of three components: a Webpage list manager, a data parser and a bundle packager. The Webpage list manager enables a user to identify and select the assets in the web pages, such as images or texts. The data parser parses the selected web pages and extract the assets. The bundle packager transforms the extracted assets into an OSGi bundle. In the following, we detail how to extract web content assets and how to transform these assets into an OSGi bundle.

As the web pages are selected by the user, the data parser converts the HTML documents into document object model (DOM) trees, and parses the following four types of assets with their corresponding HTML tags:

- Text: `<h1> ... <h6>`, `<p>`, `<textarea>`, `<blockquote>`, `<pre>`
- Image: `<img>`
- Video: `<video>`
- Audio: `<audio>`

These assets are stored in four Java bean objects (Figure 11). These Java beans all inherit the same parent class MultimediaInfo. The attributes of each class are as follows:

- MultimediaInfo: id, title
- TextInfo: content
- ImageInfo: alt, width, height, filename, src, content
- VideoInfo: poster, width, height, filename, src, content
- AudioInfo: filename, src, content

Attribute id keeps the identifier of each asset by following the universally unique identifier (UUID) standard. Attribute title stores any “title”-related data attribute. Attribute content stores data with a byte array. Attributes width and height record the resolution of a image or a video. Attribute filename specifies the file name of each asset.

After the web content assets are extracted by the data parser, the assets together with the serializations of the Java bean objects will be stored into a folder. Meanwhile, an OSGi bundle activator class that implements the interface showed in Figure 12 will be generated in the folder as well. The class provides OSGi services after the folder is packaged into an OSGi bundle. The exposed OSGi services include:

- `getAllTexts()`: String[], to retrieve the titles of the TextInfo Java bean objects as a string array.
- `getText(String query): MultimediaInfo[]`, to retrieve the assets through keyword matchings for the titles.
- `getAllImages()`: MultimediaInfo[], to retrieve all images.
- `getImage(String title): MultimediaInfo[]`, to retrieve the images of the specified title.
- `getAllVideos()`: MultimediaInfo[], to retrieve all videos.
- `getVideo(String title): MultimediaInfo[]`, to retrieve
The network traffic metric is formally defined in Definition 1.

**Definition 1.** Given a set of heterogeneous services
\[ S = \{\{ss_1, ..., ss_n\}, \{rs_1, ..., rs_m\}, \{os_1, ..., os_p\}\}, \]
where a SOAP service is denoted as \(ss_i\), a RESTful service is denoted as \(rs_i\), and an OSGi service is denoted as \(os_i\). In the traditional approach, the network traffics generated in invoking SOAP, RESTful and OSGi services are denoted as \(N_{\text{total}}^{\text{soap}}, N_{\text{total}}^{\text{rest}}\) and \(N_{\text{total}}^{\text{osgi}}\) respectively. The total network traffic is denoted as \(N_{\text{total}}^{\text{total}}\) and is calculated by equation 1. The adapter that sends and receives messages between RESTful and SOAP services, denoted as \(r2s_i\), and the adapter that sends and receives messages between OSGi services and SOAP services, denoted as \(o2s_i\). In the proposed approach, the network traffics generated in invoking SOAP and RESTful services are denoted as \(N_{\text{total}}^{\text{soap}}\) and \(N_{\text{total}}^{\text{rest}}\) respectively. Because OSGi services are locally invoked, no network traffics are generated. The adapters that sends and receives messages between the extended BPEL engine and SOAP services, RESTful services and OSGi services are denoted as \(sa_i, ra_i, and os_i\) respectively. The total network traffic is denoted as \(N_{\text{total}}^{\text{total}}\) and is calculated by equation 5.

\[
N_{\text{total}}^{\text{total}} = N_{\text{total}}^{\text{soap}} + N_{\text{total}}^{\text{rest}} + N_{\text{total}}^{\text{osgi}} \tag{1}
\]

\[
N_{\text{total}}^{\text{soap}} = \sum_{i=1}^{n} \text{req}_i + \sum_{i=1}^{n} \text{res}_i \tag{2}
\]

\[
N_{\text{total}}^{\text{rest}} = \sum_{i=1}^{m} \text{req}_i + \sum_{i=1}^{m} \text{res}_i \tag{3}
\]

\[
N_{\text{total}}^{\text{osgi}} = \sum_{i=1}^{p} \text{req}_i + \sum_{i=1}^{p} \text{res}_i + \sum_{i=1}^{p} \text{req}''_i + \sum_{i=1}^{p} \text{res}''_i \tag{4}
\]

\[
N_{\text{total}}^{\text{total}} = N_{\text{total}}^{\text{soap}} + N_{\text{total}}^{\text{rest}} \tag{5}
\]

\[
N_{\text{soap}} = \sum_{i=1}^{n} \text{req}_i + \sum_{i=1}^{n} \text{res}_i \tag{6}
\]

\[
N_{\text{rest}} = \sum_{i=1}^{m} \text{req}_i + \sum_{i=1}^{m} \text{res}_i \tag{7}
\]

\(\text{req}_i\) is the size of the request message sent to a SOAP service \(ss_i\), and \(\text{res}_i\) is the size of the reply message returned from the SOAP service. \(\text{req}''_i\) is the size of the request message sent from the adapter \(r2s_i\) to the RESTful service \(rs_i\), and \(\text{res}''_i\) is the size of the reply message returned from the RESTful service. \(\text{req}''''_i\) is the size of the request message sent to the OSGi service \(os_i\) from the adapter \(o2s_i\), and \(\text{res}''''_i\) is the size of the reply message returned by OSGi service.

As shown in Figure 13(a), the BPEL engine sends request messages to remote SOAP services, RESTful services, and OSGi services and receives response messages from the invoked serviced through the traditional approach. SOAP services located at server-side are built as adapters for the service invocation by the BEPL engine. The total network traffic, \(N_{\text{total}}^{\text{total}}\), is the sum of network traffics in between the BPEL engine and SOAP services.
the bundled adapters (Equations 2 and 3), and in between OSGi services and
services. Definition 2 defines turnaround time that is a metric derived from
the transmission time and processing time. The transmission time is the amount of time for sending
a message from the start node of a link to its destination node of a link. The processing time is the amount of time for executing a service after the service receives an invocation request.

Definition 2. Given a set of heterogeneous services \( S = \{s_1, ..., s_n\}, \{r_1, ..., r_m\}, \{o_1, ..., o_m\}\), where a SOAP service is denoted as \( s_i \), a RESTful service is denoted as \( r_i \), and an OSGi service is denoted as \( o_i \). In the traditional approach, the total turnaround time is denoted as \( R_{\text{total}} \). The turnaround time for invoking the SOAP, RESTful and OSGi services are denoted as \( R_{\text{soap}}, R_{\text{rest}}, \text{and} R_{\text{osgi}} \), respectively. In the proposed approach, the total turnaround time is denoted as \( R^b \). The turnaround time for invoking the SOAP, RESTful and OSGi services are denoted as \( R^b_{\text{soap}}, R^b_{\text{rest}}, \text{and} R^b_{\text{osgi}} \), respectively.

\[
R_{\text{total}} = R_{\text{soap}} + R_{\text{rest}} + R_{\text{osgi}}
\]

\[
R_{\text{soap}} = \sum_{i=1}^{n} \frac{n}{b_{c,s_i}} + \sum_{i=1}^{n} s_{a_i} + \sum_{i=1}^{m} \frac{n}{c_i}
\]

\[
R_{\text{rest}} = \sum_{i=1}^{m} \frac{n}{b_{c,s_i}} + \sum_{i=1}^{m} \frac{n}{c_i}
\]

\[
R_{\text{osgi}} = \sum_{i=1}^{m} \frac{n}{b_{c,s_i}} + \sum_{i=1}^{m} \frac{n}{c_i}
\]

\[
R_{\text{total}} = R_{\text{soap}} + R_{\text{rest}} + R_{\text{osgi}}
\]

\[
R_{\text{soap}} = \sum_{i=1}^{n} \frac{n}{b_{c,s_i}} + \sum_{i=1}^{n} \frac{n}{c_i}
\]

\[
R_{\text{rest}} = \sum_{i=1}^{m} \frac{n}{b_{c,s_i}} + \sum_{i=1}^{m} \frac{n}{c_i}
\]

\[
R_{\text{osgi}} = \sum_{i=1}^{m} \frac{n}{b_{c,s_i}} + \sum_{i=1}^{m} \frac{n}{c_i}
\]

4.2 Experimental Results

In the experimental environment, the client-side mobile device is Android 2.2 platform with 1 GHz Cortex A8 CPU and 512 MB memory. The server runs Java virtual machine 6.0 and the OSGi platform is Apache Felix. We calculate the turnaround time and the network traffic by adopting our approach and the traditional approach over a wireless network with a 256 KB/s bandwidth which is estimated and controlled by a bandwidth controller software. A site denotes a smart living control environment as described in section 3.1. There are up to 40 sites in the experiment. For each kind of service with a certain number of sites, the turnaround time is the sum of the time gathered for all the sites. Each approach is applied and evaluated 2000 times, and the average of the turnaround time is calculated and depicted in Figures 14, 15, 16, 17, 18, and 19.

Figures 14 and 19 show the turnaround time of invoking weather data and receiving recommendation web contents, respectively. Noted that there is no need to transfer the data by the server-side SOAP service in our approach, which gives us an advantage of less turnaround time over the traditional one. Figure 15 indicates that the differences between our
The experimental results shown in Figures 16, 17 and 18 point out that the turnaround time of invoking the controller RESTful services in our approach is less than those of the traditional one due to the request message data are directly transferred in between the extended BPEL engine and the RESTful services without being transferred through server-side SOAP services. Figure 20 shows the total turnaround time of the two approaches. In the experiment, the turnaround time is largely influenced by the transferred data size. Table 1 shows that the t value for comparing the total turnaround time is 4.463 (>2.306) with degrees-of-freedom=8 and $\alpha=0.05$, which indicates that there is a significant difference between the total turnaround time of the two approaches.

The evaluation results shown in Figure 21 indicate that our approach generates less network traffic than the traditional approach. The traditional approach requires server-side soap services to connect to the RESTful services and OSGi bundles. The traditional approach requires server-side soap services located in a server site (room) to connect to the RESTful services and OSGi bundles. As the number of the server sites (rooms) increases, our approach is significantly superior to the traditional approach on the network traffics. Table 2 shows that the t value for comparing the network traffic is 4.753 (>2.306) with degrees-of-freedom=8 and $\alpha=0.05$, which indicates that there is a significant difference between the average values of the network traffics of the two approaches.
The final version of record is available at http://dx.doi.org/10.1109/TSC.2014.2310213

5 Conclusion

This paper presents a framework for composing SOAP, RESTful, OSGi services, web contents and Android Activities. A BPEL engine is extended and bundled with adapters to enable the direct invocation and composition of SOAP, RESTful and OSGi services with heterogeneous content types: SOAP, JSON, YAML, Protocol Buffer and Java objects. Additionally, two transformation mechanisms are devised to enable the transformation of Web contents and Android activities that are two typical assets on mobile devices into OSGi services so as to be composed by the extended BPEL engine.

We also conducted an experiment on a smart living control system to evaluate our approach. In our approach, the request and response messages of the invocations of RESTful services do not need to be transferred via server-side SOAP services. These messages are directly transferred in between the extended BPEL engine and the RESTful services. The experimental results show that our proposed approach generates less network traffic and spends less turnaround time than those of the traditional approaches.

With the extended BPEL engine based on Adapter pattern, SOAP, non-SOAP and non-Web services can be integrated through a systematic way. Furthermore, the engine can be considered as a more suitable framework for composing heterogeneous services than the traditional ones from the perspective of resource consumptions in mobile environments.
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