


Machine Learning Techniques (機器學習技法)



Lecture 2: Activation/Initialization in Deep Learning

Hsuan-Tien Lin (林軒田)
htlin@csie.ntu.edu.tw

Department of Computer Science
& Information Engineering

National Taiwan University
(國立台灣大學資訊工程系)



Roadmap

① Deep Learning Foundations

Lecture 1: Neural Network


automatic **pattern feature extraction** from **layers of neurons** with **backprop** for GD/SGD

Lecture 2: Activation/Initialization in Deep L.

- Traditional Neural Networks Revisited
- Modern Activation Functions
- Initialization w.r.t. Activation

② Deep Learning Models

Forward Propagation Revisited



$d^{(0)}-d^{(1)}-d^{(2)}-\dots-d^{(L)}$ Neural Network (NNet)

$$w_{ij}^{(\ell)} : \left(1 + d^{(\ell-1)}\right) \times \left(d^{(\ell)}\right) \text{ matrix before layer } \ell$$

score $s_j^{(\ell)} = \sum_{i=0}^{d^{(\ell-1)}} w_{ij}^{(\ell)} \cdot x_i^{(\ell-1)}$, transformed $x_j^{(\ell)} = \phi_j^{(\ell)} \left(s_j^{(\ell)} \right)$

$\phi_j^{(\ell)}$: transformation (activation) function, e.g.

$\phi_1^{(L)} = \cancel{/}$ for regression;

$\phi_j^{(\ell)} = \tanh$ for traditional NNet

Backpropagation Revised

$$\begin{aligned}
 \delta_j^{(\ell)} &= \frac{\partial e_n}{\partial s_j^{(\ell)}} \\
 &= \sum_{k=1}^{d^{(\ell+1)}} \frac{\partial e_n}{\partial s_k^{(\ell+1)}} \frac{\partial s_k^{(\ell+1)}}{\partial x_j^{(\ell)}} \frac{\partial x_j^{(\ell)}}{\partial s_j^{(\ell)}} \\
 &= \sum_k \left(\delta_k^{(\ell+1)} \right) \left(w_{jk}^{(\ell+1)} \right) \left(\phi' \left(s_j^{(\ell)} \right) \right) \\
 &= \sum_k \left(\sum_m \left(\delta_m^{(\ell+2)} \right) \left(w_{km}^{(\ell+2)} \right) \phi' \left(s_k^{(\ell+1)} \right) \right) \left(w_{jk}^{(\ell+1)} \right) \left(\phi' \left(s_j^{(\ell)} \right) \right)
 \end{aligned}$$

$\delta_i^{(1)}$: $\delta_1^{(L)}$ multiplied by many $w_{??}^{(\ell+1)}$ and $\phi'(s_?^{(\ell)})$
for $\ell = 1, 2, \dots, L-1$

The Vanishing Gradient Issue

$$\text{gradient } \nabla_{ij}^{(\ell)} = x_i^{(\ell-1)} \cdot \delta_j^{(\ell)} = x_i^{(\ell-1)} \sum \sum \sum \delta_1^{(L)} w_{i1} w_{1j} \phi' \phi' \phi'$$

when $\phi = \tanh \Rightarrow x_i^{(\ell-1)} \in (-1, 1)$

- $\phi(s) \rightarrow \pm 1$ when $s \rightarrow \pm\infty$: saturation
- $\phi'(s) = 1 - \tanh^2(s)$
 - $\rightarrow 0$ when $s \rightarrow \pm\infty$
- vanishing gradient: $w_{??}^{(\ell)}$ too big/small $\Rightarrow |s|$ too big/small
 $\Rightarrow \phi'(s)$ too small $\Rightarrow \delta_?^{(1)}$ too small $\Rightarrow \nabla_{??}^{(1)}$ too small

vanishing gradient: early weights not updated
 \Rightarrow cannot train 'deep' network

Possible Cures for Vanishing Gradient

- better-behaved network
 - skip connection (escape some $w\phi'$)
- better-behaved weights
 - small-random initialization (next in Lecture 302)
- better-behaved network + better-behaved weights
 - layer-wise pre-training (see MLTech Lecture 213)
- better-behaved (hidden) inputs
 - internal normalization (scale $x_j^{(\ell)}$)
- better-behaved gradient
 - gradient normalization (next in Lecture 303)
- better-behaved activation functions
 - next :-)

vanishing/varying gradients: difficulty of deep learning optimization

Rectified Linear Unit

$$\phi(s) = \max(s, 0)$$

- Rectified Linear Unit (ReLU):

$\phi(s) = s$ for $s > 0$, 0 for $s = 0$, 0 for $s < 0$

—continuous

- $\phi'(s) = 1$ for $s > 0$, 0 for $s < 0$

—**less gradient vanishing** (\approx ‘half’ of the time)

- $\phi'(s) = \text{undefined}$ for $s = 0$

—floating point 0.0 hardly encountered, replace by ‘sub-gradient’ usually okay

- **sparse** network per example

- **fast** arithmetic computation

ReLU (with or without some tanh): arguably the most widely-used for deep learning

Dead Neuron Issue

$$\phi(s) = \max(s, 0)$$

- $s < 0$: $\phi(s) = 0$ and $\phi'(s) = 0$ per example
- $s < 0$ for every example (dead neuron) if
 - very negative $w_{0?}^{(\ell)}$ (e.g. update from a very big gradient step)
 - all positive $x_i^{(0)}$ (e.g. images without shifting) + negative weights $w_{ij}^{(1)}$

dead neurons worrisome (but not always serious)

Leaky Rectified Linear Unit

$$\phi(s) = \max(s, 0.01s) = \max(s, 0) + 0.01 \min(s, 0)$$

- $s > 0$: $\phi(s) = s$ and $\phi'(s) = 1$ per example
- $s < 0$: $\phi(s) = 0.01s$ and $\phi'(s) = 0.01$ per example

less likely to have dead neurons, but why 0.01?

Parametric Rectified Linear Unit

$$\phi(\alpha, s) = \max(s, \alpha \cdot s)$$

- ReLU: $\alpha = 0$, Leaky ReLU: fixed α
- optimizable α :

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{\partial e_n}{\partial \alpha_j^{(\ell)}} &= \sum_{k=1}^{d^{(\ell+1)}} \frac{\partial e_n}{\partial s_k^{(\ell+1)}} \frac{\partial s_k^{(\ell+1)}}{\partial x_j^{(\ell)}} \frac{\partial x_j^{(\ell)}}{\partial \alpha_j^{(\ell)}} \\ &= \sum_k \left(\delta_k^{(\ell+1)} \right) \left(w_{jk}^{(\ell+1)} \right) \left(\frac{\partial \phi(\alpha_j^{(\ell)}, s_j^{(\ell)})}{\partial \alpha_j^{(\ell)}} \right) \end{aligned}$$

with $\frac{\partial \phi(\alpha, s)}{\partial \alpha} = s$ if $\alpha s > s$, or 0 otherwise.

'power' of deep learning: anything (loosely) differentiable is 'learnable'