

# Routing in Ad Hoc Wireless Networks

PROF. MICHAEL TSAI

2015/05/15


# Ad Hoc Wireless Networks

- No base station or access point to relay the packets
- Relaying is necessary to send information to destinations out of our range
- Initial application: military usage

Infrastructure-based Network



Ad hoc Network




# Why do we need new protocols?


- **No centralized control**
- **No dedicated routers**
- **Unpredictable network topology changes**
- **Time-variant wireless channel**
  - Link breakage is common in wireless network → Connectivity problem
  - Links are not always bidirectional and/or symmetric
- **Power Limitation**

# Conventional Routing Protocols

- Not designed for highly dynamic and low bandwidth networks
- Loop formation when topology changes
- Flooding causes high control overhead (e.g., Link State)




# Count-to-infinity Problem



Dest	Cost	Next Hop
A	1	A
C	1	C

Dest	Cost	Next Hop
A	2	B
B	1	B


# Count-to-infinity Problem



Dest	Cost	Next Hop
A	Infinity	Null
C	1	C

Dest	Cost	Next Hop
A	2	B
B	1	B


# Count-to-infinity Problem



Dest	Cost	Next Hop
A	3	C
C	1	C

Dest	Cost	Next Hop
A	2	B
B	1	B


# Count-to-infinity Problem



This continues until the cost reaches infinity (unreachable). During the process, the packets destined for A will bounce back and forth between B and C



# Existing Routing Protocols




## Table-Driven:

- S and all other nodes maintain full routing information
- Require periodic table update


## Demand-Driven

- Route is discovered when S wants to talk to D
- A Route only needs to be maintained for as long as S and D are still talking
- EX: Dynamic Source Routing (DSR)



## Hybrid Scheme

- Network is divided into multiple zones
- Use Table-Driven within the zone
- Demand-Driven across the zones through boundary nodes



# Proactive vs. Reactive Routing

- **Proactive** *Bellman Ford*
  - Table driven
  - Rely on periodic update to keep track of the topology change
  - No latency in route discovery
  - Need large storage space to keep information of the entire network
  - A lot of routing information may never be used

- **Reactive**
  - On demand
  - Route Discovery by local flood or gossiping
  - Additional latency during route discovery
  - Not appropriate for real-time communication
  - Route maintenance
    - Feedback from Link Level ACK
    - Issue new route discovery when link breaks

# Destination Sequenced Distance Vector (DSDV)


## Proactive Routing Protocols

- **Each node advertises a monotonically increasing sequence number**
- **Each Route entry is tagged with a sequence number generated by destination to prevent loops (*count-to-infinity* problem)**
- **Sequence number indicates the “freshness” of a route**
  - Routes with more recent sequence numbers are preferred for packet forwarding
  - If same sequence number, one having smallest metric is used

C. E. Perkins and P. Bhagwat. “Highly dynamic Destination Sequenced Distance-Vector routing (DSDV) for mobile computers” , *In Proceedings of the SIGCOMM ’ 94 Conference on Communication Architecture, Protocols and Applications*, pages 234-244, August ‘94.


# Example: DSDV

- For each reachable node in the network the routing entry contains:
  - Destination Address
  - Next Hop
  - Distance (Metric)
  - Sequence Number



Destination	Next Hop	Distance	Sequence Number
A	A	0	S205_A
B	B	1	S334_B
C	C	1	S198_C
D	D	1	S567_D
E	D	2	S767_E
F	D	2	S45_F


# Count-to-infinity Problem



Dest	Cost	Next Hop	Seq. #
A	1	A	1
C	1	C	1

Dest	Cost	Next Hop	Seq. #
A	2	B	1
B	1	B	1

# Count-to-infinity Problem




Dest	Cost	Next Hop	Seq. #
A	Infinity	Null	2
C	1	C	1

Sequence Number:

- Even numbers for link updates from neighbor nodes
- Odd numbers for link updates from the destination itself

Dest	Cost	Next Hop	Seq. #
A	2	B	1
B	1	B	1

# Count-to-infinity Problem



Dest	Cost	Next Hop	Seq. #
A	Infinity	Null	2
C	1	C	1

C's routing update will not change B's routing table since the sequence number is smaller (older).

Dest	Cost	Next Hop	Seq. #
A	2	B	1
B	1	B	1


# DSDV: Topology changes

- **Assign a metric of  $\infty$  to**
  - A broken link
  - Any route through a hop with a broken link
- **“ $\infty$  routes” are assigned new sequence numbers by any host and immediately broadcast via a triggered update**
- **If a node has an equal/later sequence number with a finite metric for an “ $\infty$  route”, a route update is triggered**




# Dynamic Source Routing [DSR] Route Discovery

- **Source node**
  - Broadcasts the **Route Request (RREQ)** <id, target>
- **Intermediate node**
  - Discards if the *id* has been seen before, or node is in the *route record* (header of RREQ)
  - Else append address in the *route record* and rebroadcast
- **Destination Node**
  - Return **Route Reply (RREP)**
    - Use previously cached route to source node
    - Call Route Discovery for source node, with route reply piggy backed
    - Use reverse sequence of Route Record, in case of bidirectional links




# DSR: Route Maintenance

- **Monitoring the route**
  - Passive Acknowledgement – overhearing the next-hop node sending packet to its next-hop
  - Set a bit in packet to request explicit next hop acknowledgement
- **Route Error**
  - Rely on data link layer to report the broken links;
  - Notify source of the broken link via **Route Error (RERR)**
  - Source truncates all routes which use nodes mentioned in RERR
  - Initiate new route discovery




# DSR: Optimizations for efficiency

- Use cached entries to create RREP at intermediate node
- Promiscuous mode to add more routes
- Caching overheard RREQ/RREP
- Use hop based delays to prevent RREP storms
  - A lot of neighbors know the route to target and attempt to send RREP in response to RREQ
  - Delay RREP for a period  $d = H \times (h - 1 + r)$ 
    - $r$  : random number between 0 and 1
    - $H$  : small constant delay
    - $h$  : number of hops to source from that node



# Expanding Ring Search

- Route Request Hop Limit
- Use TTL in the packet header to specify the first ring boundary
- RREQ is initially forwarded  $n$  times ( $n$  hops)
- If destination is not within  $n$ -hop
  - Increase TTL to a larger value



Hi!

This is useful if destination is close to the source

# Gossiping vs. Flooding

Gossip: Probabilistic Flooding

- **Gossip-Based Routing**


- Node forward packets with some probability  $p_G < 1$

- **How good is it?**

- 35% less overhead than flooding


- **What determine  $P_G$ ?**

Network Connectivity



# Network Connectivity

Connectivity: Fraction of nodes that is connected to the network




- **Sub-Critical**
  - Low connectivity
  - Mobile nodes are sparsely distributed in the network
  - **Performance is limited !!**
- **Super-Critical**
  - High connectivity region
  - Most or all the nodes can communicate

# Ad-Hoc On Demand Distance Vector Routing (AODV)

- **Protocol overview - Pure on-demand protocol**
  - Node does not maintain knowledge of another node unless it communicates with it
  - Routes discovered on as-needed basis and maintained only as long as necessary
  - Little or no periodic advertisement

# AODV – Route Discovery



## • Initiation

- Source node sends a Route Request (RREQ) when it has no information about destination node in its table
- RREQ contains
  - Source and destination's address and sequence number
  - Broadcast id
  - Hop count
- Source address and broadcast id uniquely identify RREQ

A

D	3	B	0
---	---	---	---

B

A	1	A	0
D	2	C	0

C

A	2	B	0
D	1	D	0

## • Reverse Path Setup

- Neighbor increments hop count and broadcasts to neighbors
- Records address of neighbor which first sends the RREQ

D

A	3	C	0
---	---	---	---



# AODV – Route Discovery

- **Forward Path Setup**

- Intermediate node satisfies RREQ if
  - Destination itself
  - Has route entry in table with destination sequence number  $\geq$  that given in RREQ
- Unicasts RREP to neighbor which sent RREQ
  - Source address
  - Destination address and sequence number (updated)
  - Hop count
  - Lifetime
- As RREP travels backwards, each node sets pointer to sending node and updates destination sequence number and timeout entry for source and destination routes


# AODV – Route Discovery

- **Other nodes**
  - RREQ times out : Route Request Expiration Timer
  - Deletes corresponding pointers
- **More than one RREP received**
  - One with greater destination number
  - Less hop count
- **Source node starts transmission - updates if a better RREP is received**


C. E. Perkins and E. M. Royer. “Ad-Hoc On Demand Distance Vector Routing” , *Proceedings of the IEEE Workshop on Mobile Computing Systems and Applications (WMCSA)*, pages 90-100, 1999.

# AODV – Route Maintenance

- Nodes send *hello message* if it has not sent a packet in *hello\_interval*
- Failing to receive *allowed\_hello\_loss* packets consecutively means link is broken
- In case of broken link
  - unsolicited RERR sent to affected source node
  - Source initiates new RREQ
  - Sequence number updated
  - Hop count set =  $\infty$
- **Route Caching Timeout** after the route is considered invalid
- **Optional\*** AODV-LL uses link layer ACK instead of hello messages



# Link Quality Metrics



- The protocol chooses the route with the smallest hop count  
→ Long hops will be included
- Long hops usually have lower SNR → high PER → retransmission!
- Original thought: lower hop count = lower bandwidth usage
- New thought: retransmission means wasted bandwidth




# Link Quality Metrics

- **Instead of using hop count only, we need to take “link quality” into account!**
- **What is a good metric for link quality?**
  - RSSI (representing SNR)
  - ETX (Expected Transmission Count)
- **Then we combine hop count + link quality to choose an optimal route**

# Example: ETX

Minimize total transmissions per packet  
(ETX, Expected Transmission Count)

Link throughput  $\approx 1 / \text{Link ETX}$

<u>Delivery Ratio</u>		<u>Link ETX</u>	<u>Throughput</u>
100%		1	100%
50%		2	50%
33%		3	33%

# Measuring delivery ratios






- Each node broadcasts small link probes (134 bytes), once per second
- Nodes remember probes received over past 10 seconds
- Reverse delivery ratios estimated as

$$r_{\text{rev}} \approx \text{pkts received} / \text{pkts sent}$$

- Forward delivery ratios obtained from neighbors (piggybacked on probes)

# Route ETX

Route ETX = Sum of link ETXs

	<u>Route ETX</u>	<u>Throughput</u>
	1	100%
	2	50%
	2	50%
	3	33%
	5	20%



# Example: SNR-based Metrics

- **For each link (each of a node's neighbor), maintain a "expected" SNR value.**
  - This is to eliminate the small fading effects in SNR
- **Each time receiving a packet, calculate:**
$$SSNR_i = \alpha \times SNR + (1 - \alpha) \times SSNR_{i-1}$$
  - SNR: SNR value of a newly received packet
  - $SSNR_{i-1}$ : old SSNR value before receiving the packet
  - $SSNR_i$ : new SSNR value
  - $\alpha$ : a sensitivity parameter.  $0 < \alpha \leq 1$ .
- **Then set a threshold to classify the links into good and bad links**
- **New route discovery process:**
  - First try to discover routes consists of only good links
  - If no route can be found, then relax the condition to include bad links as well.

# References for link quality aware routing metrics

- D. Couto, D. Aguayo, J. Bicket, and R. Morris, "A high-throughput path metric for multi-hop wireless routing," in *Proc. ACM Intern. Conf. on Mobile Comput. and Networking (MOBICOM)*, San Diego, CA, USA, September 2003, pp. 134–146.
- H.-M. Tsai, N. Wisitpongphan, and O. K. Tonguz, "Link-quality aware ad hoc on-demand distance vector routing protocol," in *Proc. IEEE Wireless Pervasive Computing*, January 2006, pp. 6–.
- R. Dube, C. D. Rais, K. Y. Wang, and S. K. Tripathi, "Signal stability-based adaptive routing (SSA) for ad hoc mobile networks," *IEEE Wireless Personal Communications*, vol. 4, no. 1, pp. 36–45, February 1997.
- C. Toh, "Associativity-based routing for ad hoc mobile network," *J. Wireless Personal Commun.*, vol. 4, no. 2, pp. 103–139, March 1997.