THE IMPROVEMENT OF SCALE-INVARIANT FEATURE TRANSFORM AND IMAGE TRACKING
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ABSTRACT

The Scale-Invariant Feature Transform (SIFT), has the good properties of extracting features from images. Because the Scale-Invariant Feature Transform can also find the feature points with the change of image size and rotation, in this research, we propose a new method to reduce the time of calculation of the Scale-Invariant Feature Transform. Traditional approaches on this method cannot achieve the real-time interaction. In order to address this problem, we will construct an improved model to deal with this work.
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1. INTRODUCTION

Recently, the technique of image tracking and recognition has been popular for a long time. The Scale-Invariant Feature Transform has the ability of handling different sizes of one sample image and this will help us to get the key-points. After we have the key-points, we can use them to conduct the image tracking and recognition. The original SIFT Feature Transform can be broadly categorized into four main approaches [5]-[8]:

The examination of extremums in scale space: In this step, we first pre-process images. We use the derivative functions to recognize the points which will not be affected by light and the change of image.
The position of key-points: Based on first step, we need to exclude some unstable key-points.
The direction of key-points: After finishing the second step, we assign several angles on key-points.
The descriptors of key-points: These descriptors are in the region of every key-point.

Fig. 1: Mutual subtraction on each Gaussian space.

The Scale-Invariant Feature Transform has worked with many computer vision methods for a long time. However, the calculation of getting the key-points will take much time [9]-[11]. We want to design a new method based on the original technique.

The real time of this approach will be the key problem for practice. In this paper, we propose an improvement approach. The Mutual subtraction on each Gaussian space is Fig. 1.

2. ORIGINAL MODEL

The original model of SIFT points detection has been worked for a long time. First, we want to discuss the previous model [2] [3] [5] [7] [15].

1: We construct the scale space by using the Gaussian function.

\[
\frac{\partial G}{\partial \sigma} = \sigma \nabla^2 G
\]  

(1)

We do the differentiation on (1):

\[
\sigma \nabla G \approx \frac{G(x,y,\sigma)-G(x,y,\sigma)}{\sigma}
\]  

(2)

2: After we acquire the Gaussian space functions, we do the mutual subtraction on each Gaussian space function.
3: Find the maxima or minima in every point by comparing one pixel with the surrounding pixels (e.g. size = 3*3*3 pixels).
4: Assign every maximal and minimal point to be key-points and find the directions on every key-point.

Fig. 2: A key-point descriptor and its eight direction angles

5: Exclude the unstable key-points by using the Harris edge detection.

After we set up the original model of SIFT, we will start constructing the improvement model.

In order to exclude the unstable key-points, we use the method of Harris corner detection. The following are the steps of Harris corner detection:
1. Calculate the first-order derivative of Gaussian function on x and y direction
2. According to first step, we get the $I_x^2$, $I_y^2$, and $I_xI_y$
   
   $K = 0.04\sim0.06$

   $M = \sum_{x,y}w(x, y) \begin{bmatrix} I_x^2 & I_xI_y \\ I_xI_y & I_y^2 \end{bmatrix}$
   $E(u, v) = \begin{bmatrix} u \\ v \end{bmatrix} M \begin{bmatrix} u \\ v \end{bmatrix}$
   
   $R = \det(M) - k(\text{trace}(M))^2$

3. Use the Gaussian function to get the $S_{xx}$, $S_{yy}$, $S_{xy}$
4. Get $R$, feature value, in every pixel.
5. Use $R$ to find the key-points.

6: We set the size of searching block is 4x4, and find the direction of the key-points, then we can have eight directions of each key-point.
7: Yield the descriptor of the key-points, and we have 4x4x8 = 128 element feature vector of each key-point.

The key-points descriptor and its eight direction angles is Fig. 2.

3. RELATED RESEARCH

The edge detection of the image can be calculated by many algorithms. But the original algorithms can only get the curve of the object. We want to find the key-points. Starting from finding the edge point on the object and extend to get the key-points.

Feature matching is an important issue of object tracking and recognition [13][14][19][20][22][28]. We can use the local and global features to set the key-points.

The conventional way to find the features in an image is to use the edge detection. And then, using the result of edge detection to find the contour or outline from an image. There are several methods to get the edge detection. We want to introduce the relationship between edge detection and SIFT point.

The original image is Fig. 3. The result of Canny edge detection is Fig. 4.

The common way to acquire the result of edge is the Canny edge detection. The following are the steps of Canny edge detection [1][4][16].

1: Use the Gaussian function to smooth the image and reduce noise.

2: Compute the magnitude and orientation
   $G(j,k) = \sqrt{G_x^2(j,k) + G_y^2(j,k)}$
   
   $\theta(j,k) = \tan^{-1}\left(\frac{G_x(j,k)}{G_y(j,k)}\right)$
3: Search the nearest points along the edge normal
\[ G_c(j,k) = \begin{cases} 
G(j,k) & \text{if } G(j,k) > G'(j',k') \text{ and } G(j,k) > G''(j'',k'') \\
0 & \text{otherwise}
\end{cases} \]  
(7)

4: Label each pixel and set the two thresholds to get the local maximum and candidate pixel [20]

Label each pixels according to two threshold \( T_H \), \( T_L \)

- \( G_n(x,y) \geq T_H \) \quad \text{Edge Pixel}
- \( T_H > G_n(x,y) \geq T_L \) \quad \text{Candidate Pixel}
- \( G_n(x,y) < T_L \) \quad \text{Non-edge Pixel} \quad (8)

5: Connect the Labeling points and get the result of edge detection. The result of Harris detection points is Fig. 5.

The advantage of Canny edge detection:

- **Good Detection**: The optimal detector will minimize the probability of false positives as well as false negatives.
- **Good Localization**: The edge detected has to be as close as possible to the true edge.
- **Single Response Constraint**: The detector must return one result only from each edge point.

The Random Sample Consensus (RANSAC), is an algorithm which can exclude the unstable data from the data set we build.

But the original technique of edge detection needs to calculate whole pixels in one image, and this will take much time. Thus, we want to use SIFT points to reduce computation time.

A way to reduce useless SIFT points is the Harris corner detection. We use this algorithm to exclude unwanted key-points. This will help us to reduce many unstable points.

The red points in Fig. 3 are the result of Harris detection. We will take the result to reduce the useless SIFT points. This will make our model become more stable to every condition.

4. OUR PROPOSED MODEL

Based on the original SIFT points, we build a new method to reduce the computation time. After we detect SIFT points, we perform the following procedures which can reduce the unstable points:

1. **Remove the RANSAC-points**: We set up several thresholds to reduce the number of SIFT points. If SIFT points are stable, they will be the corresponding positions between frames. We use it to reduce the computation time of SIFT points and unstable SIFT points.

2. **Select the specific regions to detect**: In the original model, the algorithm of finding the SIFT points is to detect the whole image. We build a method to select specific regions of image to conduct the SIFT points detection. This will reduce computation time.
5. RESULTS

The computation time in SIFT will reduce for real-time application. The new SIFT will reduce computation time and will find more precise key-points for one image. After working with this problem, we can find a more robust algorithm to acquire the feature points.

The new SIFT will reduce computation time and will find more precise key-points for one image [12] [18] [26]. After working with this problem, we can find a more robust algorithm to acquire the feature points.

The following we will conduct different situations. We take the experiments into two different parts and discuss the result of SIFT point detection:

**First: Static picture**

The image size is: 960*1080 pixels. The result of original SIFT point detection is Fig. 8. The horizontal flipping vs. the original SIFT points is Fig. 9.

The Scaling vs. the original SIFT points is Fig. 10.

The Rotation vs. the original SIFT points is Fig. 11.

The ‘*’ is the representation of SIFT points. The number of SIFT between Original and Rotation images will be little different. The masks of filtering the image are too small.

We want to expand our model to dynamic images [17] [21] [23] [24] [25] [27]. The result of static images proves that our algorithm runs correctly. In the next section, we will test our model on video system. In order to expand our SIFT points model to be more flexible, we start working on dynamic image model. The dynamic we choose is Video system. After we finish the video system of SIFT points, we will make the algorithm become a real-time work.
Second: Video
The frame size is: 800*800 pixels
The '*' represents SIFT points.

The result of original SIFT point detection is Fig. 12. The SIFT point between two frames is Fig. 13.

The '*' is the representation of SIFT points. The lines between SIFT points are the corresponding positions. The corresponding positions are too much now if we want to do the image recognition or tracking. We need to reduce the number of SIFT points.

The number of SIFT points are smaller after we conduct the selecting the specific region method to reduce the SIFT points. The SIFT point between two frames is Fig. 14.

Fig. 12: The result of SIFT points.
Fig. 13: The result of SIFT points after adding lines between two frames.
Fig. 14: The result of SIFT points after adding lines between two frames and selecting the specific region.
Fig. 15: Before using RANSAC method.
Fig. 16: After using RANSAC method
The number of SIFT points are smaller after we conduct the RANSAC method to reduce the SIFT points. Before we using the RANSAC is Fig. 15. After we using the RANSAC is Fig. 16.

The number of SIFT points become fewer after we conduct the Match the SIFT points between frames method to reduce the SIFT points. The result of using Match the SIFT points between frames method is Fig. 17.

We decide two thresholds to reduce SIFT points. From the part of position, we choose a specific region to find the SIFT points. From the part of pixel value, we delete the instance change of pixel level.

The result of the image has already deleted the unstable points. The lines between two images are to let us know that these points are the same key points. The time gap between two frames is 0.1sec.

We can use the smaller number of SIFT points to conduct image tracking and recognition. We fixed the detection block on specific region to make our detection model become easier to run the SIFT points detection.

The algorithm can track the same SIFT points between two frames and keep track the same object until we do not need it [28]. It is useful for us to conduct the object tracking and also our model can give real-time feedback when it is running. Also, we already reduce the number of SIFT points which can make our algorithm more efficient. We do not need the whole SIFT points, only few numbers. Based on smaller points, we still can conduct the image tracking normally.

In Table 1, we can reduce the number of SIFT points. After we reduce the points, the computation time will become smaller than before. This will help us to improve the original SIFT point algorithm.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Method</th>
<th>Number of SIFT points</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Original</td>
<td>730 points</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Select the specific regions to detect</td>
<td>70-80 points</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Remove the RANSAC-points</td>
<td>30-50 points</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Match the SIFT points between frames</td>
<td>6-15 points</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(Proposed)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

6. FUTURE AND DISCUSSION

The original model about detecting the SIFT points in one picture will cost almost one minutes. It cannot be used for realistic works.

The number of SIFT points become fewer than before. Our model will reduce the computation time of SIFT points detection. When the computation time is smaller than before, the application of SIFT points will be applicable. We will use our new algorithm to conduct object tracking and recognition. Based on our method, the computation time of SIFT points detection will be real-time.

The machine learning and deep learning are very popular in these days. They also can make our model become more precisely. Our objective in the future is to combine our model with them. After we combine them, we will make our model more flexible.

ACKNOWLEDGE

The authors thank to the support of Alpha Network Inc.

REFERENCES


