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# Epipolar geometry \& fundamental matrix 

## The epipolar geometry

epipolar geometry demo

$C, C^{\prime}, x, X^{\prime}$ and $X$ are coplanar

## The epipolar geometry



What if only $C, C^{\prime}, x$ are known?

## The epipolar geometry



All points on $\pi$ project on $I$ and $I^{\prime}$

## The epipolar geometry



Family of planes $\pi$ and lines $l$ and $l$ ' intersect at $e$ and $e$,

## The epipolar geometry

epipolar pole
epipolar geometry demo
=intersection of baseline with image plane
$=$ projection of proj ection center in the other image

epipolar plane = plane containing baseline epipolar line $=$ intersection of epipolar plane with image

## The fundamental matrix $F$



Two reference frames are related via the extrinsic parameters

$$
\mathbf{p}=\mathbf{R} \mathbf{p}^{\prime}+\mathbf{T}
$$

## The fundamental matrix $F$

$$
[\mathbf{T}]_{x}=\left[\begin{array}{ccc}
0 & -T_{z} & T_{y} \\
T_{z} & 0 & -T_{x} \\
-T_{y} & T_{x} & 0
\end{array}\right]
$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
\mathbf{p}^{\mathrm{T}}[\mathbf{T}]_{\times} \mathbf{p}= & \mathbf{p}^{\mathrm{T}}[\mathbf{T}]_{\times}\left(\mathbf{R p} \mathbf{p}^{\prime}+\mathbf{T}\right) \\
0= & \mathbf{p}^{\mathrm{T}}[\mathbf{T}]_{\mathbf{R}} \mathbf{p p}^{\prime} \\
& \mathbf{p}^{\top} \mathbf{E P}^{\prime}=0
\end{aligned}
$$

## The fundamental matrix $F$

## $\mathbf{p}^{\mathrm{T}} \mathbf{E} \mathbf{p}^{\prime}=0$

Let $\mathbf{M}$ and $\mathbf{M}^{\prime}$ be the intrinsic matrices, then

$$
\mathbf{p}=\mathbf{M}^{-1} \mathbf{x} \quad \mathbf{p}^{\prime}=\mathbf{M}^{\mathbf{\prime}^{-1}} \mathbf{x}^{\prime}
$$

$\left(\mathbf{M}^{-1} \mathbf{x}\right)^{\mathrm{T}} \mathbf{E}\left(\mathbf{M}^{\prime-1} \mathbf{x}^{\prime}\right)=0$
$\mathbf{x}^{\mathrm{T}} \mathbf{M}^{-\mathrm{T}} \mathbf{E} \mathbf{M}^{\mathbf{1}^{-1}} \mathbf{x}^{\prime}=0$
$\mathbf{x}^{\mathrm{T}} \mathbf{F} \mathbf{x}^{\prime}=0 \quad$ fundamental matrix

## The fundamental matrix $F$

- The fundamental matrix is the algebraic representation of epipolar geometry
- The fundamental matrix satisfies the condition that for any pair of corresponding points $x \leftrightarrow x^{\prime}$ in the two images

$$
\mathrm{x}^{\mathrm{T}} \mathrm{~F} \mathrm{X}^{\prime}=0 \quad\left(\mathrm{x}^{\mathrm{T}} 1=0\right)
$$

## The fundamental matrix F

$F$ is the unique $3 \times 3$ rank 2 matrix that satisfies $x^{\top} F x^{\prime}=0$ for all $\mathrm{x} \leftrightarrow \mathrm{x}^{\prime}$

1. Transpose: if $F$ is fundamental matrix for $\left(x, x^{\prime}\right)$, then $F^{\top}$ is fundamental matrix for $\left(x^{\prime}, x\right)$
2. Epipolar lines: $I=F x^{\prime} \& I^{\prime} F^{\top} x$
3. Epipoles: on all epipolar lines, thus $e^{\top} F x^{\prime}=0, \forall x^{\prime}$ $\Rightarrow e^{\top} F=0$, similarly $F e^{\prime}=0$
4. $F$ has 7 d.o.f. , i.e. $3 \times 3$-1(homogeneous)-1(rank2)
5. $F$ is a correlation, projective mapping from a point $x$ to a line $I \neq x^{\prime}$ (not a proper correlation, i.e. not invertible)

## The fundamental matrix $F$



- It can be used for
- Simplifies matching
- Allows to detect wrong matches


## Estimation of F - 8-point algorithm

- The fundamental matrix F is defined by

$$
\mathbf{x}^{\mathrm{T}} \mathbf{F} \mathbf{x}^{\prime}=0
$$

for any pair of matches $\mathbf{x}$ and $\mathbf{x}^{\prime}$ in two images.

- Let $\mathbf{x}=(u, v, 1)^{\top}$ and $\mathbf{x}^{\prime}=\left(u^{\prime}, v^{\prime}, 1\right)^{\top}, \quad \mathbf{F}=\left[\begin{array}{lll}f_{11} & f_{12} & f_{13} \\ f_{21} & f_{22} & f_{23} \\ f_{31} & f_{32} & f_{33}\end{array}\right]$ each match gives a linear equation

$$
u u^{\prime} f_{11}+u v^{\prime} f_{12}+u f_{13}+v u^{\prime} f_{21}+v v^{\prime} f_{22}+v f_{23}+u^{\prime} f_{31}+v^{\prime} f_{32}+f_{33}=0
$$

## 8-point algorithm



- In reality, instead of solving $\mathbf{A f}=0$ we seek $\mathbf{f}$ to minimize $\|\mathbf{A f}\|$ subj. $\|\mathbf{f}\|=1$. Find the vector corresponding to the least singular value.


## 8-point algorithm

- To enforce that F is of rank 2, F is replaced by F' that minimizes $\left\|\mathbf{F}-\mathbf{F}^{\prime}\right\|$ subject to $\operatorname{det} \mathbf{F}^{\prime}=0$.
- It is achieved by SVD. Let $\mathbf{F}=\mathbf{U} \Sigma \mathbf{V}^{\text {T }}$, where

$$
\Sigma=\left[\begin{array}{ccc}
\sigma_{1} & 0 & 0 \\
0 & \sigma_{2} & 0 \\
0 & 0 & \sigma_{3}
\end{array}\right] \text {, let } \Sigma^{\prime}=\left[\begin{array}{ccc}
\sigma_{1} & 0 & 0 \\
0 & \sigma_{2} & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 0
\end{array}\right]
$$

then $\mathbf{F}^{\prime}=\mathbf{U} \Sigma^{\prime} \mathbf{V}^{\mathrm{T}}$ is the solution.

## 8-point algorithm

\%Build the constraint matrix

$$
\begin{aligned}
A= & {\left[x 2(1,:)^{\prime} . .^{*} \times 1(1,:)^{\prime}\right.} \\
& \times 2(1,:)^{\prime} . .^{*} \times 1(2,:)^{\prime} \times 2(1,:)^{\prime} \ldots \\
& \times 1(1,:)^{\prime} . * \times 1(1,:)^{\prime} \quad \times 2(2,:)^{\prime} . * \times 1(2,:)^{\prime} \times 2(2,:)^{\prime} \ldots \\
& \left.\times 1(2,:)^{\prime} \quad \text { ones(npts, 1) }\right] ;
\end{aligned}
$$

$[\mathrm{U}, \mathrm{D}, \mathrm{V}]=\operatorname{svd}(\mathrm{A}) ;$
\%Extract fundamental matrix from the column of V
\%corresponding to the smallest singular value.
F = reshape(V(: , 9), 3, 3)';
\%Enforce rank2 constraint
[U, D, V] $=\operatorname{svd}(F)$;
$F=U^{*} d i a g([D(1,1) D(2,2) 0]) * V^{\prime} ;$

## 8-point algorithm

- Pros: it is linear, easy to implement and fast
- Cons: susceptible to noise


## Problem with 8-point algorithm



## Normalized 8-point algorithm

1. Transform input by $\hat{\mathbf{x}}_{\mathbf{i}}=\mathbf{T} \mathbf{x}_{\mathbf{i}}, \hat{\mathbf{x}}_{\mathbf{i}}^{\prime}=\mathbf{T}^{\prime} \mathbf{x}_{\mathbf{i}}^{\prime}$
2. Call 8-point on $\hat{\mathbf{x}}_{\mathrm{i}}, \hat{\mathbf{x}}_{\mathbf{i}}^{\prime}$ to obtain $\hat{\mathbf{F}}$
3. $\mathbf{F}=\mathbf{T}^{\mathrm{T}} \hat{\mathbf{F}} \mathbf{T}$


## Normalized 8-point algorithm

## normalized least squares yields good results

Transform image to $-[-1,1] \times[-1,1]$


## Normalized 8-point algorithm

[x1, T1] = normalise2dpts(x1);
[ $\times 2, \mathrm{~T} 2$ ] $=$ normalise2dpts( $\times 2$ );

$$
\begin{aligned}
A= & {\left[x 2(1,:)^{\prime} . .^{*} \times 1(1,:)^{\prime}\right.} \\
& \times 2(1,:)^{\prime} .{ }^{*} \times 1(2,:)^{\prime} \times 2(1,:)^{\prime} \ldots \\
& \times 1(1,:)^{\prime} \cdot{ }^{*} \times 1(1,:)^{\prime} \quad \times 2(2,:)^{\prime} \cdot * \times 1(2,:)^{\prime} \times 2(2,:)^{\prime} \ldots \\
& \left.\times 1(2,:)^{\prime} \quad \text { ones(npts, 1)}\right] ;
\end{aligned}
$$

[U, D, V] =svd(A);
F = reshape(V(:,9), 3, 3)';
$[\mathrm{U}, \mathrm{D}, \mathrm{V}]=\operatorname{svd}(\mathrm{F})$;
$\mathrm{F}=\mathrm{U}^{*} \mathrm{diag}([\mathrm{D}(1,1) \mathrm{D}(2,2) 0])^{*}{ }^{\text {'; }}$
\%Denormalise
F =T2'*F*T;

## Normalization

function [newpts, T] = normalise2dpts(pts)

```
c =mean(pts(1:2,:)')'; %Centroid
newp(1,:) = pts(1,:)-c(1); %Shift origin to centroid.
newp(2,:) = pts(2,:)-c(2);
meandist = mean(sqrt(newp(1,:).^2 + newp(2,:).^2));
scale =sqrt(2)/ meandist;
T =[scale 0 -scale*c(1)
    0 scale -scale*c(2)
    0 0 1 ];
newpts =T*pts;
```


## RANSAC

## repeat

select minimal sample ( 8 matches)
compute solution(s) for $F$
determine inliers
until $\Gamma$ (\#nliers, \#samples) $>95 \%$ or too many times
compute F based on all inliers

## Results (ground truth)

■ Ground truth with standard stereo calibration


## Results (8-point algorithm)



## Results (normalized 8-point algorithm)

■ Normalized 8-point algorithm


## Structure from motion

## Structure from motion


structure for motion: automatic recovery of camera motion and scene structure from two or more images. It is a self calibration technique and called automatic camera tracking or matchmoving.

## Applications

- For computer vision, multiple-view shape reconstruction, novel view synthesis and autonomous vehicle navigation.
- For film production, seamless insertion of CGI into live-action backgrounds


## Matchmove

## Structure from motion

| 2D feature <br> tracking |
| :---: |$\rightarrow$| optimization |
| :---: |
| (bundle adjust) |$\longrightarrow$| geometry |
| :---: |
| fitting |

## SFM pipeline

## Structure from motion

- Step 1: Track Features
- Detect good features, Shi \& Tomasi, SIFT
- Find correspondences between frames
- Lucas \& Kanade-style motion estimation
- window-based correlation
- SIFT matching



## KLT tracking


http:/ / www. ces. clemson. edu/ -stb/ klt/

## Structure from Motion

- Step 2: Estimate Motion and Structure
- Simplified projection model, e.g., [Tomasi 92]
- 2 or 3 views at a time [Hartley 00]



## Structure from Motion

- Step 3: Refine estimates
- "Bundle adj ustment" in photogrammetry
- Other iterative methods



## Structure from Motion

- Step 4: Recover surfaces (image-based triangulation, silhouettes, stereo...)


Factorization methods

## Problem statement



## Notations

- $n$ 3D points are seen in $m$ views
- $\mathbf{q}=(u, v, 1)$ : 2D image point
- $\mathbf{p}=(x, y, z, 1)$ : 3D scene point
- П: projection matrix
- $\pi$ : projection function
- $q_{i j}$ is the projection of the $i$-th point on image $j$
- $\lambda_{\mathrm{ij}}$ projective depth of $\mathrm{q}_{\mathrm{ij}}$

$$
\begin{aligned}
\mathbf{q}_{i j}=\pi\left(\prod_{j} \mathbf{p}_{i}\right) \quad & \pi(x, y, z)=(x / z, y / z) \\
& \lambda_{i j}=z
\end{aligned}
$$

## Structure from motion

- Estimate $\Pi_{j}$ and $\mathbf{p}_{i}$ to minimize

$$
\begin{gathered}
\varepsilon\left(\boldsymbol{\Pi}_{1}, \cdots, \boldsymbol{\Pi}_{m}, \mathbf{p}_{1}, \cdots, \mathbf{p}_{n}\right)=\sum_{j=1}^{m} \sum_{i=1}^{n} w_{i j} \log P\left(\pi\left(\boldsymbol{\Pi}_{j} \mathbf{p}_{i}\right) ; \mathbf{q}_{i j}\right) \\
w_{i j}= \begin{cases}1 & \text { if } p_{i} \text { is visible in view } \mathrm{j} \\
0 & \text { otherwise }\end{cases}
\end{gathered}
$$

- Assume isotropic Gaussian noise, it is reduced to

$$
\varepsilon\left(\boldsymbol{\Pi}_{1}, \cdots, \boldsymbol{\Pi}_{m}, \mathbf{p}_{1}, \cdots, \mathbf{p}_{n}\right)=\sum_{j=1}^{m} \sum_{i=1}^{n} w_{i j}\left\|\pi\left(\boldsymbol{\Pi}_{j} \mathbf{p}_{i}\right)-\mathbf{q}_{i j}\right\|^{2}
$$

- Start from a simpler projection model


## Orthographic projection

- Special case of perspective projection
- Distance from the COP to the PP is infinite


$$
\left[\begin{array}{llll}
1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 0 & 1
\end{array}\right]\left[\begin{array}{l}
x \\
y \\
z \\
1
\end{array}\right]=\left[\begin{array}{l}
x \\
y \\
1
\end{array}\right] \Rightarrow(x, y)
$$

- Also called "parallel projection": $(x, y, z) \rightarrow(x, y)$


## SFM under orthographic projection



- Choose scene origin to be centroid of 3D points
- Choose image origins to be centroid of 2D points
- Allows us to drop the camera translation:

$$
\mathbf{q}=\Pi \mathbf{p}
$$

## factorization (Tomasi \& Kanade)

projection of $\mathbf{n}$ features in one image:

$$
\left[\begin{array}{llll}
\mathbf{q}_{1} & \mathbf{q}_{2} & \cdots & \mathbf{q}_{\mathrm{n}}
\end{array}\right]=\prod_{2 \times \mathrm{n}}\left[\begin{array}{llll}
\mathbf{p}_{1} & \mathbf{p}_{2} & \cdots & \mathbf{p}_{\mathrm{n}}
\end{array}\right]
$$

projection of $\mathbf{n}$ features in $m$ images

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \left.\left[\begin{array}{cccc}
\mathbf{q}_{11} & \mathbf{q}_{12} & \cdots & \mathbf{q}_{1 n} \\
\mathbf{q}_{21} & \mathbf{q}_{22} & \cdots & \mathbf{q}_{2 n} \\
\vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\
\mathbf{q}_{m 1} & \mathbf{q}_{m 2} & \cdots & \mathbf{q}_{m n}
\end{array}\right]=\left[\begin{array}{c}
\boldsymbol{\Pi}_{1} \\
\boldsymbol{\Pi}_{2} \\
\vdots \\
2 \mathrm{~m} \times \mathrm{n} \\
\boldsymbol{\Pi}_{m}
\end{array}\right] \begin{array}{llll}
\mathbf{p}_{1} & \mathbf{p}_{2} & \cdots & \mathbf{p}_{n}
\end{array}\right] \\
& \begin{array}{ll}
3 \times \mathrm{n} & \\
\mathbf{W} \times 3
\end{array} \\
& \text { measurement } \quad \mathbf{M}_{\text {motion }} \quad \mathbf{S}_{\text {shape }}
\end{aligned}
$$

Key Observation: $\operatorname{rank}(\mathbf{W})<=3$

## Factorization



- Factorization Technique
- W is at most rank 3 (assuming no noise)
- We can use singular value decomposition to factor W:

$$
\underset{2 \mathrm{~m} \times \mathrm{n}}{\mathbf{W}}=\underset{2 \mathrm{~m} \times 3}{\mathbf{M}^{\prime}} \underset{3 \times \mathrm{n}}{\mathbf{S}^{\prime}}
$$

- $\mathbf{S}^{\prime}$ differs from $\mathbf{S}$ by a linear transformation $\mathbf{A}$ :

$$
\mathbf{W}=\mathbf{M}^{\prime} \mathbf{S}^{\prime}=\left(\mathbf{M} \mathbf{A}^{-1}\right)(\mathbf{A S})
$$

- Solve for $\mathbf{A}$ by enforcing metric constraints on $\mathbf{M}$


## Results

DigjVFX


## Extensions to factorization methods

- Projective projection
- With missing data
- Projective projection with missing data

Bundle adjustment

## Bundle adjustment

- $n$ 3D points are seen in $m$ views
- $x_{i j}$ is the projection of the $i$-th point on image $j$
- $\mathrm{a}_{\mathrm{j}}$ is the parameters for the j -th camera
- $b_{i}$ is the parameters for the i-th point
- BA attempts to minimize the projection error

$$
\min _{\mathbf{a}_{j}, \mathbf{b}_{i}} \sum_{i=1}^{n} \sum_{j=1}^{m} \underset{\uparrow}{\text { predicted proj ection }}
$$

Euclidean distance

## Levenberg-Marquardt method

- LM can be thought of as a combination of steepest descent and the Newton method. When the current solution is far from the correct one, the algorithm behaves like a steepest descent method: slow, but guaranteed to converge. When the current solution is close to the correct solution, it becomes a Newton's method.


## Bundle adjustment



## MatchMove

The Structure from Motion Pipeline

## Applications of matchmove

## J urassic park



## 2d3 boujou



Enemy at the Gate, Double Negative

## 2d3 boujou



Enemy at the Gate, Double Negative

## Photo Tourism



Photo Tourism
Exploring photo collections in 3D

(a)

## Microsoft

(b)


(c)

## VideoTrace


http:// www. acvt. com. au/ research/ videotrace/

## Video stabilization
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## Project \#3 MatchMove

- It is more about using tools in this project
- You can choose either calibration or structure from motion to achieve the goal
- Calibration
- Voodoo/ Icarus
- Examples from previous classes, \#1, \#2
- https: / / www. youtube.com/ user/ theActionMovieKid/ videos

