3D photography

Digital Visual Effects Yung-Yu Chuang

with slides by Szymon Rusinkiewicz, Richard Szeliski, Steve Seitz and Brian Curless

3D photography

• Acquisition of geometry and material





Range acquisition





Range image

DigiVFX Range acquisition taxonomy mechanical (CMM, jointed arm) inertial (gyroscope, accelerometer) contact · ultrasonic trackers magnetic trackers · industrial CT range transmissive ultrasound acquisition MRI radar non-optical reflective sonar optical



Range acquisition taxonomy



Outline

DigiVFX

- Passive approaches
 - Stereo
 - Multiview approach
- Active approaches
 - Triangulation
 - Shadow scanning
- Active variants of passive approaches
 - Photometric stereo
 - Example-based photometric stereo

Passive approaches



Public Library, Stereoscopic Looking Room, Chicago, by Phillips, 1923





Stereo

- Digi<mark>VFX</mark>
- One distinguishable point being observed
 - The preimage can be found at the intersection of the rays from the focal points to the image points



Components of stereo vision systems

- Camera calibration
- Image rectification: simplifies the search for correspondences
- Correspondence: which item in the left image corresponds to which item in the right image
- Reconstruction: recovers 3-D information from the 2-D correspondences

Stereo

- Many points being observed
 - Need some method to establish correspondences



Epipolar geometry

- Epipolar constraint: corresponding points must lie on conjugate epipolar lines
 - Search for correspondences becomes a 1-D problem





DigiVFX

Image rectification

 Warp images such that conjugate epipolar lines become collinear and parallel to u axis





Disparity

- With rectified images, disparity is just (horizontal) displacement of corresponding features in the two images
 - Disparity = 0 for distant points
 - Larger disparity for closer points
 - Depth of point proportional to 1/disparity

Reconstruction

DigiVFX

DigiVFX

• Geometric

- Construct the line segment perpendicular to R and R' that intersects both rays and take its mid-point



Basic stereo algorithm





For each epipolar line

For each pixel in the left image

- · compare with every pixel on same epipolar line in right image
- pick pixel with minimum match cost

Improvement: match windows



Basic stereo algorithm

Digi<mark>VFX</mark>

- For each pixel
 - For each disparity
 - For each pixel in window
 - Compute difference
 - Find disparity with minimum SSD

Reverse order of loops

- For each disparity
 - For each pixel
 - For each pixel in window
 - Compute difference
- Find disparity with minimum SSD at each pixel

Incremental computation



• Given SSD of a window, at some disparity



Incremental computation



• Want: SSD at next location





Incremental computation



• Subtract contributions from leftmost column, add contributions from rightmost column



Selecting window size

DigiVFX



3 pixel window



20 pixel window

Selecting window size

- Small window: more detail, but more noise
- Large window: more robustness, less detail
- Example:



Non-square windows

Digi<mark>VFX</mark>

- Compromise: have a large window, but higher weight near the center
- Example: Gaussian
- Example: Shifted windows





Ordering constraint

- Order of matching features usually the same in both images
- But not always: occlusion



Dynamic programming

• Treat feature correspondence as graph problem



Cost of edges = similarity of regions between image features

Dynamic programming

Digi<mark>VF</mark>X

DigiVFX

• Find min-cost path through graph



Energy minimization

DigiVFX

- Another approach to improve quality of correspondences
- Assumption: disparities vary (mostly) smoothly
- Minimize energy function:

 $E_{data} + \lambda E_{smoothness}$

- E_{data}: how well does disparity match data
- E_{smoothness}: how well does disparity match that of neighbors – regularization



Energy minimization

- Digi<mark>VFX</mark>
- If data and energy terms are nice (continuous, smooth, etc.) can try to minimize via gradient descent, etc.
- In practice, disparities only piecewise smooth
- Design smoothness function that doesn't penalize large jumps too much
 - Example: $V(\alpha,\beta)=min(|\alpha-\beta|, K)$

Stereo as energy minimization

- Matching Cost Formulated as Energy
 - "data" term penalizing bad matches

$$D(x, y, d) = |\mathbf{I}(x, y) - \mathbf{J}(x + d, y)|$$

- "neighborhood term" encouraging spatial smoothness

 $V(d_1, d_2) = \text{cost of adjacent pixels with labels d1 and d2}$ = $|d_1 - d_2|$ (or something similar)

$$E = \sum_{(x,y)} D(x, y, d_{x,y}) + \sum_{neighbors\ (x1,y1), (x2,y2)} V(d_{x1,y1}, d_{x2,y2})$$

Energy minimization

DigiVFX

- Hard to find global minima of non-smooth functions
 - Many local minima
 - Provably NP-hard
- Practical algorithms look for approximate minima (e.g., simulated annealing)

Stereo results

DigiVFX

- Data from University of Tsukuba





scene

ground truth

http://cat.middlebury.edu/stereo/



Results with window correlation





normalized correlation (best window size)



Results with graph cuts



graph cuts (Potts model *E*, expansion move algorithm)

ground truth

Stereo evaluation





 An <u>on-line submission script</u> that allows you to evaluate your stereo algorithm in our framework

How to cite the materials on this website:

We grant permission to use and publish all images and numerical results on this website. If you report performance results, we request that you cite our paper [1]. Instructions on how to cite our datasets are listed on the <u>datasets page</u>. If you want to cite this website, please use the URL "vision.middlebury.edu/stereof".

References:

[1] D. Scharstein and R. Szeliski. <u>A taxonomy and evaluation of dense two-frame stereo correspondence algorithms</u>. International Journal of Computer Vision, 47(1/2/3):742, April-June 2002. <u>Microsoft Research Technical Report MSR:TR-2001-81</u>, November 2001.

Stereo—best algorithms



Error Threshold = 1 Error Threshold			Sort by	nonocc	Sort by all						Sort by disc			
								/						
Algorithm	Avg.	Tsukuba ground truth			Venus ground truth			Teddy ground truth			Cones ground truth			
	Rank	nonocc	all	<u>disc</u>	nonocc	all	<u>disc</u>	nonocc	all	<u>disc</u>	nonocc	all	<u>disc</u>	
AdaptingBP [17]	2.8	<u>1.11</u> 8	1.37 3	5.797	<u>0.10</u> 1	0.21 <mark>2</mark>	1.44 1	<u>4.22</u> 4	7.06 2	11.8 4	<u>2.48</u> 1	7.92 <mark>2</mark>	7.32 1	
DoubleBP2 [35]	2.9	<u>0.88</u> 1	1.29 1	4.76 1	<u>0.13</u> 3	0.45 5	1.87 5	<u>3.53</u> 2	8.30 3	9.63 1	<u>2.90</u> 3	8.78 8	7.79 2	
DoubleBP [15]	4.9	<u>0.88</u> 2	1.29 <mark>2</mark>	4.76 <mark>2</mark>	<u>0.14</u> 5	0.60 13	2.00 7	<u>3.55</u> 3	8.71 5	9.70 <mark>2</mark>	<u>2.90</u> 4	9.24 11	7.80 3	
SubPixDoubleBP [30]	5.6	<u>1.24</u> 10	1.76 13	5.98 <mark>8</mark>	<u>0.12</u> 2	0.46 6	1.74 4	<u>3.45</u> 1	8.38 4	10.0 s	<u>2.93</u> 5	8.73 7	7.91 4	
AdaptOvrSegBP [33]	9.9	<u>1.69</u> 22	2.04 21	5.64 6	<u>0.14</u> 4	0.20 1	1.47 <mark>2</mark>	<u>7.04</u> 14	11.17	16.4 11	<u>3.60</u> 11	8.96 10	8.84 10	
SymBP+occ [7]	10.8	<u>0.97</u> 4	1.75 12	5.09 4	<u>0.16</u> 8	0.33 3	2.19 8	<u>6.47</u> 8	10.7 s	17.0 14	<u>4.79</u> 24	10.7 21	10.9 20	
PlaneFitBP [32]	10.8	<u>0.97</u> 5	1.83 14	5.26 5	<u>0.17</u> 7	0.51 s	1.71 3	<u>6.65</u> 9	12.1 13	14.7 7	<u>4.17</u> 20	10.7 20	10.6 19	
AdaptDispCalib [36]	11.8	<u>1.19</u> 8	1.42 4	6.15 s	<u>0.23</u> 9	0.34 4	2.50 11	<u>7.80</u> 19	13.6 21	17.3 17	<u>3.62</u> 12	9.33 12	9.72 15	
Segm+visib [4]	12.2	<u>1.30</u> 15	1.57 5	6.92 18	<u>0.79</u> 21	1.06 18	6.76 22	<u>5.00</u> 5	6.54 1	12.3 5	<u>3.72</u> 13	8.62 6	10.2 17	
C-SemiGlob [19]	12.3	<u>2.61</u> 29	3.29 24	9.89 27	<u>0.25</u> 12	0.57 10	3.24 15	<u>5.14</u> 8	11.8 8	13.0 8	<u>2.77</u> 2	8.35 4	8.20 5	
SO+borders [29]	12.8	<u>1.29</u> 14	1.71 9	6.83 15	<u>0.25</u> 13	0.53 <mark>9</mark>	2.26 9	<u>7.02</u> 13	12.2 14	16.3 <mark>9</mark>	<u>3.90</u> 15	9.85 16	10.2 18	
DistinctSM [27]	14.1	<u>1.21</u> 9	1.75 11	6.39 11	<u>0.35</u> 14	0.69 18	2.63 13	<u>7.45</u> 18	13.0 17	18.1 19	<u>3.91</u> 18	9.91 18	8.32 7	
CostAggr+occ [39]	14.3	<u>1.38</u> 17	1.96 17	7.14 19	<u>0.44</u> 16	1.13 19	4.87 19	<u>6.80</u> 11	11.9 10	17.3 18	<u>3.60</u> 10	8.57 5	9.36 13	
OverSegmBP [26]	14.5	<u>1.69</u> 23	1.97 18	8.47 24	<u>0.51</u> 18	0.68 15	4.69 18	<u>6.74</u> 10	11.9 12	15.8 8	<u>3.19</u> s	8.81 9	8.89 11	
SegmentSupport [28]	15.1	<u>1.25</u> 11	1.62 7	6.68 13	0.25 11	0.64 14	2.59 12	<u>8.43</u> 24	14.2 22	18.2 20	<u>3.77</u> 14	9.87 17	9.77 18	



Volumetric multiview approaches



- Goal: find a model consistent with images
- "Model-centric" (vs. image-centric)
- Typically use discretized volume (voxel grid)
- For each voxel, compute occupied / free (for some algorithms, also color, etc.)

Photo consistency

- Result: not necessarily the correct scene
- Many scenes produce the same images



Silhouette carving

DigiVFX

- Find silhouettes in all images
- Exact version:
 - Back-project all silhouettes, find intersection



Silhouette carving



- Find silhouettes in all images
- Exact version:
 - Back-project all silhouettes, find intersection





Silhouette carving

- Limit of silhouette carving is *visual hull* or *line hull*
- Complement of lines that don't intersect object
- In general not the same as object
 - Can't recover "pits" in object
- Not the same as convex hull

Silhouette carving

- Discrete version:
 - Loop over all voxels in some volume
 - If projection into images lies inside all silhouettes, mark as occupied
 - Else mark as free

Silhouette carving

DigiVFX

DigiVFX



Voxel coloring

Digi<mark>VFX</mark>

- Seitz and Dyer, 1997
- In addition to free / occupied, store color at each voxel
- Explicitly accounts for occlusion



Voxel coloring

- DigiVFX
- Basic idea: sweep through a voxel grid
 - Project each voxel into each image in which it is visible
 - If colors in images agree, mark voxel with color
 - Else, mark voxel as empty
- Agreement of colors based on comparing standard deviation of colors to threshold

Voxel coloring and occlusion





Voxel coloring and occlusion



- Problem: which voxels are visible?
- Solution: constrain camera views
 - When a voxel is considered, necessary occlusion information must be available
 - Sweep occluders before occludees
 - Constrain camera positions to allow this sweep

Voxel coloring sweep order

DigiVFX



Voxel coloring camera positions







Inward-looking Cameras above scene

Outward-looking Cameras inside scene

Seitz

DigiVFX

Voxel coloring results



Dinosaur Reconstruction 72 K voxels colored 7.6 M voxels tested 7 min. to compute on a 250MHz SGI



Flower Reconstruction 70 K voxels colored 7.6 M voxels tested 7 min. to compute on a 250MHz SGI

Image acquisition



Selected Dinosaur Images



Selected Flower Images



•Calibrated Turntable •360° rotation (21 images)



Initialize to a volume V containing the true scene Choose a voxel on the current surface Project to visible input images Carve if not photo-consistent Repeat until convergence



Multi-pass plane sweep

DigiVFX

- Faster alternative:
 - Sweep plane in each of 6 principal directions
 - Consider cameras on only one side of plane
 - Repeat until convergence







True Scene

Reconstruction

Multi-pass plane sweep

















Multi-pass plane sweep

DigiVFX

DigiVFX





Multi-pass plane sweep





Space carving results: African violet



Input image (1 of 45)



Reconstruction





Reconstruction

Space carving results: hand



DigiVFX



Input image (1 of 100)



Reconstruction



- Scan it across the surface of the object
- This is a very precise version of structured light scanning
- Other patterns are possible

face and hand

full body

XYZRGB



Shadow scanning



http://www.vision.caltech.edu/bouguetj/ICCV98/

XYZRGB

DigiVFX

DigiVFX



Basic idea

- where's the camera wrt. ground plane?
- where's the shadow plane?
 - depends on light source position, shadow edge







The BRDF

The Bidirectional Reflection Distribution Function

 $\rho(\theta_i, \phi_i, \theta_e, \phi_e) \xrightarrow{} \theta_i$ $I = \rho(l, v) \times (l \cdot n) \gamma$

Photometric stereo

• Can write this as a matrix equation:

 $\begin{bmatrix} I_1 \\ I_2 \\ I_3 \end{bmatrix} = k_d \begin{bmatrix} \mathbf{L}_1^T \\ \mathbf{L}_2^T \\ \mathbf{L}_3^T \end{bmatrix} \mathbf{N}$

Å

 ϕ_i

- Given an incoming ray (θ_i, ϕ_i) and outgoing ray (θ_e, ϕ_e) what proportion of the incoming light is reflected along outgoing ray?





More than three lights

DigiVFX

• Get better results by using more lights

$$\begin{bmatrix} I_1 \\ \vdots \\ I_n \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} \mathbf{L}_1 \\ \vdots \\ \mathbf{L}_n \end{bmatrix} k_d \mathbf{N}$$

• Least squares solution:

$$I = LG$$

$$L^{T}I = L^{T}LG$$

$$G = (L^{T}L)^{-1}(L^{T}I)$$

- Solve for N, $k_{\rm d}$ as before

Trick for handling shadows

• Weight each equation by the pixel brightness:

 $I_i(I_i) = I_i[k_d \mathbf{N} \cdot \mathbf{L_i}]$

• Gives weighted least-squares matrix equation:

$$\begin{bmatrix} I_1^2 \\ \vdots \\ I_n^2 \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} I_1 \mathbf{L}_1^T \\ \vdots \\ I_n \mathbf{L}_n^T \end{bmatrix} k_d \mathbf{N}$$

• Solve for N, k_d as before

Photometric Stereo Setup





Procedure

- Calibrate camera
- Calibrate light directions/intensities
- Photographing objects (HDR recommended)
- Estimate normals
- Estimate depth



DigiVFX

Estimating light directions



DigiVFX

• Trick: place a chrome sphere in the scene



- the location of the highlight tells you where the light source is
- Use a ruler





Normalize light intensities



Photographing objects



Estimate normals







Depth from normals

DigiVFX



Limitations

DigiVFX

- Big problems
 - doesn't work for shiny things, semi-translucent things
 - shadows, inter-reflections
- Smaller problems
 - calibration requirements
 - measure light source directions, intensities
 - camera response function

Example-based photometric stereo



- Estimate 3D shape by varying illumination, fixed camera
- Operating conditions

Results

- any opaque material
- distant camera, lighting
- reference object available
- no shadows, interreflections, transparency































Velvet



Virtual Views





Brushed Fur

DigiVFX

DigiVFX



Virtual Views





DigiVFX

Edit View Favorites Tools Help

ack 🔹 🔿 🔹 🔯 🚰 🔷 👋 Address 🍓 http://www.salemball.com/company.htm

Salem Specialty Ball Company

Home | Materials | Production | Inventory | Charts | Tools Company Contact

Quality Control Phone & Fax Addresses E-mail Directory Methods of Payment

Salem Specialty Ball supplies industrial grade balls that are used in bearings, pumps, valves and other commercial applications. We can supply balls in just about any size that is machineable. We have produced precision balls from .002" all the way up to 12.0" and beyond. We can also produce these balls in any material. Almost without exception, if the material exists, we can make it into a ball. Not only do we specialize in hard to find materials, we also carry standard materials such as <u>chrome steel</u> and the <u>stainless steels</u>. We stock an extensive <u>inventory</u> of ready to ship balls. Most orders are shipped the same day. And if is isn't in stock, we can make it for you in matter of days. In addition, you will find that our prices are very competitive.



Located in the beautiful northwest corner of Connecticut, Canton has been our company's home for the last three years and we have been in complete operation for over ten years. Proud of our reputation, Salem Specialty Ball Company has over fifty years of combined experience allowing us to provide topnotch quality technical support and expert engineering consultation



Active stereo with structured light





- Project "structured" light patterns onto the object
 - simplifies the correspondence problem

Spacetime Stereo





http://grail.cs.washington.edu/projects/stfaces/

3D Model Acquisition Pipeline











3D Model Acquisition Pipeline





Signed distance function

Digi<mark>VFX</mark>



Results



The Digital Michelangelo Project



- Goal: scan 10 sculptures by Michelangelo
- High-resolution ("quarter-millimeter") geometry
- Stanford University, led by Marc Levoy

Systems, projects and applications



Scanning the David





height of gantry: weight of gantry:

7.5 meters 800 kilograms

Range processing pipeline



steps

- 1. manual initial alignment
- 2. ICP to one existing scan
- 3. automatic ICP of all overlapping pairs
- 4. global relaxation to spread out error
- 5. merging using volumetric method

Statistics about the scan

Digi<mark>VFX</mark>

DigiVFX



• 480 individually aimed scans

- 2 billion polygons
- 7,000 color images
- 32 gigabytes
- 30 nights of scanning
- 22 people

Comparison





photograph

1.0 mm computer model





Scanner

- Cyrax range scanner by Cyra Technologies
- Laser pulse time-of-flight
- Accuracy: 4 mm
- Range: 100 m



DigiVFX



The Great Buddha Project

- Great Buddha of Kamakura
- Original made of wood, completed 1243
- Covered in bronze and gold leaf, 1267
- Approx. 15 m tall
- Goal: preservation of cultural heritage
- Institute of Industrial Science, University of Tokyo, led by Katsushi Ikeuchi



DigiVFX

Processing

- 20 range images (a few million points)
- Simultaneous all-to-all ICP
- Variant of volumetric merging (parallelized)



Results



Applications in VFX

Digi<mark>VFX</mark>

DigiVFX

- 3D scanning
- Hybrid camera for IMAX
- View interpolation

3D scanning



DigiVFX



XYZRGB Inc.

IMAX 3D

- 6K resolution, 42 linear bits per pixel
- For CG, it typically takes 6 hours for a frame
- 45-minute IMAX 3D CG film requires a 100-CPU rendering farm full-time for about a year just for rendering
- For live-action, camera is bulky (like a refrigerator)



Hybrid stereo camera

DigiVFX

DigiVFX



Live-action sequence



Hybrid input



left



Hybrid input







Combine multiple hires to lores





Results



View interpolation





Bullet time video

View interpolation

DigiVFX



High-quality video view interpolation

