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## A Wide Range of Options

- Diffusion, Bayesian, Wavelets.
- All have their pros and cons.
- Bilateral filter
- not always the best result [Buades 05] but often good
- easy to understand, adapt and set up


## Basic denoising

## Noisy input

Median 5x5



Tone Mapping
[Durand 02]


HDR input

Tone Mapping
[Durand 02]

output

Photographic Style Transfer [Bae 06]

input



6 papers at SIGGRAPH'07


Gaussian Blur



## Equation of Gaussian Blur

Same idea: weighted average of pixels.

## $G B[I]_{\mathbf{p}}=\sum_{\mathbf{q} \in S} G_{\sigma}(\|\mathbf{p}-\mathbf{q}\|) I_{\mathbf{q}}$ <br> normalized <br> Gaussian function <br> 

Gaussian Profile
$G_{\sigma}(x)=\frac{1}{\sigma \sqrt{2 \pi}} \exp \left(-\frac{x^{2}}{2 \sigma^{2}}\right)$

## Spatial Parameter

$$
G B[I]_{\mathbf{p}}=\sum_{\mathbf{q} \in S} G_{\boldsymbol{q}}(\|\mathbf{p}-\mathbf{q}\|) I_{\mathbf{q}}
$$

size of the window

small $\sigma$

limited smoothing

large $\sigma$


## How to set $\sigma$

- Depends on the application.
- Common strategy: proportional to image size
- e.g. $2 \%$ of the image diagonal
- property: independent of image resolution


## Properties of Gaussian Blur

- Weights independent of spatial location
- linear convolution
- well-known operation
- efficient computation (recursive algorithm, FFT...)

Properties of Gaussian Blur

- Does smooth images
- But smoothes too much: edges are blurred.
- Only spatial distance matters
- No edge term

$$
G B[I]_{\mathbf{p}}=\sum_{\mathbf{q} \in S} G_{\sigma}(\|\mathbf{p}-\mathbf{q}\|) I_{\mathbf{q}}
$$




Same Gaussian kernel everywhere.

Bilateral Filter No Averaging across Ediges


The kernel shape depends on the image content.

Bilateral Filter Definition

Same idea: weighted average of pixels.


Gaussian Blur and Bilateral Filter Digivex
Gaussian blur


Bilateral filter [Aurich 95, Smith 9
 Tomasi 98]
$\leftarrow$ əбие» $\rightarrow$


- 1D image $=$ line of pixels
- Better visualized as a plot

$\underbrace{B F[I]_{\mathbf{p}}}=\frac{1}{W_{\mathbf{p}}} \sum_{\mathbf{q} \in \mathrm{S}} \underbrace{G_{\sigma_{\mathbf{s}}}(\|\mathbf{p}-\mathbf{q}\|)} \underbrace{G_{\sigma_{\mathbf{r}}}\left(\left|I_{\mathbf{p}}-I_{\mathbf{q}}\right|\right)} I_{\mathbf{q}}$



## Influence of Pixels

$B F[I]_{\mathbf{p}}=\frac{1}{W_{\mathbf{p}}} \sum_{\mathbf{q} \in S} G_{\sigma_{5}}(\|\mathbf{p}-\mathbf{q}\|) G_{\sigma_{r}}\left(\left|I_{\mathbf{p}}-I_{\mathbf{q}}\right|\right) I_{\mathbf{q}}$

- space $\sigma_{\mathrm{s}}$ : spatial extent of the kernel, size of the considered neighborhood.
- range $\sigma_{\mathrm{r}}$ : "minimum" amplitude of an edge


Only pixels close in space and in range are considered.






How to Set the Parameters
Depends on the application. For instance:

- space parameter: proportional to image size
- e.g., $2 \%$ of image diagonal
- range parameter: proportional to edge amplitude - e.g., mean or median of image gradients
- independent of resolution and exposure

Iterating the Bilateral Filter

$$
I_{(n+1)}=B F\left[I_{(n)}\right]
$$

- Generate more piecewise-flat images
- Often not needed in computational photo, but could be useful for applications such as NPR.



Advantages of Bilateral Filter

- Easy to understand
- Weighted mean of nearby pixels
- Easy to adapt
- Distance between pixel values
- Easy to set up
- Non-iterative
- Nonlinear $\quad B F[I]_{\mathrm{p}}=\frac{1}{W_{\mathrm{p}}} \sum_{\mathrm{q} \in S} G_{\sigma_{\mathrm{s}}}(\|\mathbf{p}-\mathbf{q}\|) G_{\sigma_{\mathrm{r}}}\left(\left|I_{\mathrm{p}}-I_{\mathrm{q}}\right|\right) I_{\mathrm{q}}$
- Complex, spatially varying kernels
- Cannot be precomputed, no FFT...

- Brute-force implementation is slow $>10$ min
- Slow but some accelerations exist:
- [Elad 02]: Gauss-Seidel iterations
- Only for many iterations
- [Durand 02, Weiss 06]: fast approximation
- No formal understanding of accuracy versus speed
- [Weiss 06]: Only box function as spatial kernel


## A Fast Approximation of the Bilateral Filter using a Signal Processing Approach

Sylvain Paris and Frédo Durand
Computer Science and Artificial Intelligence Laboratory
Massachusetts Institute of Technology

## Definition of Bilateral Filter

- [Smith 97, Tomasi 98]
- Smoothes an image and preserves edges
- Weighted average of neighbors
- Weights
- Gaussian on space distance
- Gaussian on range distance
- sum to 1

$I_{\mathbf{p}}^{\mathrm{bf}}=\frac{1}{W_{\mathbf{p}}^{\mathrm{bf}}} \sum_{\mathbf{q} \in \mathcal{S}} \frac{G_{\sigma_{\mathrm{s}}}(\|\mathbf{p}-\mathbf{q}\|)}{\text { space }} \frac{G_{\sigma_{\mathbf{r}}}\left(\left|I_{\mathbf{p}}-I_{\mathbf{q}}\right|\right)}{\text { range }} I_{\mathbf{q}}$
- Link with linear filtering
- Fast and accurate approximation



## Intuition on 1D Signal

Weighted Average of Neighbors


- Near and similar pixels have influence.
- Far pixels have no influence.
- Pixels with different value have no influence.

Link with Linear Filtering DigivFX 1. Handling the Division


Handling the division with a projective space.

$$
\begin{array}{ll}
I_{\mathbf{p}}^{\mathrm{bf}}= & \frac{1}{W_{\mathbf{p}}^{\mathrm{bf}}} \sum_{\mathbf{q} \in \mathcal{S}} G_{\sigma_{\mathrm{s}}}(\|\mathbf{p}-\mathbf{q}\|) G_{\sigma_{\mathrm{r}}}\left(\left|I_{\mathbf{p}}-I_{\mathbf{q}}\right|\right) I_{\mathbf{q}} \\
W_{\mathbf{p}}^{\mathrm{bf}}= & \sum_{\mathbf{q} \in \mathcal{S}} G_{\sigma_{\mathrm{s}}}(\|\mathbf{p}-\mathbf{q}\|) G_{\sigma_{\mathrm{r}}}\left(\left|I_{\mathbf{p}}-I_{\mathbf{q}}\right|\right)
\end{array}
$$

- Normalizing factor as homogeneous coordinate
- Multiply both sides by $W_{\mathbf{p}}^{\text {bf }}$

$$
\binom{W_{\mathbf{p}}^{\mathrm{bf}} I_{\mathbf{p}}^{\mathrm{bf}}}{W_{\mathbf{p}}^{\mathrm{bf}}}=\sum_{\mathbf{q} \in \mathcal{S}} G_{\sigma_{\mathrm{s}}}(\|\mathbf{p}-\mathbf{q}\|) G_{\sigma_{\mathrm{r}}}\left(\left|I_{\mathbf{p}}-I_{\mathbf{q}}\right|\right)\binom{I_{\mathbf{q}}}{1}
$$

Formalization: Handling the Division
DigivFX
$\binom{W_{\mathbf{p}}^{\text {bf }} I_{\mathbf{p}}^{\text {bf }}}{W_{\mathbf{p}}^{\text {bf }}}=\sum_{\mathbf{q} \in \mathcal{S}} G_{\sigma_{\mathrm{s}}}(\|\mathbf{p}-\mathbf{q}\|) G_{\sigma_{\mathrm{r}}}\left(\left|I_{\mathbf{p}}-I_{\mathbf{q}}\right|\right)\binom{W_{\mathbf{q}} I_{\mathbf{q}}}{W_{\mathbf{q}}}$ with $W_{\mathbf{q}}=1$

- Similar to homogeneous coordinates
in proj ective space
- Division delayed until the end
- Next step: Adding a dimension to make a convolution appear



Corresponds to a 3D Gaussian on a 2D image.

Link with Linear Filtering

sum all values multiplied by kernel $\Rightarrow$ convolution

## Link with Linear Filtering


result of the convolution

$$
\binom{W_{\mathbf{p}}^{\text {bf }} I_{\mathbf{p}}^{\text {bf }}}{W_{\mathbf{p}}^{\text {bf }}}=\sum_{(\mathbf{q}, \zeta) \in S \times \mathcal{R}} \text { space-range Gaussian }\binom{W_{\mathbf{q}} I_{\mathbf{q}}}{W_{\mathbf{q}}}
$$

## Link with Linear Filtering 2. Introducing a Convolution


result of the convolution

$$
\binom{W_{\mathbf{p}}^{\mathrm{bf}} I_{\mathbf{p}}^{\mathrm{ff}}}{W_{\mathrm{p}}^{\mathrm{bf}}}=\sum_{(\mathbf{q}, \zeta) \in \mathcal{S} \times \mathbb{R}} \text { space-range Gaussian }\binom{W_{\mathbf{q}} I_{\mathbf{q}}}{W_{\mathbf{q}}}
$$



Reformulation: Summary

| linear: | $\left(w^{\mathrm{bf}} i^{\mathrm{bf}}, w^{\mathrm{bf}}\right)$ | $=g_{\sigma_{\mathrm{s}}, \sigma_{\mathrm{r}}} \otimes(w i, w)$ |  |
| ---: | :--- | ---: | :--- |
|  |  | $I_{\mathbf{p}}^{\mathrm{bf}}$ | $=\frac{w^{\mathrm{bf}}\left(\mathbf{p}, I_{\mathbf{p}}\right) i^{\mathrm{bf}}\left(\mathbf{p}, I_{\mathbf{p}}\right)}{w^{\mathrm{bf}}\left(\mathbf{p}, I_{\mathbf{p}}\right)}$ |

1. Convolution in higher dimension

- expensive but well understood (linear, FFT, etc)

2. Division and slicing

- nonlinear but simple and pixel-wise


## Exact reformulation




Fast Convolution by Downsampling
DigivFX

- Downsampling cuts frequencies above Nyquist limit
- Less data to process
- But induces error
- Evaluation of the approximation
- Precision versus running time
- Visual accuracy
- Finer sampling increases accuracy.
- More precise than previous work.



Straightforward implementation is over 10 minutes.
higher dimension $\Rightarrow$ "better" computation

## Practical gain

- Interactive running time
- Visually similar results
- Simple to code (100 lines)


## Theoretical gain

- Link with linear filters
- Separation linear/ nonlinear
- Signal processing framework


## Visual Results

- Comparison with previous work [Durand 02]
- running time $=1 \mathrm{~s}$ for both techniques
$1200 \times 1600$

(in


## Two-scale Tone Management for Photographic Look

Soonmin Bae, Sylvain Paris, and Frédo Durand MIT CSAIL


Ansel Adams, Clearing Winter Storm


## Goals

- Control over photographic look
- Transfer "look" from a model photo

For example,
we want


- Subject choice
- Framing and composition
$\rightarrow$ Specified by input photos


Input

- Tone distribution and contrast
$\rightarrow$ Modified based on model photos


Model


Ansel Adams


Kenro Izu

Tonal aspects of Look - Global Contrastivi


Ansel Adams

Tonal aspects of Look - Local Contrast


Ansel Adams


Kenro Izu


- Transfer look between photographs
- Tonal aspects

- Separate global and local contrast

- Naïve decomposition: low vs. high frequency
- Problem: introduce blur \& halos


Low frequency Global contrast


High frequency Local contrast

## Bilateral Filter

- Edge-preserving smoothing [Tomasi 98]
- We build upon tone mapping [Durand 02]


After bilateral filtering Global contrast


Residual after filtering Local contrast

Bilateral Filter

- Edge-preserving smoothing [Tomasi 98]
- We build upon tone mapping [Durand 02]


After bilateral filtering Global contrast


Residual after filtering Local contrast

DigivFX

## -



Result


Global Contrast

- Intensity remapping of base layer


Global Contrast (Model Transfer) DigivFx



Global contrast


The amount of local contrast DigivFX is not uniform

- Uniform control:
- Multiply all values in the detail layer


Input


## Local Contrast Variation

- We define "textureness": amount of local contrast
- at each pixel based on surrounding region


Smooth region $\Rightarrow$ Low textureness

Textured region
$\Rightarrow$ High textureness


Input signal High frequency H Amplitude $|\mathrm{H}| \quad$ Edge-preserving filter


Textureness Transfer

Step 1:
Histogram transfer


Input
textureness


Step 2:
Scaling detail layer (per pixel) to match desired textureness




A Non Perfect Result

- Decoupled and Iarge modifications (up to $6 x$ )
$\rightarrow$ Limited defects may appear

result after global and local adjustments


Intensity Remapping
DigjVFX

- Some intensities may be outside displayable range.
$\rightarrow$ Compress histogram to fit visible range.



## Preserving Details

1. In the gradient domain:

- Compare gradient amplitudes of input and current
- Prevent extreme reduction \& extreme increase

2. Solve the Poisson equation.

uncorrected result

corrected result


Result

model
Result


Additional Effects

- Soft focus (high frequency manipulation)
- Film grain (texture synthesis [Heeger 95])
- Color toning (chrominance $=f$ (luminance))



Result


Result

User provides input and model photographs.
$\rightarrow$ Our system automatically produces the result.

Running times:

- 6 seconds for 1 MPixel or less
- 23 seconds for 4 MPixels
- multi-grid Poisson solver and fast bilateral filter [Paris 06]



Comparison with Naïve Histogram Matchigg ${ }_{\mathrm{FX}}$
 Local contrast, sharpness unfaithful


- Lab color space: modify only luminance

- Noise and J PEG artifacts
- amplified defects

- Can lead to unexpected results if the image content is too different from the model
- Portraits, in particular, can suffer


Video Enhancement Using DigivFX
J oint bilateral filtering

$$
\begin{aligned}
& J_{p}=\frac{1}{k_{p}} \sum_{q \in \Omega} I_{q} f(\|p-q\|) g\left(\left\|I_{p}-I_{q}\right\|\right) \\
& J_{p}=\frac{1}{k_{p}} \sum_{q \in \Omega} I_{q} f(\|p-q\|) g\left(\left\|\tilde{I}_{p}-\tilde{I}_{q}\right\|\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

Flash / No-Flash Photo Improvement Diqivfx (Petschnigg04) (Eisemann04)

Merge best features: warm, cozy candle light (no-flash)
low-noise, detailed flash image


Basic approach of both flash/noflash papers

Remove noise + details from image A,

Keep as image A Lighting
$\qquad$



Petschnigg:

- No Flash,



Registration

flash


## Our Approach

Diqivex

Decomposition


## Decomposition

Color / Intensity:


Decomposition


Decoupling

large scale

Decoupling

- Lighting : Large-scale variation
- LTofxthye Langaldssall pakariation
- Texture : Small-scale variation


Lighting


Texture

## Large-scale Layer

DigivFX

- Bilateral filter - edge preserving filter


Large-scale Layer

- Bilateral filter


Recombination


Large-scale No-flash


Detail
Flash


Recombination: Large scale * Detail = Intensity

Recombination


Recombination: Intensity * Color = Original


Our Approach DigivFX

Shadow Detection/Treatment

## no-flash



Results


$$
\begin{aligned}
& J_{p}=\frac{1}{k_{p}} \sum_{q \in \Omega} I_{q} f(\|p-q\|) g\left(\left\|I_{p}-I_{q}\right\|\right) \\
& J_{p}=\frac{1}{k_{p}} \sum_{q \in \Omega} I_{q} f(\|p-q\|) g\left(\left\|\tilde{I}_{p}-\tilde{I}_{q}\right\|\right) \\
& \tilde{S}_{p}=\frac{1}{k_{p}} \sum_{q_{\downarrow} \in \Omega} S_{q_{\downarrow}} f\left(\left\|p_{\downarrow}-q_{\downarrow}\right\|\right) g\left(\left\|\tilde{I}_{p}-\tilde{I}_{q}\right\|\right)
\end{aligned}
$$



Upsampled Result

J oint bilateral upsampling


Upsampled Result


Nearest Neighbor Upsampling


Gaussian Upsampling


Joint Bilateral Upsampling


J oint bilateral upsampling

