

# Features

Digital Visual Effects, Spring 2009

*Yung-Yu Chuang*

2009/3/19

*with slides by Trevor Darrell Cordelia Schmid, David Lowe, Darya Frolova, Denis Simakov,  
Robert Collins and Jiwon Kim*

# Announcements

---

- Project #1 will be due next Wednesday, around a week from now. You have a total of 10 delay days without penalty, but you are advised to use them wisely.
- We reserve the rights for not including late homework for artifact voting.
- Project #2 will be assigned next Thursday.

# Outline

---

- Features
- Harris corner detector
- SIFT
- Applications

# Features

# Features

---

- Also known as interesting points, salient points or keypoints. Points that you can easily point out their correspondences in multiple images using only local information.



# Desired properties for features

---

- Distinctive: a single feature can be correctly matched with high probability.
- Invariant: invariant to scale, rotation, affine, illumination and noise for robust matching across a substantial range of affine distortion, viewpoint change and so on. That is, it is repeatable.

# Applications

---

- Object or scene recognition
- Structure from motion
- Stereo
- Motion tracking
- ...

# Components

---

- Feature detection locates where they are
- Feature description describes what they are
- Feature matching decides whether two are the same one



# Harris corner detector

# Moravec corner detector (1980)



---

- We should easily recognize the point by looking through a small window
- Shifting a window in *any direction* should give a *large change* in intensity



# Moravec corner detector




---



flat

# Moravec corner detector




---



flat

# Moravec corner detector


---




flat

edge



# Moravec corner detector



flat



edge



corner  
isolated point

# Moravec corner detector

Change of intensity for the shift  $[u, v]$ :


$$E(u, v) = \sum_{x, y} w(x, y) [I(x+u, y+v) - I(x, y)]^2$$

↑  
window  
function

↑  
shifted  
intensity

↑  
intensity

Window function  $w(x, y) =$



1 in window, 0 outside

Four shifts:  $(u, v) = (1, 0), (1, 1), (0, 1), (-1, 1)$   
Look for local maxima in  $\min\{E\}$

# Problems of Moravec detector

---

- Noisy response due to a binary window function
- Only a set of shifts at every 45 degree is considered
- Only minimum of E is taken into account

⇒ Harris corner detector (1988) solves these problems.



# Harris corner detector


---

Noisy response due to a binary window function

➤ Use a Gaussian function

$$w(x, y) = \exp\left(-\frac{(x^2 + y^2)}{2\sigma^2}\right)$$

Window function  $w(x, y) =$



Gaussian

# Harris corner detector

---

Only a set of shifts at every 45 degree is considered

➤ Consider all small shifts by Taylor's expansion

$$\begin{aligned} E(u, v) &= \sum_{x, y} w(x, y) [I(x+u, y+v) - I(x, y)]^2 \\ &= \sum_{x, y} w(x, y) [I_x u + I_y v + O(u^2, v^2)]^2 \end{aligned}$$

$$E(u, v) = Au^2 + 2Cuv + Bv^2$$

$$A = \sum_{x, y} w(x, y) I_x^2(x, y)$$

$$B = \sum_{x, y} w(x, y) I_y^2(x, y)$$

$$C = \sum_{x, y} w(x, y) I_x(x, y) I_y(x, y)$$

# Harris corner detector

---

Equivalently, for small shifts  $[u, v]$  we have a *bilinear* approximation:

$$E(u, v) \cong [u \ v] \mathbf{M} \begin{bmatrix} u \\ v \end{bmatrix}$$

, where  $\mathbf{M}$  is a  $2 \times 2$  matrix computed from image derivatives:

$$\mathbf{M} = \sum_{x, y} w(x, y) \begin{bmatrix} I_x^2 & I_x I_y \\ I_x I_y & I_y^2 \end{bmatrix}$$

# Harris corner detector (matrix form)

---

$$E(\mathbf{u}) = |I(\mathbf{x}_0 + \mathbf{u}) - I(\mathbf{x}_0)|^2$$

$$= \left| \left( I_0 + \frac{\partial I^T}{\partial \mathbf{u}} \mathbf{u} \right) - I_0 \right|^2$$

$$= \left| \frac{\partial I^T}{\partial \mathbf{u}} \mathbf{u} \right|^2$$

$$= \mathbf{u}^T \frac{\partial I}{\partial \mathbf{u}} \frac{\partial I^T}{\partial \mathbf{u}} \mathbf{u}$$

$$= \mathbf{u}^T \mathbf{M} \mathbf{u}$$

# Harris corner detector

---


Only minimum of  $E$  is taken into account

➤ A new corner measurement by investigating the shape of the error function


$\mathbf{u}^T \mathbf{M} \mathbf{u}$  represents a quadratic function; Thus, we can analyze  $E$ 's shape by looking at the property of  $\mathbf{M}$

# Harris corner detector


High-level idea: what shape of the error function will we prefer for features?



flat



edge



corner

# Quadratic forms

---

- Quadratic form (homogeneous polynomial of degree two) of  $n$  variables  $x_i$

$$\sum_{\substack{i=1 \\ i \leq j}}^n \sum_{j=1}^n c_{ij} x_i x_j$$

- Examples

$$\begin{aligned} & 4x_1^2 + 5x_2^2 + 3x_3^2 + 2x_1x_2 + 4x_1x_3 + 6x_2x_3 \\ &= \begin{pmatrix} x_1 & x_2 & x_3 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} 4 & 1 & 2 \\ 1 & 5 & 3 \\ 2 & 3 & 3 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} x_1 \\ x_2 \\ x_3 \end{pmatrix} \end{aligned}$$

# Symmetric matrices

- Quadratic forms can be represented by a real symmetric matrix  $\mathbf{A}$  where

$$a_{ij} = \begin{cases} c_{ij} & \text{if } i = j, \\ \frac{1}{2}c_{ij} & \text{if } i < j, \\ \frac{1}{2}c_{ji} & \text{if } i > j. \end{cases}$$

$$\sum_{\substack{i=1 \\ i \leq j}}^n \sum_{j=1}^n c_{ij} x_i x_j = \sum_{i=1}^n \sum_{j=1}^n a_{ij} x_i x_j$$

$$= \begin{pmatrix} x_1 & \dots & x_n \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} a_{11} & \dots & a_{1n} \\ \vdots & & \vdots \\ a_{n1} & \dots & a_{nn} \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} x_1 \\ \vdots \\ x_n \end{pmatrix}$$

$$= \mathbf{x}^t \mathbf{A} \mathbf{x}$$



# Eigenvalues of symmetric matrices

---

suppose  $A \in \mathbf{R}^{n \times n}$  is symmetric, *i.e.*,  $A = A^T$

**fact:** the eigenvalues of  $A$  are real

suppose  $Av = \lambda v$ ,  $v \neq 0$ ,  $v \in \mathbf{C}^n$

$$\bar{v}^T Av = \bar{v}^T (Av) = \lambda \bar{v}^T v = \lambda \sum_{i=1}^n |v_i|^2$$

$$\bar{v}^T Av = \overline{(Av)^T} v = \overline{(\lambda v)^T} v = \bar{\lambda} \sum_{i=1}^n |v_i|^2$$

we have  $\lambda = \bar{\lambda}$ , *i.e.*,  $\lambda \in \mathbf{R}$

(hence, can assume  $v \in \mathbf{R}^n$ )

# Eigenvectors of symmetric matrices

---



suppose  $A \in \mathbf{R}^{n \times n}$  is symmetric, *i.e.*,  $A = A^T$

**fact:** there is a set of orthonormal eigenvectors of  $A$

$$A = Q\Lambda Q^T$$

# Eigenvectors of symmetric matrices

suppose  $A \in \mathbf{R}^{n \times n}$  is symmetric, *i.e.*,  $A = A^T$

**fact:** there is a set of orthonormal eigenvectors of  $A$

$$A = Q\Lambda Q^T$$

$$\mathbf{x}^T A \mathbf{x}$$


$$= \mathbf{x}^T Q \Lambda Q^T \mathbf{x}$$

$$= (Q^T \mathbf{x})^T \Lambda (Q^T \mathbf{x})$$

$$= \mathbf{y}^T \Lambda \mathbf{y}$$

$$= \left( \Lambda^{\frac{1}{2}} \mathbf{y} \right)^T \left( \Lambda^{\frac{1}{2}} \mathbf{y} \right)$$

$$= \mathbf{z}^T \mathbf{z}$$



# Harris corner detector


Intensity change in shifting window: eigenvalue analysis

$$E(u, v) \cong [u, v] \mathbf{M} \begin{bmatrix} u \\ v \end{bmatrix} \quad \lambda_1, \lambda_2 - \text{eigenvalues of } \mathbf{M}$$

Ellipse  $E(u, v) = \text{const}$



direction of the  
fastest change

direction of the  
slowest change





# Visualize quadratic functions

$$\mathbf{A} = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix}^T$$





# Visualize quadratic functions

$$\mathbf{A} = \begin{bmatrix} 4 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} 4 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix}^T$$





# Visualize quadratic functions

$$\mathbf{A} = \begin{bmatrix} 3.25 & 1.30 \\ 1.30 & 1.75 \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} 0.50 & -0.87 \\ -0.87 & -0.50 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 4 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} 0.50 & -0.87 \\ -0.87 & -0.50 \end{bmatrix}^T$$



# Visualize quadratic functions


$$\mathbf{A} = \begin{bmatrix} 7.75 & 3.90 \\ 3.90 & 3.25 \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} 0.50 & -0.87 \\ -0.87 & -0.50 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 10 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} 0.50 & -0.87 \\ -0.87 & -0.50 \end{bmatrix}^T$$





# Harris corner detector

Classification of image points using eigenvalues of  $\mathbf{M}$ :



# Harris corner detector

---

$$\lambda = \frac{a_{00} + a_{11} \pm \sqrt{(a_{00} - a_{11})^2 + 4a_{10}a_{01}}}{2}$$

Only for reference,  
you do not need  
them to compute R

Measure of corner response:


$$R = \det \mathbf{M} - k(\text{trace} \mathbf{M})^2$$

$$\det \mathbf{M} = \lambda_1 \lambda_2$$


$$\text{trace} \mathbf{M} = \lambda_1 + \lambda_2$$

( $k$  - empirical constant,  $k = 0.04-0.06$ )

# Harris corner detector




# Another view






# Another view

The distribution of the  $x$  and  $y$  derivatives is very different for all three types of patches



# Another view

The distribution of  $x$  and  $y$  derivatives can be characterized by the shape and size of the principal component ellipse



# Summary of Harris detector

---

1. Compute x and y derivatives of image

$$I_x = G_\sigma^x * I \quad I_y = G_\sigma^y * I$$

2. Compute products of derivatives at every pixel

$$I_{x^2} = I_x \cdot I_x \quad I_{y^2} = I_y \cdot I_y \quad I_{xy} = I_x \cdot I_y$$

3. Compute the sums of the products of derivatives at each pixel

$$S_{x^2} = G_{\sigma'} * I_{x^2} \quad S_{y^2} = G_{\sigma'} * I_{y^2} \quad S_{xy} = G_{\sigma'} * I_{xy}$$

# Summary of Harris detector

---

4. Define the matrix at each pixel

$$M(x, y) = \begin{bmatrix} S_{x^2}(x, y) & S_{xy}(x, y) \\ S_{xy}(x, y) & S_{y^2}(x, y) \end{bmatrix}$$

5. Compute the response of the detector at each pixel

$$R = \det M - k(\text{trace}M)^2$$


6. Threshold on value of R; compute nonmax suppression.




# Harris corner detector (input)



# Corner response R



# Threshold on R



# Local maximum of R

---



# Harris corner detector



# Harris detector: summary

---

- Average intensity change in direction  $[u, v]$  can be expressed as a bilinear form:

$$E(u, v) \cong [u, v] \mathbf{M} \begin{bmatrix} u \\ v \end{bmatrix}$$

- Describe a point in terms of eigenvalues of  $M$ :  
*measure of corner response*


$$R = \lambda_1 \lambda_2 - k(\lambda_1 + \lambda_2)^2$$

- A good (corner) point should have a *large intensity change in all directions*, i.e.  $R$  should be large positive

# Now we know where features are


---

- But, how to match them?
- What is the descriptor for a feature? The simplest solution is the intensities of its spatial neighbors. This might not be robust to brightness change or small shift/rotation.



# Corner detection demo

---





<http://www.cim.mcgill.ca/~dparks/CornerDetector/mainApplet.htm>



# Harris detector: some properties


- Partial invariance to *affine intensity* change
  - ✓ Only derivatives are used => invariance to intensity shift  $I \rightarrow I + b$
  - ✓ Intensity scale:  $I \rightarrow a I$



# Harris Detector: Some Properties

---

- Rotation invariance





Ellipse rotates but its shape (i.e. eigenvalues) remains the same

*Corner response  $R$  is invariant to image rotation*

# Harris Detector is rotation invariant

## Repeatability rate:


$$\frac{\text{\# correspondences}}{\text{\# possible correspondences}}$$



# Harris Detector: Some Properties

---

- But: non-invariant to *image scale*!



All points will be  
classified as **edges**



**Corner !**

# Harris detector: some properties

- Quality of Harris detector for different scale changes

Repeatability rate:


$$\frac{\text{\# correspondences}}{\text{\# possible correspondences}}$$



# Scale invariant detection

---


- Consider regions (e.g. circles) of different sizes around a point
- Regions of corresponding sizes will look the same in both images



# Scale invariant detection

---

- The problem: how do we choose corresponding circles *independently* in each image?
- Aperture problem



**SIFT**

**(Scale Invariant Feature Transform)**



# SIFT

---



- SIFT is an carefully designed procedure with empirically determined parameters for the invariant and distinctive features.

# SIFT stages:

- Scale-space extrema detection
- Keypoint localization
- Orientation assignment
- Keypoint descriptor

detector

descriptor



local descriptor

A 500x500 image gives about 2000 features

# 1. Detection of scale-space extrema

---

- For scale invariance, search for stable features across all possible scales using a continuous function of scale, scale space.
- SIFT uses DoG filter for scale space because it is efficient and as stable as scale-normalized Laplacian of Gaussian.

# DoG filtering

---

Convolution with a variable-scale Gaussian

$$L(x, y, \sigma) = G(x, y, \sigma) * I(x, y),$$

$$G(x, y, \sigma) = 1/(2\pi\sigma^2) \exp^{-(x^2+y^2)/\sigma^2}$$

Difference-of-Gaussian (DoG) filter

$$G(x, y, k\sigma) - G(x, y, \sigma)$$


Convolution with the DoG filter

$$\begin{aligned} D(x, y, \sigma) &= (G(x, y, k\sigma) - G(x, y, \sigma)) * I(x, y) \\ &= L(x, y, k\sigma) - L(x, y, \sigma). \end{aligned}$$

# Scale space


$\sigma$  doubles for  
the next octave

Scale  
(next  
octave)



$$K=2^{(1/s)}$$

Scale  
(first  
octave)




Gaussian


Difference of  
Gaussian (DOG)

Dividing into octave is for efficiency only.

# Detection of scale-space extrema



# Keypoint localization



X is selected if it is larger or smaller than all 26 neighbors


# Decide scale sampling frequency

---

- It is impossible to sample the whole space, tradeoff efficiency with completeness.
- Decide the best sampling frequency by experimenting on 32 real image subject to synthetic transformations. (rotation, scaling, affine stretch, brightness and contrast change, adding noise...)




# Decide scale sampling frequency




s=3 is the best, for larger s, too many unstable features

# Decide scale sampling frequency




# Pre-smoothing



$\sigma = 1.6$ , plus a double expansion


# Scale invariance



## 2. Accurate keypoint localization


---

- Reject points with low contrast (flat) and poorly localized along an edge (edge)
- Fit a 3D quadratic function for sub-pixel maxima



## 2. Accurate keypoint localization

- Reject points with low contrast and poorly localized along an edge
- Fit a 3D quadratic function for sub-pixel maxima



$$f(x) \approx f(0) + f'(0)x + \frac{f''(0)}{2}x^2$$

$$f(x) \approx 6 + 2x + \frac{-6}{2}x^2 = 6 + 2x - 3x^2$$

$$f'(x) = 2 - 6x = 0 \rightarrow \hat{x} = \frac{1}{3}$$

$$f(\hat{x}) = 6 + 2 \cdot \frac{1}{3} - 3 \cdot \left(\frac{1}{3}\right)^2 = 6 \frac{1}{3}$$

## 2. Accurate keypoint localization

- Taylor series of several variables

$$T(x_1, \dots, x_d) = \sum_{n_1=0}^{\infty} \dots \sum_{n_d=0}^{\infty} \frac{\partial^{n_1}}{\partial x_1^{n_1}} \dots \frac{\partial^{n_d}}{\partial x_d^{n_d}} \frac{f(a_1, \dots, a_d)}{n_1! \dots n_d!} (x_1 - a_1)^{n_1} \dots (x_d - a_d)^{n_d}$$

- Two variables

$$f(x, y) \approx f(0,0) + \left( \frac{\partial f}{\partial x} x + \frac{\partial f}{\partial y} y \right) + \frac{1}{2} \left( \frac{\partial^2 f}{\partial x \partial x} x^2 + 2 \frac{\partial^2 f}{\partial x \partial y} xy + \frac{\partial^2 f}{\partial y \partial y} y^2 \right)$$

$$f\left(\begin{bmatrix} x \\ y \end{bmatrix}\right) \approx f\left(\begin{bmatrix} 0 \\ 0 \end{bmatrix}\right) + \begin{bmatrix} \frac{\partial f}{\partial x} & \frac{\partial f}{\partial y} \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} x \\ y \end{bmatrix} + \frac{1}{2} \begin{bmatrix} x & y \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} \frac{\partial^2 f}{\partial x \partial x} & \frac{\partial^2 f}{\partial x \partial y} \\ \frac{\partial^2 f}{\partial x \partial y} & \frac{\partial^2 f}{\partial y \partial y} \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} x \\ y \end{bmatrix}$$

$$f(\mathbf{x}) \approx f(\mathbf{0}) + \frac{\partial f}{\partial \mathbf{x}}^T \mathbf{x} + \frac{1}{2} \mathbf{x}^T \frac{\partial^2 f}{\partial \mathbf{x}^2} \mathbf{x}$$

# Accurate keypoint localization

- Taylor expansion in a matrix form,  $\mathbf{x}$  is a vector,  $f$  maps  $\mathbf{x}$  to a scalar

$$f(\mathbf{x}) = f + \frac{\partial f^T}{\partial \mathbf{x}} \mathbf{x} + \frac{1}{2} \mathbf{x}^T \frac{\partial^2 f}{\partial \mathbf{x}^2} \mathbf{x}$$

Hessian matrix  
(often symmetric)

gradient

$$\begin{pmatrix} \frac{\partial f}{\partial x_1} \\ \frac{\partial f}{\partial x_1} \\ \vdots \\ \frac{\partial f}{\partial x_n} \end{pmatrix}$$

$$\begin{pmatrix} \frac{\partial^2 f}{\partial x_1^2} & \frac{\partial^2 f}{\partial x_1 \partial x_2} & \dots & \frac{\partial^2 f}{\partial x_1 \partial x_n} \\ \frac{\partial^2 f}{\partial x_2 \partial x_1} & \frac{\partial^2 f}{\partial x_2^2} & \dots & \frac{\partial^2 f}{\partial x_2 \partial x_n} \\ \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ \frac{\partial^2 f}{\partial x_n \partial x_1} & \frac{\partial^2 f}{\partial x_n \partial x_2} & \dots & \frac{\partial^2 f}{\partial x_n^2} \end{pmatrix}$$



## 2D illustration

---

$$f(\mathbf{x}) = f + \frac{\partial f^T}{\partial \mathbf{x}} \mathbf{x} + \frac{1}{2} \mathbf{x}^T \frac{\partial^2 f}{\partial \mathbf{x}^2} \mathbf{x}$$

$f_{-1,1}$	$f_{0,1}$	$f_{1,1}$
$f_{-1,0}$	$f_{0,0}$	$f_{1,0}$
$f_{-1,-1}$	$f_{0,-1}$	$f_{1,-1}$

$$\frac{\partial f}{\partial x} = (f_{1,0} - f_{-1,0})/2$$

$$\frac{\partial f}{\partial y} = (f_{0,1} - f_{0,-1})/2$$

$$\frac{\partial^2 f}{\partial x^2} = f_{1,0} - 2f_{0,0} + f_{-1,0}$$

$$\frac{\partial^2 f}{\partial y^2} = f_{0,1} - 2f_{0,0} + f_{0,-1}$$

$$\frac{\partial^2 f}{\partial x \partial y} = (f_{-1,-1} - f_{-1,1} - f_{1,-1} + f_{1,1})/4$$

## 2D example

---

$$f(\mathbf{x}) = f + \frac{\partial f^T}{\partial \mathbf{x}} \mathbf{x} + \frac{1}{2} \mathbf{x}^T \frac{\partial^2 f}{\partial \mathbf{x}^2} \mathbf{x}$$

<b>-17</b>	<b>-1</b>	<b>-1</b>
<b>-9</b>	<b>7</b>	<b>7</b>
<b>-9</b>	<b>7</b>	<b>7</b>

# Derivation of matrix form

---

$$f(\mathbf{x}) = f + \frac{\partial f^T}{\partial \mathbf{x}} \mathbf{x} + \frac{1}{2} \mathbf{x}^T \frac{\partial^2 f}{\partial \mathbf{x}^2} \mathbf{x}$$

$$h(\mathbf{x}) = \mathbf{g}^T \mathbf{x} \quad \frac{\partial h}{\partial \mathbf{x}} =$$

# Derivation of matrix form

---

$$f(\mathbf{x}) = f + \frac{\partial f^T}{\partial \mathbf{x}} \mathbf{x} + \frac{1}{2} \mathbf{x}^T \frac{\partial^2 f}{\partial \mathbf{x}^2} \mathbf{x}$$

$$h(\mathbf{x}) = \mathbf{g}^T \mathbf{x}$$

$$= \begin{pmatrix} g_1 & \cdots & g_n \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} x_1 \\ \vdots \\ x_n \end{pmatrix} \quad \frac{\partial h}{\partial \mathbf{x}} = \begin{pmatrix} \frac{\partial h}{\partial x_1} \\ \vdots \\ \frac{\partial h}{\partial x_n} \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} g_1 \\ \vdots \\ g_n \end{pmatrix} = \mathbf{g}$$
$$= \sum_{i=1}^n g_i x_i$$

# Derivation of matrix form

---

$$f(\mathbf{x}) = f + \frac{\partial f^T}{\partial \mathbf{x}} \mathbf{x} + \frac{1}{2} \mathbf{x}^T \frac{\partial^2 f}{\partial \mathbf{x}^2} \mathbf{x}$$

$$h(\mathbf{x}) = \mathbf{x}^T \mathbf{A} \mathbf{x}$$

$$\frac{\partial h}{\partial \mathbf{x}} =$$

# Derivation of matrix form

$$f(\mathbf{x}) = f + \frac{\partial f^T}{\partial \mathbf{x}} \mathbf{x} + \frac{1}{2} \mathbf{x}^T \frac{\partial^2 f}{\partial \mathbf{x}^2} \mathbf{x}$$

$$h(\mathbf{x}) = \mathbf{x}^T \mathbf{A} \mathbf{x} = \begin{pmatrix} x_1 & \cdots & x_n \end{pmatrix}^T \begin{pmatrix} a_{11} & \cdots & a_{1n} \\ \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ a_{n1} & \cdots & a_{nn} \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} x_1 \\ \vdots \\ x_n \end{pmatrix}$$

$$= \sum_{i=1}^n \sum_{j=1}^n a_{ij} x_i x_j$$

$$\frac{\partial h}{\partial \mathbf{x}} = \begin{pmatrix} \frac{\partial h}{\partial x_1} \\ \vdots \\ \frac{\partial h}{\partial x_n} \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} \sum_{i=1}^n a_{i1} x_i + \sum_{j=1}^n a_{1j} x_j \\ \vdots \\ \sum_{i=1}^n a_{in} x_i + \sum_{j=1}^n a_{nj} x_j \end{pmatrix} = \mathbf{A}^T \mathbf{x} + \mathbf{A} \mathbf{x}$$

$$= (\mathbf{A}^T + \mathbf{A}) \mathbf{x}$$

# Derivation of matrix form

---

$$f(\mathbf{x}) = f + \frac{\partial f^T}{\partial \mathbf{x}} \mathbf{x} + \frac{1}{2} \mathbf{x}^T \frac{\partial^2 f}{\partial \mathbf{x}^2} \mathbf{x}$$

$$\frac{\partial h}{\partial \mathbf{x}} = \frac{\partial f^T}{\partial \mathbf{x}} + \frac{1}{2} \left( \frac{\partial^2 f}{\partial \mathbf{x}^2} + \frac{\partial^2 f^T}{\partial \mathbf{x}^2} \right) \mathbf{x} = \frac{\partial f^T}{\partial \mathbf{x}} + \frac{\partial^2 f}{\partial \mathbf{x}^2} \mathbf{x}$$

$$\mathbf{x}_m = - \frac{\partial^2 f}{\partial \mathbf{x}^2}^{-1} \frac{\partial f}{\partial \mathbf{x}}$$

# Accurate keypoint localization

---

$$f(\mathbf{x}) = f + \frac{\partial f^T}{\partial \mathbf{x}} \mathbf{x} + \frac{1}{2} \mathbf{x}^T \frac{\partial^2 f}{\partial \mathbf{x}^2} \mathbf{x}$$

- $\mathbf{x}$  is a 3-vector
- Change sample point if offset is larger than 0.5
- Throw out low contrast ( $<0.03$ )



# Accurate keypoint localization

- Throw out low contrast  $|D(\hat{\mathbf{x}})| < 0.03$

$$\begin{aligned}
 D(\hat{\mathbf{x}}) &= D + \frac{\partial D^T}{\partial \mathbf{x}} \hat{\mathbf{x}} + \frac{1}{2} \hat{\mathbf{x}}^T \frac{\partial^2 D}{\partial \mathbf{x}^2} \hat{\mathbf{x}} \\
 &= D + \frac{\partial D^T}{\partial \mathbf{x}} \hat{\mathbf{x}} + \frac{1}{2} \left( -\frac{\partial^2 D^{-1}}{\partial \mathbf{x}^2} \frac{\partial D}{\partial \mathbf{x}} \right)^T \frac{\partial^2 D}{\partial \mathbf{x}^2} \left( -\frac{\partial^2 D^{-1}}{\partial \mathbf{x}^2} \frac{\partial D}{\partial \mathbf{x}} \right) \\
 &= D + \frac{\partial D^T}{\partial \mathbf{x}} \hat{\mathbf{x}} + \frac{1}{2} \frac{\partial D^T}{\partial \mathbf{x}} \frac{\partial^2 D^{-T}}{\partial \mathbf{x}^2} \frac{\partial^2 D}{\partial \mathbf{x}^2} \frac{\partial^2 D^{-1}}{\partial \mathbf{x}^2} \frac{\partial D}{\partial \mathbf{x}} \\
 &= D + \frac{\partial D^T}{\partial \mathbf{x}} \hat{\mathbf{x}} + \frac{1}{2} \frac{\partial D^T}{\partial \mathbf{x}} \frac{\partial^2 D^{-1}}{\partial \mathbf{x}^2} \frac{\partial D}{\partial \mathbf{x}} \\
 &= D + \frac{\partial D^T}{\partial \mathbf{x}} \hat{\mathbf{x}} + \frac{1}{2} \frac{\partial D^T}{\partial \mathbf{x}} (-\hat{\mathbf{x}}) \\
 &= D + \frac{1}{2} \frac{\partial D^T}{\partial \mathbf{x}} \hat{\mathbf{x}}
 \end{aligned}$$

# Eliminating edge responses

---

$$\mathbf{H} = \begin{bmatrix} D_{xx} & D_{xy} \\ D_{xy} & D_{yy} \end{bmatrix} \quad \text{Hessian matrix at keypoint location}$$

$$\text{Tr}(\mathbf{H}) = D_{xx} + D_{yy} = \alpha + \beta,$$


$$\text{Det}(\mathbf{H}) = D_{xx}D_{yy} - (D_{xy})^2 = \alpha\beta.$$

$$\text{Let } \alpha = r\beta \quad \frac{\text{Tr}(\mathbf{H})^2}{\text{Det}(\mathbf{H})} = \frac{(\alpha + \beta)^2}{\alpha\beta} = \frac{(r\beta + \beta)^2}{r\beta^2} = \frac{(r + 1)^2}{r}$$

$$\text{Keep the points with } \frac{\text{Tr}(\mathbf{H})^2}{\text{Det}(\mathbf{H})} < \frac{(r + 1)^2}{r}. \quad r=10$$


# Maxima in D

---



# Remove low contrast and edges

---



# Keypoint detector

233x89




832 extrema



729 after contrast filtering



536 after curvature filtering





### 3. Orientation assignment

---

- By assigning a consistent orientation, the keypoint descriptor can be orientation invariant.
- For a keypoint,  $L$  is the Gaussian-smoothed image with the closest scale,


$$m(x, y) = \sqrt{(L(x+1, y) - L(x-1, y))^2 + (L(x, y+1) - L(x, y-1))^2}$$

$$\theta(x, y) = \tan^{-1}((L(x, y+1) - L(x, y-1)) / (L(x+1, y) - L(x-1, y)))$$




orientation histogram (36 bins)

# Orientation assignment



# Orientation assignment


---



- **Keypoint location = extrema location**
- **Keypoint scale is scale of the DOG image**



# Orientation assignment




gaussian image  
(at closest scale,  
from pyramid)

gradient  
magnitude


gradient  
orientation

# Orientation assignment


---



gradient  
magnitude



weighted by 2D  
gaussian kernel




weighted gradient  
magnitude


$\sigma = 1.5 * \text{scale of the keypoint}$

# Orientation assignment

weighted gradient  
magnitude




gradient  
orientation



weighted orientation histogram.

Each bucket contains sum of weighted gradient magnitudes corresponding to angles that fall within that bucket.



36 buckets

10 degree range of angles in each bucket, i.e.


$0 \leq \text{ang} < 10$  : bucket 1

$10 \leq \text{ang} < 20$  : bucket 2


$20 \leq \text{ang} < 30$  : bucket 3 ...

# Orientation assignment


weighted gradient  
magnitude



gradient  
orientation



weighted orientation histogram.




20-30 degrees

**Orientation of keypoint  
is approximately 25 degrees**

# Orientation assignment

There may be multiple orientations.

accurate peak position  
is determined by fitting




In this case, generate duplicate keypoints, one with orientation at 25 degrees, one at 155 degrees.

Design decision: you may want to limit number of possible multiple peaks to two.

# Orientation assignment

---




36-bin orientation histogram over  $360^\circ$ ,  
weighted by  $m$  and  $1.5^*$  scale falloff

Peak is the orientation


Local peak within 80% creates multiple  
orientations

About 15% has multiple orientations  
and they contribute a lot to stability




# SIFT descriptor

---




## 4. Local image descriptor

- Thresholded image gradients are sampled over 16x16 array of locations in scale space
- Create array of orientation histograms (w.r.t. key orientation)
- 8 orientations x 4x4 histogram array = 128 dimensions
- Normalized, clip values larger than 0.2, renormalize






# Why 4x4x8?



# Sensitivity to affine change




# Feature matching

---


- for a feature  $x$ , he found the closest feature  $x_1$  and the second closest feature  $x_2$ . If the distance ratio of  $d(x, x_2)$  and  $d(x, x_1)$  is smaller than 0.8, then it is accepted as a match.

# SIFT flow




# Maxima in D

---




# Remove low contrast

---




# Remove edges

---



# SIFT descriptor

---







# Estimated rotation

---

- Computed affine transformation from rotated image to original image:

0.7060	-0.7052	128.4230
0.7057	0.7100	-128.9491
0	0	1.0000

- Actual transformation from rotated image to original image:

0.7071	-0.7071	128.6934
0.7071	0.7071	-128.6934
0	0	1.0000

# **SIFT extensions**

# PCA

---

Average face:



Top ten eigenfaces (left = highest eigenvalue, right = lowest eigenvalue):





# PCA-SIFT

---

- Only change step 4
- Pre-compute an eigen-space for local gradient patches of size 41x41
- $2 \times 39 \times 39 = 3042$  elements
- Only keep 20 components
- A more compact descriptor

# GLOH (Gradient location-orientation histogram)



17 location bins  
 16 orientation bins  
 Analyze the  $17 \times 16 = 272$ -d  
 eigen-space, keep 128 components

SIFT is still considered the best.

# Multi-Scale Oriented Patches

---

- Simpler than SIFT. Designed for image matching. [Brown, Szeliski, Winder, CVPR'2005]
- Feature detector
  - Multi-scale Harris corners
  - Orientation from blurred gradient
  - Geometrically invariant to rotation
- Feature descriptor
  - Bias/gain normalized sampling of local patch (8x8)
  - Photometrically invariant to affine changes in intensity


# Multi-Scale Harris corner detector

$$P_0(x, y) = I(x, y)$$

$$P'_l(x, y) = P_l(x, y) * g_{\sigma_p}(x, y)$$

$$P_{l+1}(x, y) = P'_l(sx, sy)$$

$$s = 2 \quad \sigma_p = 1.0$$



- Image stitching is mostly concerned with matching images that have the same scale, so sub-octave pyramid might not be necessary.



# Multi-Scale Harris corner detector

---

$$\mathbf{H}_l(x, y) = \nabla_{\sigma_d} P_l(x, y) \nabla_{\sigma_d} P_l(x, y)^T * g_{\sigma_i}(x, y)$$

$$\nabla_{\sigma} f(x, y) \triangleq \nabla f(x, y) * g_{\sigma}(x, y)$$

smoother version of gradients

$$\sigma_i = 1.5 \quad \sigma_d = 1.0$$

Corner detection function:

$$f_{HM}(x, y) = \frac{\det \mathbf{H}_l(x, y)}{\text{tr} \mathbf{H}_l(x, y)} = \frac{\lambda_1 \lambda_2}{\lambda_1 + \lambda_2}$$


Pick local maxima of 3x3 and larger than 10

# Keypoint detection function

$$\text{Harris } f_H = \lambda_1 \lambda_2 - 0.04(\lambda_1 + \lambda_2)^2 = \det \mathbf{H} - 0.04(\text{tr } \mathbf{H})^2$$

$$\text{Harmonic mean } f_{HM} = \lambda_1 \lambda_2 / (\lambda_1 + \lambda_2) = \det \mathbf{H} / \text{tr } \mathbf{H}$$

$$\text{Shi-Tomasi } f_{ST} = \min(\lambda_1, \lambda_2)$$




Experiments show roughly the same performance.

# Non-maximal suppression


---

- Restrict the maximal number of interest points, but also want them spatially well distributed
- Only retain maximums in a neighborhood of radius  $r$ .
- Sort them by strength, decreasing  $r$  from infinity until the number of keypoints (500) is satisfied.

# Non-maximal suppression



(a) Strongest 250



(b) Strongest 500



(c) ANMS 250,  $r = 24$



(d) ANMS 500,  $r = 16$

# Sub-pixel refinement

$$f(\mathbf{x}) = f + \frac{\partial f^T}{\partial \mathbf{x}} \mathbf{x} + \frac{1}{2} \mathbf{x}^T \frac{\partial^2 f}{\partial \mathbf{x}^2} \mathbf{x}$$

$$\mathbf{x}_m = - \frac{\partial^2 f^{-1}}{\partial \mathbf{x}^2} \frac{\partial f}{\partial \mathbf{x}}$$

$f_{-1,1}$	$f_{0,1}$	$f_{1,1}$
$f_{-1,0}$	$f_{0,0}$	$f_{1,0}$
$f_{-1,-1}$	$f_{0,-1}$	$f_{1,-1}$

$$\frac{\partial f}{\partial x} = (f_{1,0} - f_{-1,0})/2$$

$$\frac{\partial f}{\partial y} = (f_{0,1} - f_{0,-1})/2$$

$$\frac{\partial^2 f}{\partial x^2} = f_{1,0} - 2f_{0,0} + f_{-1,0}$$

$$\frac{\partial^2 f}{\partial y^2} = f_{0,1} - 2f_{0,0} + f_{0,-1}$$

$$\frac{\partial^2 f}{\partial x \partial y} = (f_{-1,-1} - f_{-1,1} - f_{1,-1} + f_{1,1})/4$$

# Orientation assignment

---

- Orientation = blurred gradient

$$\mathbf{u}_l(x, y) = \nabla_{\sigma_o} P_l(x, y)$$

$$\sigma_o = 4.5$$

$$[\cos \theta, \sin \theta] = \mathbf{u} / |\mathbf{u}|$$

# Descriptor Vector


---

- Rotation Invariant Frame
  - Scale-space position  $(x, y, s)$  + orientation  $(\theta)$



# MOPS descriptor vector


- 8x8 oriented patch sampled at 5 x scale. See TR for details.
- Sampled from  $P_l(x, y) * g_{2 \times \sigma_p}(x, y)$  with spacing=5






# MOPS descriptor vector

- 8x8 oriented patch sampled at 5 x scale. See TR for details.
- Bias/gain normalisation:  $I' = (I - \mu) / \sigma$
- Wavelet transform



# Detections at multiple scales

---



*Figure 1. Multi-scale Oriented Patches (MOPS) extracted at five pyramid levels from one of the Matier images. The boxes show the feature orientation and the region from which the descriptor vector is sampled.*

# Summary

---

- Multi-scale Harris corner detector
- Sub-pixel refinement
- Orientation assignment by gradients
- Blurred intensity patch as descriptor

# Feature matching


---

- Exhaustive search
  - for each feature in one image, look at *all* the other features in the other image(s)
- Hashing
  - compute a short descriptor from each feature vector, or hash longer descriptors (randomly)
- Nearest neighbor techniques
  - $k$ -trees and their variants (Best Bin First)

# Wavelet-based hashing

---

- Compute a short (3-vector) descriptor from an 8x8 patch using a Haar “wavelet”



- Quantize each value into 10 (overlapping) bins ( $10^3$  total entries)
- [Brown, Szeliski, Winder, CVPR'2005]

# Nearest neighbor techniques

- $k$ -D tree and
- Best Bin First (BBF)





Figure 6:  $k$ -d-tree with 8 data points labelled A-H, dimension of space  $k=2$ . On the right is the full tree, the leaf nodes containing the data points. Internal node information consists of the dimension of the cut plane and the value of the cut in that dimension. On the left is the 2D feature space carved into various sizes and shapes of bin, according to the distribution of the data points. The two representations are isomorphic. The situation shown on the left is after initial tree traversal to locate the bin for query point “+” (contains point D). In standard search, the closest nodes in the tree are examined first (starting at C). In BBF search, the closest bins to query point  $q$  are examined first (starting at B). The latter is more likely to maximize the overlap of (i) the hypersphere centered on  $q$  with radius  $D_{cur}$ , and (ii) the hyperrectangle of the bin to be searched. In this case, BBF search reduces the number of leaves to examine, since once point B is discovered, all other branches can be pruned.

Indexing Without Invariants in 3D Object Recognition, Beis and Lowe, PAMI'99

# Applications

# Recognition






# 3D object recognition

---



# 3D object recognition

---




# Office of the past




Video of desk


Images from PDF



Track & recognize



Internal representation



Scene Graph

# Image retrieval





• • •  
> 5000  
images

change in viewing angle




# Image retrieval

---



22 correct matches

# Image retrieval




• • •  
> 5000  
images

change in viewing angle  
+ scale change



# Robot location



# Robotics: Sony Aibo

SIFT is used for

- Recognizing charging station
- Communicating with visual cards
- Teaching object recognition
  
- soccer

**AIBO® Entertainment Robot**  
Official U.S. Resources and Online Destinations



The advertisement features a central image of the white and black ERS-7 Entertainment Robot AIBO, a dog-like robot with a pink nose and mouth. It is surrounded by four colorful visual cards: top-left shows a blue and white structure; top-right shows gears and a clock; bottom-left shows a black silhouette of a person; bottom-right shows a black silhouette of a person with a white dog. A pink ball is positioned in front of the robot.

**ERS-7**  
Entertainment Robot AIBO

ERS-7 with:  
Wireless LAN  
AIBO MIND software  
Energy Station  
AIBOne  
Pink Ball  
AIBO Cards (15)  
WLAN Manager CD  
Battery & AC Adapter


**3rd Generation**  
Pre-order Now!



# Structure from Motion




---

- The SFM Problem
  - Reconstruct scene geometry and camera motion from two or more images



SFM Pipeline


# Structure from Motion



# Augmented reality




# Automatic image stitching




# Automatic image stitching

---






# Automatic image stitching



---



# Automatic image stitching



# Automatic image stitching





# Reference

---

- Chris Harris, Mike Stephens, [A Combined Corner and Edge Detector](#), 4th Alvey Vision Conference, 1988, pp147-151.
- David G. Lowe, [Distinctive Image Features from Scale-Invariant Keypoints](#), International Journal of Computer Vision, 60(2), 2004, pp91-110.
- Yan Ke, Rahul Sukthankar, [PCA-SIFT: A More Distinctive Representation for Local Image Descriptors](#), CVPR 2004.
- Krystian Mikolajczyk, Cordelia Schmid, [A performance evaluation of local descriptors](#), Submitted to PAMI, 2004.
- [SIFT Keypoint Detector](#), David Lowe.
- [Matlab SIFT Tutorial](#), University of Toronto.

# Project #2 Image stitching

---

- Assigned: 3/26
- Checkpoint: 11:59pm 4/12
- Due: 11:59am 4/22
- Work in pairs



# Reference software

---

- Autostitch

<http://www.cs.ubc.ca/~mbrown/autostitch/autostitch.html>

- Many others are available online.

# Tips for taking pictures

---

- Common focal point
- Rotate your camera to increase vertical FOV
- Tripod
- Fixed exposure?

A small, pixelated icon of a yellow bell and a grey whistle.

# Bells & whistles

---

- Recognizing panorama
- Bundle adjustment
- Handle dynamic objects
- Better blending techniques

# Artifacts

- Take your own pictures and generate a stitched image, be creative.
- <http://www.cs.washington.edu/education/courses/cse590ss/01wi/projects/project1/students/allen/index.html>



# Submission

---

- You have to turn in your complete source, the executable, a html report and an artifact.
- Report page contains:  
description of the project, what do you learn, algorithm, implementation details, results, bells and whistles...
- Artifacts must be made using your own program.

# Reference

---

- Chris Harris, Mike Stephens, [A Combined Corner and Edge Detector](#), 4th Alvey Vision Conference, 1988, pp147-151.
- David G. Lowe, [Distinctive Image Features from Scale-Invariant Keypoints](#), International Journal of Computer Vision, 60(2), 2004, pp91-110.
- Yan Ke, Rahul Sukthankar, [PCA-SIFT: A More Distinctive Representation for Local Image Descriptors](#), CVPR 2004.
- Krystian Mikolajczyk, Cordelia Schmid, [A performance evaluation of local descriptors](#), Submitted to PAMI, 2004.
- [SIFT Keypoint Detector](#), David Lowe.
- [Matlab SIFT Tutorial](#), University of Toronto.