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## Variance reduction

- Efficiency measure for an estimator

$$
\text { Efficiency } \propto \frac{1}{\text { Variance } \bullet \text { Cost }}
$$

- Although we call them variance reduction techniques, they are actually techniques to increase efficiency
- Stratified sampling
- Importance sampling


## Russian roulette

- Assume that we want to estimate the following direct lighting integral

$$
L_{o}\left(p, \omega_{o}\right)=\int_{\Omega} f_{r}\left(p, \omega_{o}, \omega_{i}\right) L_{d}\left(p, \omega_{i}\right)\left|\cos \theta_{i}\right| d \omega_{i}
$$

- The Monte Carlo estimator is

$$
\frac{1}{N} \sum_{i=1}^{N} \frac{f_{r}\left(p, \omega_{o}, \omega_{i}\right) L_{d}\left(p, \omega_{i}\right)\left|\cos \theta_{i}\right|}{p\left(\omega_{i}\right)}
$$

- Since tracing the shadow ray is very costly, if we somewhat know that the contribution is small anyway, we would like to skip tracing.
- For example, we could skip tracing rays if $\left|\cos \theta_{i}\right|$ or $f_{r}\left(p, \omega_{o}, \omega_{i}\right)$ is small enough.


## Russian roulette

- However, we can't just ignore them since the estimate will be consistently under-estimated otherwise.
- Russian roulette makes it possible to skip tracing rays when the integrand's value is low while still computing the correct value on average.


## Russian roulette

- Select some termination probability $q$,

$$
\begin{gathered}
F^{\prime}= \begin{cases}\frac{F-q c}{1-q} & \xi>q \\
c & \text { otherwise }\end{cases} \\
E\left[F^{\prime}\right]=(1-q)\left(\frac{E[F]-q c}{1-q}\right)+q c=E[F]
\end{gathered}
$$

- Russian roulette will actually increase variance, but improve efficiency if $q$ is chosen so that samples that are likely to make a small contribution are skipped. (if same number of samples are taken, RR could be worse. However, since RR could be faster, we could increase number of samples)


## Careful sample placement

- Carefully place samples to less likely to miss important features of the integrand
- Stratified sampling: the domain [0,1]s is split into strata $S_{1} . . S_{k}$ which are disjoint and completely cover the domain.

$$
\begin{aligned}
& S_{i} \cap S_{j}=\phi \quad i \neq j \quad \bigcup_{i=1}^{k} S_{i}=[0,1]^{s} \\
& \left|S_{i}\right|=v_{i} \quad \sum v_{i}=1 \\
& p_{i}(x)= \begin{cases}1 / v_{i} & \text { if } x \in S_{i} \\
0 & \text { otherwise }\end{cases}
\end{aligned}
$$

## Stratified sampling

$$
\begin{aligned}
& V\left[\hat{I}_{s}\right]=\frac{1}{N} \sum_{i=1}^{k} v_{i} \sigma_{i}^{2} \\
& V\left[\hat{I}_{n s}\right]=\frac{1}{N}\left[\sum_{i=1}^{k} v_{i} \sigma_{i}^{2}+\sum_{i=1}^{k} v_{i}\left(\mu_{i}-I\right)^{2}\right]
\end{aligned}
$$

Thus, the variance can only be reduced by using stratified sampling.

without stratified sampling

with stratified sampling

## Bias

- Another approach to reduce variance is to introduce bias into the computation.

$$
\beta=E[F]-\int f(x) d x
$$

- Example: estimate the mean of a set of random numbers $X_{i}$ over [0..1].
unbiased estimator $\frac{1}{N} \sum_{i=1}^{N} X_{i}$ variance $\left(\mathrm{N}^{-1}\right)$
biased estimator $\frac{1}{2} \max \left(X_{1}, X_{2}, \ldots, X_{N}\right)$ variance $\left(\mathrm{N}^{-2}\right)$


## Pixel reconstruction

$I=\int w(x) f(x) d x$

- $\begin{array}{r}\text { Biased estimator } \hat{I}_{b}=\frac{\sum_{i=1}^{N} w\left(X_{i}\right) f\left(X_{i}\right)}{\sum_{i=1}^{N} w\left(X_{i}\right)} \text { (but less variance) }\end{array}$
- Unbiased estimator $\hat{I}_{u}=\frac{\sum_{i=1}^{N} w\left(X_{i}\right) f\left(X_{i}\right)}{N p_{c}}$ where $p_{c}$ is the uniform PDF of choosing $X i$

$$
\begin{aligned}
& E\left[\hat{I}_{u}\right]=\frac{1}{N p_{c}} \sum_{i=1}^{N} E\left[w\left(X_{i}\right) f\left(X_{i}\right)\right] \\
& =\frac{1}{N p_{c}} \sum_{i=1}^{N} \int w(x) f(x) p_{c} d x=\int w(x) f(x) d x
\end{aligned}
$$

## Importance sampling

- The Monte Carlo estimator

$$
F_{N}=\frac{1}{N} \sum_{i=1}^{N} \frac{f\left(X_{i}\right)}{p\left(X_{i}\right)}
$$

converges more quickly if the distribution $p(x)$ is similar to $f(x)$. The basic idea is to concentrate on where the integrand value is high to compute an accurate estimate more efficiently.

- So long as the random variables are sampled from a distribution that is similar in shape to the integrand, variance is reduced.


## Informal argument

- Since we can choose $p(x)$ arbitrarily, let's choose it so that $p(x) \sim f(x)$. That is, $p(x)=c f(x)$. To make $p(x)$ a pdf, we have to choose $c$ so that

$$
c=\frac{1}{\int f(x) d x}
$$

- Thus, for each sample $X_{i}$, we have

$$
\frac{f\left(X_{i}\right)}{p\left(X_{i}\right)}=\frac{1}{c}=\int f(x) d x
$$

Since $c$ is a constant, the variance is zero!

- This is an ideal case. If we can evaluate $c$, we won't use Monte Carlo. However, if we know $p(x)$ has a similar shape to $f(x)$, variance decreases.
- Bad distribution could hurt variance.
$I=\int_{0}^{4} x d x=8$

| method | Sampling <br> function | variance | Samples needed for <br> standard error of <br> 0.008 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| importance | $(6-x) / 16$ | $56.8 / \mathrm{N}$ | 887,500 |
| importance | $1 / 4$ | $21.3 / \mathrm{N}$ | 332,812 |
| importance | $(x+2) / 16$ | $6.4 / \mathrm{N}$ | 98,432 |
| importance | $\mathrm{x} / 8$ | 0 | 1 |
| stratified | $1 / 4$ | $21.3 / \mathrm{N}^{3}$ | 70 |

## Importance sampling

- Fortunately, it is not too hard to find good sampling distributions for importance sampling for many integration problems in graphics.
- For example, in many cases, the integrand is the product of more than one function. It is often difficult construct a pdf similar to the product, but sampling along one multiplicand is often helpful.

$$
\int_{s^{2}} f\left(\mathrm{p}, \omega_{\mathrm{o}}, \omega_{\mathrm{i}}\right) L_{i}\left(\mathrm{p}, \omega_{\mathrm{i}}\right)\left|\cos \theta_{\mathrm{i}}\right| d \omega_{\mathrm{i}}
$$

## Multiple importance sampling

$$
L_{o}\left(p, \omega_{o}\right)=\int_{\Omega} f_{r}\left(p, \omega_{o}, \omega_{i}\right) L_{d}\left(p, \omega_{i}\right)\left|\cos \theta_{i}\right| d \omega_{i}
$$

- If we sample based on either $L$ or $f$, it often performs poorly.
- Consider a near-mirror BRDF illuminated by an area light where $L$ 's distribution is used to draw samples. (It is better to sample by $f$.)
- Consider a diffuse BRDF and a small light source. If we sample according to $f$, it will lead to a larger variance than sampling by $L$.
- It does not work by averaging two together since variance is additive.


## Multiple importance sampling

- To estimate $\int f(x) g(x) d x$, MIS draws $n_{f}$ samples according to $p_{f}$ and $n_{g}$ samples to $p_{g}$, The Monte Carlo estimator given by MIS is

$$
\frac{1}{n_{f}} \sum_{i=1}^{n_{f}} \frac{f\left(X_{i}\right) g\left(X_{i}\right) w_{f}\left(X_{i}\right)}{p_{f}\left(X_{i}\right)}+\frac{1}{n_{g}} \sum_{j=1}^{n_{i}} \frac{f\left(Y_{j}\right) g\left(Y_{j}\right) w_{g}\left(Y_{i}\right)}{p_{g}\left(Y_{j}\right)}
$$

- Balance heuristic v.s. power heuristic
$w_{s}(x)=\frac{n_{s} p_{s}(x)}{\sum_{i} n_{i} p_{i}(x)} \quad w_{s}(x)=\frac{\left(n_{s} p_{s}(x)\right)^{\beta}}{\sum_{i}\left(n_{i} p_{i}(x)\right)^{\beta}}$
- Assume a sample $X$ is drawn from $p_{f}$ where $p_{f}(X)$ is small, thus $f(X)$ is small if $p_{f}$ matches $f$. If, unfortunately, $g(X)$ is large, then standard importance sampling gives a large value $\frac{f(X) g(X)}{p_{f}(X)}$
- However, with the balance heuristic, the contribution of $X$ will be

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \frac{f(X) g(X) w_{f}(X)}{p_{f}(X)}=\frac{f(X) g(X)}{p_{f}(X)} \frac{n_{f} p_{f}(X)}{n_{f} p_{f}(X)+n_{g} p_{g}(X)} \\
& =\frac{f(X) g(X) n_{f}}{n_{f} p_{f}(X)+n_{g} p_{g}(X)}
\end{aligned}
$$

Importance sampling
Sample Light
Sample BRDF


Multiple importance sampling
Result: better than either of the two strategies alone


## Monte Carlo for rendering equation

$$
\begin{aligned}
L_{o}\left(\mathrm{p}, \omega_{\mathrm{o}}\right)= & L_{e}\left(\mathrm{p}, \omega_{\mathrm{o}}\right) \\
& +\int_{\Omega} f\left(\mathrm{p}, \omega_{\mathrm{o}}, \omega_{\mathrm{i}}\right) L_{i}\left(\mathrm{p}, \omega_{\mathrm{i}}\right)\left|\cos \theta_{\mathrm{i}}\right| d \omega_{\mathrm{i}}
\end{aligned}
$$

- Importance sampling: sample $\omega_{i}$ according to BxDF $f$ and $L$ (especially for light sources)
- If don't know anything about $f$ and $L$, then use cosine-weighted sampling of hemisphere to find a sampled $\omega_{i}$


## Sampling reflection functions

```
Spectrum BxDF::Sample_f(const Vector &wo,
Vector *wi, float u1, float u2, float *pdf){
    *wi = CosineSampleHemisphere(u1, u2);
    if (wo.z < 0.) wi->z *= -1.f;
    *pdf = Pdf(wo, *wi);
    return f(wo, *wi);
}
For those who modified Sample_f, Pdf must be changed
accordingly
float BxDF::Pdf(Vector &wo, Vector &wi) {
    return SameHemisphere(wo, wi) ?
        fabsf(wi.z) * INV_PI : 0.f;
```

\} Pdf() is useful for multiple importance sampling.

## Sampling microfacet model



Too complicated to sample according to $f$, sample D instead. It is often effective since $D$ accounts for most variation for $f$.

## Sampling microfacet model

```
Spectrum Microfacet::Sample_f(const Vector &wo,
    Vector *wi, float u1, float u2, float *pdf) {
    distribution->Sample_f(wo, wi, u1, u2, pdf);
    if (!SameHemisphere(wo, *wi))
    return Spectrum(0.f);
    return f(wo, *wi);
}
float Microfacet::Pdf(const Vector &wo,
        const Vector &wi) const {
    if (!SameHemisphere(wo, wi)) return 0.f;
    return distribution->Pdf(wo, wi);
}
```


## Sampling Blinn microfacet model

- Blinn distribution: $D\left(\cos \theta_{h}\right)=\frac{e+2}{2 \pi}\left(\cos \theta_{h}\right)^{e}$
- Generate $\omega_{h}$ according to the above function

$$
\begin{aligned}
\cos \theta_{h} & =\sqrt[e+1]{\xi_{1}} \\
\phi_{h} & =2 \pi \xi_{2}
\end{aligned}
$$

- Convert $\omega_{h}$ to $\omega_{i}$
$\omega_{i}=-\omega_{o}+2\left(\omega_{o} \cdot \omega_{h}\right) \omega_{h}$



## Sampling Blinn microfacet model

- Convert half-angle PDF to incoming-angle PDF, that is, change from a density in term of $\omega_{h}$ to one in terms of $\omega_{i}$

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \theta_{i}=2 \theta_{\mathrm{h}} \text { and } \phi_{i}=\phi_{\mathrm{h}} \\
& d \omega_{i}=\sin \theta_{i} d \theta_{i} d \phi_{i} \\
& d \omega_{h}=\sin \theta_{h} d \theta_{h} d \phi_{h}
\end{aligned}
$$



$$
\begin{aligned}
& \text { transformation } \\
& \text { method }
\end{aligned}
$$

$$
\frac{d \omega_{h}}{d \omega_{i}}=\frac{\sin \theta_{h} d \theta_{h} d \phi_{h}}{\sin \theta_{i} d \theta_{i} d \phi_{i}}=\frac{\sin \theta_{h} d \theta_{h} d \phi_{h}}{\sin 2 \theta_{h} 2 d \theta_{h} d \phi_{h}}=\frac{\sin \theta_{h}}{4 \cos \theta_{h} \sin \theta_{h}}
$$

$$
=\frac{1}{4 \cos \theta_{h}} \rightarrow p(\theta)=\frac{p_{h}(\theta)}{4\left(\omega_{o} \cdot \omega_{h}\right)}
$$

## Estimate reflectance

Spectrum BxDF::rho(Vector \&w, int nS, float *S)
\{
if (!s) \{ $\quad \rho_{h d}\left(\omega_{o}\right)=\int_{\Omega} f_{r}\left(\omega_{o}, \omega_{i}\right)\left|\cos \theta_{i}\right| d \omega_{i}$ $\mathrm{S}=(\mathrm{float} *)$ alloca(2*nS*sizeof(float)); ${ }_{\text {\} }}^{\text {Spectrum } r=0 . ;} \quad$ LatinHypercube(S, ns, 2); $\quad \frac{1}{N} \sum_{i=1}^{N} \frac{f_{r}\left(\omega_{0}, \omega_{i}\right)\left|\cos \theta_{i}\right|}{p\left(\omega_{i}\right)}$
for (int i = 0; i < nS; ++i) \{
Vector wi;
float pdf = 0.f;
Spectrum f=Sample_f(w,\&wi,S[2*i],S[2*i+1],\&pdf);
if (pdf > 0.) r += f * fabsf(wi.z) / pdf;
\}
return r / nS;
\}

## Estimate reflectance

```
Spectrum BxDF::rho(int nS, float *S) const
\{
    if (!S) \(\left\{\quad \rho_{h h}=\frac{1}{\pi} \iint f_{r}\left(\omega_{o}, \omega_{i}\right)\left|\cos \theta_{i} \cos \theta_{o}\right| d \omega_{i} d \omega_{o}\right.\)
        S = (float *)alloca(4 \({ }^{\Omega,}\) ns * sizeof(float));
        LatinHypercube(S, nS, 4);
    \}
    Spectrum \(\mathbf{r}=0 . ; \quad \pi \quad \pi N \sum_{i=1} \quad p\left(\omega_{i}^{\prime}\right) p\left(\omega_{i}^{\prime \prime}\right.\)
    for (int \(i=0 ; i<n s ;++i)\{\)
        Vector wo, wi;
        wo = UniformSampleHemisphere(S[4*i], S[4*i+1]);
        float pdf_o = INV_TWOPI, pdf_i = 0.f;
        Spectrum f
            =Sample_f(wo,\&wi,S[4*i+2],S[4*i+3],\&pdf_i);
        if (pdf_i > 0.)
            r += f * fabsf(wi.z * wo.z) / (pdf_o * pdf_i);
    \}
    return r / (M_PI*nS);
\}
```


## Sampling BSDF (mixture of BxDFs)

- We would like to sample from the density that is the sum of individual densities

$$
p(\omega)=\frac{1}{N} \sum_{i=1}^{N} p_{i}(\omega)
$$

- Difficult. Instead, uniformly sample one component and use it for importance sampling. However, f and Pdf returns the sum.
- Three uniform random numbers are used, the first one determines which BxDF to be sampled (uniformly sampled) and then sample that BxDF using the other two random numbers


## Sampling light sources

- Direct illumination from light sources makes an important contribution, so it is crucial to be able to generates
Sp: samples directions from a point $p$ to the light
- Sr: random rays from the light source (for bidirectional light transport algorithms such as bidirectional path tracing and photon mapping)



## Lights

- Essential data members:
- Transform LightToWorld, WorldToLight;
- int nSamples;
returns wi and radiance due to the light
- Spectrum Sample_L(Point \&p, Vector *wi, VisibilityTester *vis); Essentially a one-sample MC
- bool IsDeltaLight();



## Interface

virtual Spectrum Sample_L(const Point \&p, float u1, float u2, Vector *wi, float *pdf, VisibilityTester *vis) const $=0$;
virtual float Pdf(const Point \&p, const Vector \&wi) const $=0$;

We don't have normals for volume
virtual Spectrum Sample_L(... Normal \&n, ...) \{
return Sample_L(p, u1, u2, wi, pdf, vis);
\} If we know normal, we could add consine falloff to better sample $L$.
virtual float Pdf(... Normal \&n, ...) \{
return Pdf(p, wi);
\} Default (simply forwarding to the one without normal).
virtual Spectrum Sample_L(const Scene *scene,
float u1, float u2, float u3, float u4,
Ray *ray, float *pdf) const $=0$; Rays leaving lights

Point lights

- Sp : delta distribution, treat similar to specular BxDF
- Sr: sampling of a uniform sphere


## Point lights

```
Spectrum Sample_L(const Point &p, float u1, float u2,
    Vector *wi, float *pdf, VisibilityTester *vis)
{
    *pdf = 1.f; delta function
    return Sample_L(p, wi, visibility);
}
float Pdf(Point &, Vector &) const
{
    return 0.; for almost any direction, pdf is 0
}
Spectrum Sample_L(Scene *scene, float u1, float u2,
    float u3, float u4, Ray *ray, float *pdf) const
{
    ray->0 = lightPos;
    ray->d = UniformSampleSphere(u1, u2);
    *pdf = UniformSpherePdf();
    return Intensity;
}
```


## Spotlights

- Sp: the same as a point light
- Sr: sampling of a cone (ignore the falloff)
$p(\omega)=c$ over cone $\longrightarrow p(\theta, \phi)=c \sin \theta$ over $\left[0, \theta_{\max }\right] \times[0,2 \pi]$
$1=\int_{\phi=0}^{2 \pi} \int_{\theta=0}^{\theta^{\prime}} c \sin \theta d \theta d \phi=2 \pi c\left(1-\cos \theta_{\max }\right) \longrightarrow p(\theta, \phi)=\frac{\sin \theta}{2 \pi\left(1-\cos \theta_{\max }\right)}$
$p(\theta)=\int_{\phi=0}^{2 \pi} \frac{\sin \theta}{2 \pi\left(1-\cos \theta_{\max }\right)} d \phi=\frac{\sin \theta}{1-\cos \theta_{\max }}$
$P(\theta)=\int_{\theta=0}^{\theta^{\prime}} \frac{\sin \theta}{1-\cos \theta_{\max }} d \theta=\frac{1-\cos \theta^{\prime}}{1-\cos \theta_{\max }}=\xi_{1} \longrightarrow \cos \theta=\left(1-\xi_{1}\right)+\xi_{1} \cos \theta_{\max }$
$p(\phi \mid \theta)=\frac{p(\theta, \phi)}{p(\theta)}=\frac{1}{2 \pi} \longrightarrow P\left(\phi^{\prime} \mid \theta\right)=\int_{\phi=0}^{\phi^{\prime}} \frac{1}{2 \pi} d \phi=\frac{\phi^{\prime}}{2 \pi}=\xi_{2} \longrightarrow \phi=2 \pi \xi_{2}$

```
Spotlights
Spectrum Sample_L(Point &p, float u1, float u2,
    Vector *wi, float *pdf, VisibilityTester *vis)
{
    *pdf = 1.f;
    return Sample_L(p, wi, visibility);
}
float Pdf(const Point &, const Vector &)
{ return 0.; }
Spectrum Sample_L(Scene *scene, float u1, float u2,
    float u3, float u4, Ray *ray, float *pdf)
{
    ray->o = lightPos;
    Vector v = UniformSampleCone(u1, u2,cosTotalWidth);
    ray->d = LightToWorld(v);
    *pdf = UniformConePdf(cosTotalWidth);
    return Intensity * Falloff(ray->d);
}
```

- Ignore spatial variance; sampling routines are essentially the same as spot lights and point lights


## Directional lights

- Sp: no need to sample
- Sr: create a virtual disk of the same radius as scene's bounding sphere and then sample the disk uniformly.



## Directional lights

Spectrum Sample_L(Scene *scene, float u1, float u2, float u3, float u4, Ray *ray, float *pdf) const

Point worldCenter
float worldRadius;
scene->WorldBound().BoundingSphere(\&worldCenter,
\&worldRadius);
Vector v1, v2;
CoordinateSystem(lightDir, \&v1, \&v2);
float d1, d2;
ConcentricSampleDisk(u1, u2, \&d1, \&d2);
Point Pdisk =
worldCenter + worldRadius * (d1*v1 + d2*v2);
ray->o = Pdisk + worldRadius * lightDir;
ray->d = -lightDir;
*pdf = 1.f / (M_PI * worldRadius * worldRadius);
return L;

## Area lights

- Defined by shapes
- Add shape sampling functions for Shape
- Sp: uses a density with respect to solid angle from the point p
Point Shape::Sample(Point \&P, float u1, float u2, Normal *Ns)
- Sr: generates points on the shape according to a density with respect to surface area
Point Shape::Sample(float u1, float u2, Normal *Ns)
- virtual float Shape::Pdf(Point \&Pshape)
\{ return 1.f / Area(); \}


## Area light sampling method

- Most of work is done by Shape.

Spectrum Sample_L(Point \&p, Normal \&n, float u1,
float u2, Vector *wi, float *pdf,
VisibilityTester *visibility) const \{
Normal ns;
Point ps = shape->Sample(p, u1, u2, \&ns);
*wi $=$ Normalize(ps - p);
*pdf $=$ shape->Pdf(p, *wi);
visibility->SetSegment(p, ps);
return L(ps, ns, -*wi);
\}
float Pdf(Point \&p, Normal \&N, Vector \&Wi) const \{ return shape->Pdf(p, wi);
\}

## Area light sampling method

Spectrum Sample_L(Scene *scene, float u1, float u2, float u3, float u4, Ray *ray, float *pdf) const \{

Normal ns;
ray->o = shape->Sample(u1, u2, \&ns);
ray->d = UniformSampleSphere(u3, u4);
if (Dot(ray->d, ns) < 0.) ray->d *= -1;
*pdf = shape->Pdf(ray->o) * INV_TWOPI;
return L(ray->o, ns, ray->d);
\}

## Sampling spheres

- Only consider full spheres

Point Sample(float u1, float u2, Normal *ns) \{

Point $p=$ Point(0,0,0) + radius *
UniformSampleSphere(u1, u2);
*ns = Normalize(ObjectToWorld(
Normal(p.x, p.y, p.z)));
if (reverseOrientation) *ns *= -1.f;
return ObjectToWorld(p);
\}

## Sampling spheres



## Sampling spheres

Point Sample(Point \&p, float u1, float u2, Normal *ns) \{
// Compute coordinate system
Point c = ObjectToWorld(Point(0,0,0));
Vector wc = Normalize(c - p);
Vector wcX, wcY;
CoordinateSystem(wc, \&wcX, \&wcY);
// Sample uniformly if $p$ is inside
if (DistanceSquared(p, c)

- radius*radius < 1e-4f)
return Sample(u1, u2, ns);
// Sample uniformly inside subtended cone
float cosThetaMax $=\operatorname{sqrtf}(\max (0 . f$,
1 - radius*radius/DistanceSquared(p,c)));

```
Sampling spheres
    DifferentialGeometry dgSphere;
    float thit;
    Point ps;
    Ray r(p, UniformSampleCone(u1, u2,
        cosThetaMax, wcX, wcY, wc));
    if (!Intersect(r, &thit, &dgSphere)) {
        ps = c - radius * wc; It's unexpected.
    } else {
        ps = r(thit);
    }
    *ns = Normal(Normalize(ps - c));
    if (reverseOrientation) *ns *= -1.f;
    return ps;
}
```


## Infinite area lights

- Essentially an infinitely large sphere that surrounds the entire scene
- Sp:
- normal given: cosine weighted sampling
- otherwise: uniform spherical sampling
- does not take directional radiance distribution into account
- Sr:
- Uniformly sample two points $p_{1}$ and $p_{2}$ on the sphere
- Use $p_{1}$ as the origin and $p_{2}-p_{1}$ as the direction
- It can be shown that $p_{2}-p_{1}$ is uniformly distributed (Li et. al. 2003)


## Infinite area lights

```
Spectrum Sample_L(Scene *scene, float u1, float u2,
    float u3, float u4, Ray *ray, float *pdf) const
{
    Point wC; float wR;
    scene->WorldBound().BoundingSphere(&wC, &WR);
    wR *= 1.01f;
    Point p1 = wC + wR * UniformSampleSphere(u1, u2);
    Point p2 = wC + wR * UniformSampleSphere(u3, u4);
    ray->0 = p1
    ray->d = Normalize(p2-p1);
```



```
Vector to_center = Normalize(worldCenter - p1);
float costheta = AbsDot(to_center, ray->d);
    *pdf = costheta / ((4.f * M_PI * WR * WR));
    return Le(RayDifferential(ray->0, -ray->d));
}
```

- Structured Importance Sampling of Environment Maps, SIGGRAPH 2003

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \text { irradiance binary visibility } \\
& E(x)=\int_{\Omega_{2 \pi}} L_{i}(\vec{\omega}) \stackrel{\downarrow}{S}(x, \vec{\omega})(\vec{\omega} \cdot \vec{n}) d \vec{\omega}
\end{aligned}
$$

Infinite area light; easy to evaluate


## Importance metric

illumination of a region

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \Gamma(L, \Delta \omega)=L^{a} \Delta \omega^{b} \\
& \text { solid angle of a region }
\end{aligned}
$$

- Illumination-based importance sampling (a=1, b=0)
- Area-based stratified sampling ( $a=0, b=1$ )


## Variance in visibility

- After testing over 10 visibility maps, they found that variance in visibility is proportional to the square root of solid angle (if it is small)

$$
\begin{aligned}
& V[S, \Delta \omega] \approx \frac{\theta}{3 T_{K}} \begin{array}{c}
\text { parameter typically } \\
\text { between } 0.02 \text { and } 0.6
\end{array} \\
& \text { visibility map }
\end{aligned}
$$

- Thus, they empirically define the importance as

$$
\Gamma[L, \Delta \omega]=L \cdot\left(\min \left(\Delta \omega, \Delta \omega_{0}\right)\right)^{\frac{1}{4}}
$$

$$
t_{i}=i \sigma \quad i=0, \ldots, d-1
$$

the illumination map $\quad \Gamma_{4 \pi}=\Gamma\left(\sum L, \Delta \omega_{0}\right)=L \Delta \omega_{0}^{1 / 4}$


http://www.cs.virginia.edu/~jdl/papers/brdfsamp/lawrence_sig04.ppt

- Wavelet Importance Sampling: Efficiently Evaluating Products of Complex Functions, SIGGRAPH 2005.


Wavelet decomposition


Sample warping



