Supplementary Materials for Practical Counterfactual Policy Learning for Top-K Recommendations

Yaxu Liu*[†] National Taiwan University d08944012@ntu.edu.tw

Rundong Shi Meituan shirundong@meituan.com

DETAILED DERIVATIONS OF THE

UNBIASEDNESS OF V_{IPS}^{π}

From (11), (2), (3), and Assumption 1, we have

1

$$\begin{split} V_{\text{IPS}}^{\pi} &= \mathbb{E}_{\text{Pr}(\mathbf{u})} \mathbb{E}_{\beta(\mathbf{A}|\mathbf{u};V)} \mathbb{E}_{\text{Pr}(\mathbf{c}|\mathbf{u};V)} \left[\frac{\pi(\mathbf{A} \mid \mathbf{u};V,\theta)}{\beta(\mathbf{A} \mid \mathbf{u};V)} r(\mathbf{c},\mathbf{A}) \right] \\ &= \mathbb{E}_{\text{Pr}(\mathbf{u})} \mathbb{E}_{\text{Pr}(\mathbf{c}|\mathbf{u};V)} \mathbb{E}_{\beta(\mathbf{A}|\mathbf{u};V)} \left[\frac{\pi(\mathbf{A} \mid \mathbf{u};V,\theta)}{\beta(\mathbf{A} \mid \mathbf{u};V)} r(\mathbf{c},\mathbf{A}) \right] \\ &= \mathbb{E}_{\text{Pr}(\mathbf{u})} \mathbb{E}_{\text{Pr}(\mathbf{c}|\mathbf{u};V)} \left[\sum_{\substack{\mathbf{A} \in G(\mathbb{A},K)\\\beta(\mathbf{A}|\mathbf{u};V) \neq 0}} \pi(\mathbf{A} \mid \mathbf{u};V,\theta) r(\mathbf{c},\mathbf{A}) \right] \\ &= \mathbb{E}_{\text{Pr}(\mathbf{u})} \mathbb{E}_{\text{Pr}(\mathbf{c}|\mathbf{u};V)} \left[\sum_{\substack{\mathbf{A} \in G(\mathbb{A},K)\\\beta(\mathbf{A}|\mathbf{u};V) \neq 0}} \pi(\mathbf{A} \mid \mathbf{u};V,\theta) r(\mathbf{c},\mathbf{A}) \right] \\ &= \mathbb{E}_{\text{Pr}(\mathbf{u})} \mathbb{E}_{\pi(\mathbf{A}|\mathbf{u};V,\theta)} \mathbb{E}_{\text{Pr}(\mathbf{c}|\mathbf{u};V)} \left[r(\mathbf{c},\mathbf{A}) \right] = V^{\pi}. \end{split}$$

2 DETAILED DERIVATIONS OF THEOREM 1

To prove Theorem 1, we need the following Lemma.

LEMMA 1 (HOEFFDING'S INEQUALITY δ -VERSION). Assume X_1, \ldots, X_m to be i.i.d.with 0 mean and $|X_i| \leq M$ almost surely. Then with probability at least $1 - \delta$, we have

$$\left|\frac{1}{m}\sum_{i=1}^{m}X_{i}\right| \leq M\sqrt{\frac{2}{m}\log\frac{2}{\delta}}.$$

Proof of Lemma 1.

Hoeffding's inequality states that for every positive $t, P(|\frac{1}{m}\sum_{i=1}^{m}X_i| \ge t) \le 2 \exp(-\frac{mt^2}{2M^2})$. Now let $\delta = 2 \exp(-\frac{mt^2}{2M^2})$. Solving for t, and we get $t = M\sqrt{\frac{2}{m}\log\frac{2}{\delta}}$, which completes our proof.

Proof of Theorem 1.

Recalling the definition,

$$\hat{V}_{\text{IPS}}^{\pi}(\boldsymbol{\theta}) = \frac{1}{m} \sum_{i=1}^{m} w_{\mathbf{A}}^{i} r(\mathbf{c}_{i}, \mathbf{A}_{i})$$

Let $X_i = w_A^i r(\mathbf{c}_i, \mathbf{A}_i) - V^{\pi}$, which are i.i.d. From (2), (4), Assumptions 2 and 3, we know that $|X_i| \le K w_{\text{max}}$. As we have $\mathbb{E}[X_i] = 0$,

*Work done at Meituan as an intern.

[†]Contribute equally for this work.

Jui-Nan Yen[†] National Taiwan University juinanyen@gmail.com

Peng Yan Meituan yanpeng04@meituan.com Amazon bwyuan@amazon.com Chih-Jen Lin

Bowen Yuan^{†‡}

National Taiwan University cjlin@csie.ntu.edu.tw

By taking δ' instead, Lemma 1 tells us that for any single $\pi,$

$$P\left(|\hat{V}_{\text{IPS}}^{\pi} - V^{\pi}| \le K w_{\max} \sqrt{\frac{2}{m} \log \frac{2}{\delta'}}\right) \ge 1 - \delta'.$$
(A.1)

According to the union bound, for a countable set of events S_1, S_2, S_3, \cdots , we have

$$P(\bigcup_{i=1}^{\infty} S_i) \le \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} P(S_i).$$
(A.2)

With the De Morgan's Law, we have

$$P(\bigcup_{i=1}^{\infty} S_{i}^{c}) \leq \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} P(S_{i}^{c}),$$

$$P\left((\bigcap_{i=1}^{\infty} S_{i})^{c}\right) \leq \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} \left(1 - P(S_{i})\right),$$

$$1 - P\left(\bigcap_{i=1}^{\infty} S_{i}\right) \leq \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} \left(1 - P(S_{i})\right),$$

$$P\left(\bigcap_{i=1}^{\infty} S_{i}\right) \geq 1 - \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} \left(1 - P(S_{i})\right),$$
(A.3)

where S_i^c means the complement of S_i . Then, by applying (A.1) to (A.3) over the finite policy class \mathcal{H} , where $|\mathcal{H}| = N$, we get

$$P\left(\bigcap_{\pi \in \mathcal{H}} \left\{ |\hat{V}_{\text{IPS}}^{\pi} - V^{\pi}| \le K w_{\max} \sqrt{\frac{2}{m} \log \frac{2}{\delta'}} \right\} \right) \ge 1 - N\delta',$$

$$P\left(\sup_{\pi \in \mathcal{H}} \left\{ |\hat{V}_{\text{IPS}}^{\pi} - V^{\pi}| \le K w_{\max} \sqrt{\frac{2}{m} \log \frac{2}{\delta'}} \right\} \right) \ge 1 - N\delta', \quad (A.4)$$

$$P\left(\sup_{\pi \in \mathcal{H}} \left\{ |\hat{V}_{\text{IPS}}^{\pi} - V^{\pi}| \le K w_{\max} \sqrt{\frac{2}{m} \log \frac{2N}{\delta}} \right\} \right) \ge 1 - \delta,$$

where $\delta \equiv N\delta'$. This completes our proof.

3 DETAILED DERIVATIONS OF THEOREM 2

To prove Theorem 2, we need the following Lemma.

LEMMA 2. Given \mathbf{u} , $\mathbf{A}_{1:k}$, and \mathbf{c}_{A_k} , we have

$$\mathbb{E}_{P_{\beta}(\mathbf{A}_{k+1:K}|\mathbf{u},\mathbf{A}_{1:k},\mathbf{c}_{\mathbf{A}_{k}})}\left[\left(\prod_{j=k+1}^{K}\mathbf{w}_{\mathbf{A}_{j}}\right)\right] = 1.$$
 (A.5)

Proof of Lemma 2.

[‡]Work done at National Taiwan University.

From our assumption $P_{\beta}(c_{A_k}|\mathbf{u}, \mathbf{A}_{1:k}, \mathbf{A}_{k+1:K}) = P_{\beta}(c_{A_k}|\mathbf{u}, \mathbf{A}_{1:k})$, we have

$$\begin{split} & P_{\beta}(\mathbf{A}_{k+1:K}|\mathbf{u},\mathbf{A}_{1:k},\mathbf{c}_{A_{k}}) \\ &= \frac{P_{\beta}(\mathbf{u},\mathbf{A}_{1:k},\mathbf{A}_{k+1:K},\mathbf{c}_{A_{k}})}{P_{\beta}(\mathbf{u},\mathbf{A}_{1:k})P_{\beta}(\mathbf{A}_{k+1:K}|\mathbf{u},\mathbf{A}_{1:k})P_{\beta}(\mathbf{c}_{A_{k}}|\mathbf{u},\mathbf{A}_{1:k},\mathbf{A}_{k+1:K})}{P_{\beta}(\mathbf{u},\mathbf{A}_{1:k})P_{\beta}(\mathbf{c}_{A_{k}}|\mathbf{u},\mathbf{A}_{1:k})} \quad (A.6) \\ &= \frac{P_{\beta}(\mathbf{A}_{k+1:K}|\mathbf{u},\mathbf{A}_{1:k})P_{\beta}(\mathbf{c}_{A_{k}}|\mathbf{u},\mathbf{A}_{1:k},\mathbf{A}_{k+1:K})}{P_{\beta}(\mathbf{c}_{A_{k}}|\mathbf{u},\mathbf{A}_{1:k},\mathbf{A}_{k+1:K})} \\ &= P_{\beta}(\mathbf{A}_{k+1:K}|\mathbf{u},\mathbf{A}_{1:k}). \end{split}$$

According to our definition in Section 2.1, $P_{\beta}(\cdot)$ is the probability distribution decided by the policy β . Thus, from the structure of β in (19), we have

$$P_{\beta}(\mathbf{A}_{k+1:K} | \mathbf{u}, \mathbf{A}_{1:k}) = \beta(\mathbf{A}_{k+1:K} | \mathbf{u}, \mathbf{A}_{1:k}; \mathbf{V}).$$

Then, from (11), we have

$$\mathbb{E}_{P_{\beta}(\mathbf{A}_{k+1:K}|\mathbf{u},\mathbf{A}_{1:k},\mathbf{c}_{\mathbf{A}_{k}})}\left[\left(\prod_{j=k+1}^{K}\mathbf{w}_{\mathbf{A}_{j}}\right)\right]$$

$$\left[\pi(\mathbf{A}_{k+1:K}|\mathbf{u},\mathbf{A}_{k+1};\mathbf{V},\boldsymbol{\theta})\right]$$
(A.7)

$$= \mathbb{E}_{P_{\beta}(\mathbf{A}_{k+1:K} | \mathbf{u}, \mathbf{A}_{1:k}, \mathbf{c}_{\mathbf{A}_{k}})} \left[\frac{\pi(\mathbf{A}_{k+1:K} | \mathbf{u}, \mathbf{A}_{1:k}; \mathbf{V}, \boldsymbol{\theta})}{\beta(\mathbf{A}_{k+1:K} | \mathbf{u}, \mathbf{A}_{1:k}; \mathbf{V})} \right]$$
(A.8)

$$= \sum_{\substack{\mathbf{A}_{k+1:K} \in G(\mathbb{A} \setminus \mathbf{A}_{1:k}, K-k) \\ P_{\beta}(\mathbf{A}_{k+1:K} | \mathbf{u}, \mathbf{A}_{1:k}, \mathbf{c}_{\mathbf{A}_{k}}) \neq 0}} P_{\beta}(\mathbf{A}_{k+1:K} | \mathbf{u}, \mathbf{A}_{1:k}, \mathbf{c}_{\mathbf{A}_{k}})$$

$$\times \frac{\pi(\mathbf{A}_{k+1:K} \mid \mathbf{u}, \mathbf{A}_{1:k}; V, \boldsymbol{\theta})}{\beta(\mathbf{A}_{k+1:K} \mid \mathbf{u}, \mathbf{A}_{1:k}; V)}$$
(A.9)

$$= \sum_{\substack{\mathbf{A}_{k+1:K} \in G(\mathbb{A} \setminus \mathbf{A}_{1:k}, K-k)\\ \beta(\mathbf{A}_{k+1:K} \mid \mathbf{u}, \mathbf{A}_{1:k}; V) \neq 0}} \beta(\mathbf{A}_{k+1:K} \mid \mathbf{u}, \mathbf{A}_{1:k}; V) \frac{\pi(\mathbf{A}_{k+1:K} \mid \mathbf{u}, \mathbf{A}_{1:k}; V, \theta)}{\beta(\mathbf{A}_{k+1:K} \mid \mathbf{u}, \mathbf{A}_{1:k}; V)}$$
(A.10)

$$=\sum_{\mathbf{A}_{k+1:K}\in G(\mathbb{A}\setminus\mathbf{A}_{1:k},K-k)}\pi(\mathbf{A}_{k+1:K}\mid\mathbf{u},\mathbf{A}_{1:k};V,\theta)$$
(A.11)

$$= \sum_{\mathbf{A}_{k+1:K} \in G(\mathbb{A} \setminus \mathbf{A}_{1:k}; \mathbf{V}) \neq 0}^{\beta(\mathbf{A}_{k+1:K} \mid \mathbf{u}, \mathbf{A}_{1:k}; \mathbf{V}) \neq 0} \pi(\mathbf{A}_{k+1:K} \mid \mathbf{u}, \mathbf{A}_{1:k}; \mathbf{V}, \boldsymbol{\theta}),$$
(A.12)

where (A.10) comes from (A.6), and the equation (A.12) comes from Assumption 1. Finally, we have $\sum_{\mathbf{A}_{k+1:K} \in G(\mathbb{A} \setminus \mathbf{A}_{1:k}, K-k)} \pi(\mathbf{A}_{k+1:K} |$ $\mathbf{u}, \mathbf{A}_{1:k}; \mathbf{V}, \boldsymbol{\theta}) = 1$, which follows from the fact that π is a probability distribution. This completes our proof.

Proof of Theorem 2.

From (11), (20), and Lemma 2, we have

$$V_{\text{IPS}}^{\pi} = \mathbb{E}_{P_{\beta}} \left[\sum_{k=1}^{K} w_{\text{A}} c_{\text{A}_{k}} \right]$$
(A.13)

$$=\sum_{k=1}^{K} \mathbb{E}_{P_{\beta}} \left[\mathbf{w}_{\mathbf{A}} \mathbf{c}_{\mathbf{A}_{k}} \right]$$
(A.14)

$$=\sum_{k=1}^{K} \mathbb{E}_{P_{\beta}(\mathbf{u},\mathbf{A}_{1:k},\mathbf{c}_{\mathbf{A}_{k}})} \mathbb{E}_{P_{\beta}(\mathbf{A}_{k+1:K}|\mathbf{u},\mathbf{A}_{1:k},\mathbf{c}_{\mathbf{A}_{k}})} \left[\left(\prod_{j=1}^{K} \mathbf{w}_{\mathbf{A}_{j}}\right) \times \left(\prod_{j=k+1}^{K} \mathbf{w}_{\mathbf{A}_{j}}\right) \mathbf{c}_{\mathbf{A}_{k}} \right]$$
(A.15)

$$= \sum_{k=1}^{K} \mathbb{E}_{P_{\beta}(\mathbf{u},\mathbf{A}_{1:k},\mathbf{c}_{\mathbf{A}_{k}})} \left[\left(\prod_{j=1}^{k} \mathbf{w}_{\mathbf{A}_{j}}\right) \mathbf{c}_{\mathbf{A}_{k}} \right] \times \mathbb{E}_{P_{\beta}(\mathbf{A}_{k+1:K}|\mathbf{u},\mathbf{A}_{1:k},\mathbf{c}_{\mathbf{A}_{k}})} \left[\left(\prod_{j=k+1}^{K} \mathbf{w}_{\mathbf{A}_{j}}\right) \right] \right]$$
(A.16)

$$=\sum_{k=1}^{K} \mathbb{E}_{P_{\beta}(\mathbf{u},\mathbf{A}_{1:k},\mathbf{c}_{\mathbf{A}_{k}})} \left[(\prod_{j=1}^{k} \mathbf{w}_{\mathbf{A}_{j}}) \mathbf{c}_{\mathbf{A}_{k}} \right]$$
(A.17)

$$=\sum_{k=1}^{K} \mathbb{E}_{P_{\beta}(\mathbf{u},\mathbf{A}_{1:k},\mathbf{c}_{\mathbf{A}_{k}})} \mathbb{E}_{P_{\beta}(\mathbf{A}_{k+1:K}|\mathbf{u},\mathbf{A}_{1:k},\mathbf{c}_{\mathbf{A}_{k}})} \left[\left(\prod_{j=1}^{k} \mathbf{w}_{\mathbf{A}_{j}}\right) \mathbf{c}_{\mathbf{A}_{k}} \right]$$
(A.18)

$$=\sum_{k=1}^{K} \mathbb{E}_{P_{\beta}}\left[\left(\prod_{j=1}^{k} \mathbf{w}_{\mathbf{A}_{j}} \right) \mathbf{c}_{\mathbf{A}_{k}} \right], \tag{A.19}$$

$$= \mathbb{E}_{P_{\beta}} \left[\sum_{k=1}^{K} (\prod_{j=1}^{k} \mathbf{w}_{A_{j}}) \mathbf{c}_{A_{k}} \right], \tag{A.20}$$

where (A.14), (A.16), and (A.20) rely on the linearity of expectation $\mathbb{E}[X + Y] = \mathbb{E}[X] + \mathbb{E}[Y]$ and $\mathbb{E}[aX] = a\mathbb{E}[X]$.

4 DETAILED DERIVATIONS OF THE SECOND-ORDER APPROXIMATION AS SQUARED LOSS

Let $T(\hat{Y}_{ij}, \tilde{y}_j)$ be the second-order approximation of $\ell_{\log}^-(\hat{Y}_{ij})$ at \tilde{y}_j . By denoting $\ell_{\log}^-(\tilde{y}_j)$ as E_{j0} , $\nabla \ell_{\log}^-(\tilde{y}_j)$ as E_{j1} and $\nabla^2 \ell_{\log}^-(\tilde{y}_j)$) as E_{j2} , we have

$$T(\hat{Y}_{ij}, \tilde{y}_j) = E_{j0} + E_{j1}(\hat{Y}_{ij} - \tilde{y}_j) + \frac{E_{j2}}{2}(\hat{Y}_{ij} - \tilde{y}_j)^2$$

$$= \frac{1}{2}E_{j2}(\hat{Y}_{ij} + \frac{E_{j1} - E_{j2}\tilde{y}_j}{E_{j2}})^2$$

$$+ (E_{j0} - E_{j1}\tilde{y}_j + \frac{1}{2}E_{j2}\tilde{y}_j^2 - \frac{1}{2}\frac{(E_{j1} - E_{j2}\tilde{y}_j)^2}{E_{j2}}),$$

(A.21)

where the fist part is a squared loss and the second part can be omitted as a constant for \hat{Y}_{ij} .