

Logistic Regression

- For a label-feature pair (\mathbf{y}, \mathbf{x}) , assume the probability model

$$p(y|\mathbf{x}) = \frac{1}{1 + e^{-y\mathbf{w}^T\mathbf{x}}}.$$

- \mathbf{w} is the parameter to be decided
- Assume

$$(\mathbf{y}_i, \mathbf{x}_i), i = 1, \dots, l$$

are training instances



Logistic Regression (Cont'd)

- Logistic regression finds \mathbf{w} by maximizing the following likelihood

$$\max_{\mathbf{w}} \prod_{i=1}^l p(y_i | \mathbf{x}_i). \quad (1)$$

- Regularized logistic regression

$$\min_{\mathbf{w}} \frac{1}{2} \mathbf{w}^T \mathbf{w} + C \sum_{i=1}^l \log \left(1 + e^{-y_i \mathbf{w}^T \mathbf{x}_i} \right). \quad (2)$$

C : regularization parameter decided by users



Gradient-descent Methods

- Given initial \mathbf{w}^0 and constants $\eta \in (0, 1)$.
- For $k = 0, 1, \dots$
 - Calculate the direction $\mathbf{s}^k = -\nabla f(\mathbf{w}^k)$
 - Find α_k satisfying

$$f(\mathbf{w}^k + \alpha_k \mathbf{s}^k) \leq f(\mathbf{w}^k) + \eta \alpha_k \nabla f(\mathbf{w}^k)^T \mathbf{s}^k$$

- Update $\mathbf{w}^{k+1} = \mathbf{w}^k + \alpha_k \mathbf{s}^k$.



Gradient

We note that gradient takes the following form

$$\nabla f(\mathbf{w}) = \mathbf{w} + C \sum_{i=1}^I \left(\frac{1}{1 + e^{-y_i \mathbf{w}^T \mathbf{x}_i}} - 1 \right) y_i \mathbf{x}_i,$$



Backtracking Line Search

- To find α_k satisfying

$$f(\mathbf{w}^k + \alpha_k \mathbf{s}^k) \leq f(\mathbf{w}^k) + \eta \alpha_k \nabla f(\mathbf{w}^k)^T \mathbf{s}^k$$

- Sequentially check $\alpha_k = 1, 1/2, 1/4, 1/8$
- Recall the function is

$$\frac{1}{2} \mathbf{w}^T \mathbf{w} + C \sum_{i=1}^l \log \left(1 + e^{-y_i \mathbf{w}^T \mathbf{x}_i} \right).$$

- You save time by the property

$$(\mathbf{w} + \alpha \mathbf{d})^T \mathbf{x} = \mathbf{w}^T \mathbf{x} + \alpha \mathbf{d}^T \mathbf{x}$$



Backtracking Line Search (Cont'd)

- You can keep

$$(\mathbf{w}^{k+1})^T \mathbf{x} = (\mathbf{w}^k + \alpha_k \mathbf{s}^k)^T \mathbf{x}$$

for the next iteration

- But **error propagation** is a concern



Stopping Condition

- You can use

$$\|\nabla f(\mathbf{w}^k)\| \leq \epsilon \|\nabla f(\mathbf{w}^0)\|$$

- This is a relative condition
- You may choose

$$\epsilon = 0.01$$

Note that a smaller ϵ will cause more iterations

- You may need to set a maximal number of iterations as well



Newton Methods

- Newton direction

$$\min_{\mathbf{s}} \quad \nabla f(\mathbf{w}^k)^T \mathbf{s} + \frac{1}{2} \mathbf{s}^T \nabla^2 f(\mathbf{w}^k) \mathbf{s}$$

\mathbf{w}^k : current iterate

- This is the same as solving Newton linear system

$$\nabla^2 f(\mathbf{w}^k) \mathbf{s} = -\nabla f(\mathbf{w}^k)$$



Newton Methods (Cont'd)

- Given initial \mathbf{w}^0 and constants $\eta \in (0, 1)$.
- For $k = 0, 1, \dots$
 - Solve Newton linear system to obtain direction \mathbf{s}^k
 - Find α_k satisfying

$$f(\mathbf{w}^k + \alpha_k \mathbf{s}^k) \leq f(\mathbf{w}^k) + \eta \alpha_k \nabla f(\mathbf{w}^k)^T \mathbf{s}^k$$

- Update $\mathbf{w}^{k+1} = \mathbf{w}^k + \alpha_k \mathbf{s}^k$.



Newton Linear System

- Hessian $\nabla^2 f(\mathbf{w}^k)$ **too large** to be stored

$$\nabla^2 f(\mathbf{w}^k) : n \times n, \quad n : \text{number of features}$$

- But Hessian has a special form

$$\nabla^2 f(\mathbf{w}) = \mathcal{I} + CX^TDX$$

- \mathcal{I} : identity.

$$X = \begin{bmatrix} \mathbf{x}_1^T \\ \vdots \\ \mathbf{x}_l^T \end{bmatrix}$$

is the data matrix.



Newton Linear System (Cont'd)

- D diagonal with

$$D_{ii} = \frac{e^{-y_i \mathbf{w}^T \mathbf{x}_i}}{(1 + e^{-y_i \mathbf{w}^T \mathbf{x}_i})^2}$$

- Using Conjugate Gradient method to solve the linear system.

$$\nabla^2 f(\mathbf{w}^k) \mathbf{s} = -\nabla f(\mathbf{w}^k)$$

- Only a sequence of **Hessian-vector products** are needed

$$\nabla^2 f(\mathbf{w}) \mathbf{s} = \mathbf{s} + C \cdot X^T (D(X\mathbf{s}))$$

- Therefore, we have a **Hessian-free** approach



Conjugate Gradient

Given $\xi_k < 1$. Let $\bar{\mathbf{s}}^0 = \mathbf{0}$, $\mathbf{r}^0 = -\nabla f(\mathbf{w}^k)$, and $\mathbf{d}^0 = \mathbf{r}^0$.
For $i = 0, 1, \dots$ (inner iterations)

- If

$$\|\mathbf{r}^i\| \leq \xi_k \|\nabla f(\mathbf{w}^k)\|,$$

then output $\mathbf{s}^k = \bar{\mathbf{s}}^i$ and stop.

- $\alpha_i = \|\mathbf{r}^i\|^2 / ((\mathbf{d}^i)^T \nabla^2 f(\mathbf{w}^k) \mathbf{d}^i)$.
- $\bar{\mathbf{s}}^{i+1} = \bar{\mathbf{s}}^i + \alpha_i \mathbf{d}^i$.
- $\mathbf{r}^{i+1} = \mathbf{r}^i - \alpha_i \nabla^2 f(\mathbf{w}^k) \mathbf{d}^i$.
- $\beta_i = \|\mathbf{r}^{i+1}\|^2 / \|\mathbf{r}^i\|^2$.
- $\mathbf{d}^{i+1} = \mathbf{r}^{i+1} + \beta_i \mathbf{d}^i$.



Conjugate Gradient (Cont'd)

- The CG stopping condition

$$\|\mathbf{r}^i\| \leq \xi_k \|\nabla f(\mathbf{w}^k)\|,$$

is important

- It's a **relative** stopping condition. It becomes strict in the end because of small $\|\nabla f(\mathbf{w}^k)\|$
- Therefore, we **only approximately** obtain the Newton direction



Conjugate Gradient (Cont'd)

- In addition to line search, trust region is another method to ensure sufficient decrease; see the implementation in LIBLINEAR (Lin et al., 2007) <http://www.csie.ntu.edu.tw/~cjlin/liblinear>
- Note that α_i in CG is different from α_k in line search procedure
- Check Golub and Van Loan (1996) for details of conjugate gradient methods



Homework

- Implement
 - Gradient-descent method with line search
 - Newton method with line search and CGon MATLAB, Octave, Python, or R
- MATLAB and Octave may be more suitable because of their good support on matrix operations
- Train the data set “kdd2010 (bridge to algebra)” at LIBSVM Data Set <http://www.csie.ntu.edu.tw/~cjlin/libsvmtools/datasets>
- To read data to MATLAB/Octave, check `libsvmread.c` in the `matlab` directory of LIBLINEAR



Homework (Cont'd)

- Let's use

$$\eta = 0.01, \xi_k = 0.1, \mathbf{w}^0 = \mathbf{0}$$

- For regularization parameter, set $C = 0.1$
It is known that a larger C causes more iterations
- You can check the correctness by comparing with the objective function value of LIBLINEAR (option -s 0 for logistic regression)
- You may start with a smaller data set



Homework (Cont'd)

- For Newton method, you should observe that in final iterations, step size α becomes 1.
If you don't see that, you can try to use a smaller $C = 0.01$ and reduce ϵ to 0.001 or even smaller.
- You want to compare gradient-descent and Newton methods



Homework (Cont'd)

- You may also compare yours with LIBLINEAR. They differ in
 - matlab versus C
 - line search versus trust region to adjust the Newton direction
- We require you to submit
 - A report of ≤ 4 pages (without including code)
 - Your code

