

Summary of Operations I

- We show convolutional layers only and the bias term is omitted
- Also we assume that RELU activation and max pooling are used
- Operations in order

$$\begin{aligned} & \frac{\partial \xi_i}{\partial \text{vec}(S^{m,i})^T} \\ &= \left(\frac{\partial \xi_i}{\partial \text{vec}(Z^{m+1,i})^T} \odot \text{vec}(I[Z^{m+1,i}])^T \right) P_{\text{pool}}^{m,i}. \end{aligned} \quad (1)$$

Summary of Operations II

$$\frac{\partial \xi_i}{\partial W^m} = \frac{\partial \xi_i}{\partial S^{m,i}} \phi(\text{pad}(Z^{m,i}))^T \quad (2)$$

$$\frac{\partial \xi_i}{\partial \text{vec}(Z^{m,i})^T} = \text{vec} \left((W^m)^T \frac{\partial \xi_i}{\partial S^{m,i}} \right)^T P_{\phi}^m P_{\text{pad}}^m, \quad (3)$$

- Note that after (1), we change

a vector $\frac{\partial \xi_i}{\partial \text{vec}(S^{m,i})^T}$ to a matrix $\frac{\partial \xi_i}{\partial S^{m,i}}$

because in (2) and (3), matrix form is needed

- In (1), information of the next layer is used.

Summary of Operations III

- Instead we can do

$$\frac{\partial \xi_i}{\partial \text{vec}(Z^{m,i})^T} \odot \text{vec}(I[Z^{m,i}])^T$$

in the end of the current layer

This becomes the information passed to the
previous layer

- Then only information in the current layer is used

Summary of Operations IV

- Finally an implementation for one convolutional layer:

$$\Delta \leftarrow \text{mat}(\text{vec}(\Delta)^T P_{\text{pool}}^{m,i})$$

$$\frac{\partial \xi_i}{\partial W^m} = \Delta \cdot \phi(\text{pad}(Z^{m,i}))^T$$

$$\Delta \leftarrow \text{vec}((W^m)^T \Delta)^T P_{\phi}^m P_{\text{pad}}^m$$

$$\Delta \leftarrow \Delta \odot I[Z^{m,i}]$$

- A sample segment of code in MATLAB

Summary of Operations V

```
for m = LC : -1 : 1
    dXidS = reshape(vTP(param, model, net, m,
                        dXidS, 'pool_gradient'),
                    model.ch_input(m+1), []);

    phiZ = padding_and_phiZ(model, net, m);
    net.dlossdW{m} = dXidS*phiZ';
    net.dlossdb{m} = dXidS*ones(model.wd_conv(m)*
                                model.ht_conv(m)*S_k, 1);

    if m > 1
```

Summary of Operations VI

```
v = model.weight{m}' * dXidS;  
dXidS = vTP(model, net, m, num_data, v,  
             'phi_gradient');  
  
% vTP_pad  
dXidS = reshape(dXidS, model.ch_input(m),  
                model.ht_pad(m),  
                model.wd_pad(m), []);  
p = model.wd_pad_added(m);  
dXidS = dXidS(:, p+1:p+model.ht_input(m),  
              p+1:p+model.wd_input(m), :)
```

Summary of Operations VII

```
% activation function
dXidS = reshape(dXidS, model.ch_input(m),
                []) .*(net.Z{m} > 0);

end
```

Storing $\phi(\text{pad}(Z^{m,i}))$

- From the above summary, we see that

$$\phi(\text{pad}(Z^{m,i}))$$

is calculated twice in both forward and backward processes

- If this expansion is expensive, we can store it
- But memory is a concern as this is a huge matrix
- So this setting of storing $\phi(\text{pad}(Z^{m,i}))$ trades space for time. It's more suitable for CPU environments

Complexity I

- To see where the computational bottleneck is, it's important to check the complexity of major operations
- Assume I is the number of data (for the case of calculating the whole gradient)
- For stochastic gradient, I becomes the size of a mini-batch

Complexity II

- Forward:

$$\begin{aligned} S^{m,i} &= W^m \text{mat}(P_{\phi}^m P_{\text{pad}}^m \text{vec}(Z^{m,i})) \\ &= W^m \phi(\text{pad}(Z^{m,i})) \end{aligned}$$

$$\phi(\text{pad}(Z^{m,i})) : \mathcal{O}(l \times h^m h^m d^m a_{\text{conv}}^m b_{\text{conv}}^m) \quad (4)$$

$$W^m \phi(\cdot) : \mathcal{O}(l \times d^{m+1} h^m h^m d^m a_{\text{conv}}^m b_{\text{conv}}^m)$$

$$Z^{m+1,i} = \text{mat}(P_{\text{pool}}^{m,i} \text{vec}(\sigma(S^{m,i})))$$

$$\begin{aligned} &\mathcal{O}(l \times d^{m+1} a_{\text{conv}}^m b_{\text{conv}}^m) \\ &= \mathcal{O}(l \times h^m h^m d^{m+1} a^{m+1} b^{m+1}) \end{aligned}$$

Complexity III

See also (4) as for pooling we also have a ϕ to generate sub-images

- Backward:

$$\Delta \leftarrow \text{mat}(\text{vec}(\Delta)^T P_{\text{pool}}^{m,i})$$

Size of Δ same as $S^{m,i}$ so cost is

$$\mathcal{O}(l \times d^{m+1} a_{\text{conv}}^m b_{\text{conv}}^m)$$

$$\frac{\partial \xi_i}{\partial W^m} = \Delta \phi(\text{pad}(Z^{m,i}))^T$$

Complexity IV

$$\mathcal{O}(l \times d^{m+1} a_{\text{conv}}^m b_{\text{conv}}^m h^m h^m d^m).$$

$$\Delta \leftarrow \text{vec} \left((W^m)^T \Delta \right)^T P_{\phi}^m P_{\text{pad}}^m$$

$$(W^m)^T \Delta : \mathcal{O}(l \times h^m h^m d^m d^{m+1} a_{\text{conv}}^m b_{\text{conv}}^m)$$

$$\text{vec}(\cdot) P_{\phi}^m : \mathcal{O}(l \times h^m h^m d^m a_{\text{conv}}^m b_{\text{conv}}^m) \quad (5)$$

For (5) we convert a matrix of

$$h^m h^m d^m \times a_{\text{conv}}^m b_{\text{conv}}^m$$

to a smaller matrix

$$d^m \times a_{\text{pad}}^m b_{\text{pad}}^m$$

Complexity V

- We see that matrix-matrix products are the bottleneck
- If so, why check others?
- The issue is that matrix-matrix products may be **better optimized**

Discussion: Pooling and Differentiability I

- Recall we have

$$Z^{m+1,i} = \text{mat}(P_{\text{pool}}^{m,i} \text{vec}(\sigma(S^{m,i})))_{d^{m+1} \times a^{m+1} b^{m+1}},$$

- We note that

$$P_{\text{pool}}^{m,i}$$

is not a constant 0/1 matrix

- It depends on $\sigma(S^{m,i})$ to decide the positions of 0 and 1.

Discussion: Pooling and Differentiability II

- Thus like the RELU activation function, max pooling is another place to cause that $f(\theta)$ is **not differentiable**
- However, it is **almost differentiable** around the current point
- Consider

$$f(A) = \max \left(\begin{bmatrix} A_{11} & A_{12} \\ A_{21} & A_{22} \end{bmatrix} \right)$$

and

$$A_{11} > A_{12}, A_{21}, A_{22}$$

Discussion: Pooling and Differentiability III

- Then

$$\nabla f(A) = \begin{bmatrix} 1 \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \end{bmatrix} \quad \text{at } A = \begin{bmatrix} A_{11} & A_{12} \\ A_{21} & A_{22} \end{bmatrix}$$

- This explains why we can use $P_{\text{pool}}^{m,i}$ in function and gradient evaluations