

Project: Robustness of Newton Methods and Running Time Analysis

Last updated: May 25, 2020

Outline

- 1 Robustness of Newton methods
- 2 Running time of two implementations of Gauss-Newton matrix-matrix products

Outline

- 1 Robustness of Newton methods
- 2 Running time of two implementations of Gauss-Newton matrix-matrix products

Goal I

- From past projects we have known that stochastic gradient is sensitive to the learning rate
- We would like to check the situation of Newton methods

Settings I

- All settings are the same as before
- However, to avoid lengthy training time, let's consider a 5000-instance subset at this directory
- We still use the full test data

Parameters Considered (Newton Method)

- The Newton implementation is available in simpleNN
- You can use either Python or MATLAB implementations
- Check README for their use
- We check the following parameters
 - Percentage of data for subsampled Hessian: 5%, 10%
 - With/without

Levenberg-Marquardt method

Parameters Considered (Stochastic Gradient) I

- Everything is the same as before:
simple stochastic gradient + momentum
- We check the following initial learning rates

$$10^{-4}, 10^{-3}, 10^{-2}, 10^{-1}$$

Checking the Convergence I

- Try to see the relation between training time and test accuracy
- You now have
 - 4 settings for Newton
 - and
 - 4 settings for stochastic gradient
- You need to design maybe figures for the comparison
- The comparison can be, for example,
 - training time versus test accuracy

Checking the Convergence II

- Visualization is always a concern. For example, you may not want to draw eight curves in the same figure

Outline

- 1 Robustness of Newton methods
- 2 Running time of two implementations of Gauss-Newton matrix-matrix products

Gauss-Newton Matrix-vector Products I

- We talked about two ways
- One is solely by back propagation. The complexity is the lowest, but the memory consumption is huge
- The other is

forward + backward

- We want to analyze their running time by using the MATLAB code.
- An option `-Jacobian` decides which one is used.
- You want to git pull the latest code

Gauss-Newton Matrix-vector Products II

- For other parameters let's use the default ones (e.g., 5% subsampled Gauss Newton)
- For this part we should use **full** data. Otherwise each iteration takes too little time and the results may not be accurate
- No need to run many iterations.
- By profiling we may check if for the matrix-matrix products, the result is consistent with our complexity analysis

Gauss-Newton Matrix-vector Products III

- How about the situation of the total time? Any operations with lower complexity but take more time? Why did that happen?

Presentations and Reports I

- Presentations for projects 5 and 6

proj ID

5 ntust_f10802006

6 b05201015

5 b05201024

6 b05201037

5 t08303135

5 b06502060

5 r08521508

6 d08525008

6 b05701231

Presentations and Reports II

6 b06901143

5 t08902130

5 b06902124

6 b05902035

5 b05902050

5 b05902105

5 d08921024

6 a08922103

5 a08922119

6 a08922203

6 d08922029

Presentations and Reports III

5 d08922034

5 p08922005

6 r08922019

6 r08922082

5 r08922163

5 r07922100

6 r07922154

6 r08922a07

5 d04941016

6 r08942062

6 a08946101

Presentations and Reports IV

please do a 10-minute presentation (9-minute the contents and 1-minute Q&A)