## Numerical Methods 2022 — Midterm 2

## Solutions

**Problem 1** (10 pts). Find the tightest possible constants  $c_1$  and  $c_2$  satisfying

 $c_1 \|x\|_1 \le \|x\|_{\infty} \le c_2 \|x\|_1 \quad \forall x \in \mathbb{R}^n.$ 

You must prove that your  $c_1$  and  $c_2$  are the tightest.

Solution.

$$||x||_{1} = \sum_{i=1}^{n} |x_{i}| \le n \max_{i} |x_{i}| = n ||x||_{\infty} \implies c_{1} = \frac{1}{n}$$
$$||x||_{\infty} = \max_{i} |x_{i}| \le \sum_{i=1}^{n} |x_{i}| = ||x||_{1} \implies c_{2} = 1$$

For x = (1, 1, ..., 1), we have  $\frac{1}{n} ||x||_1 = 1 = ||x||_{\infty}$ . This shows that  $c_1$  is tight. For x = (1, 0, 0, ..., 0), we have  $||x||_{\infty} = 1 = ||x||_1$ . Therefore,  $c_2$  is also tight.

**Problem 2** (30 pts). Consider a different way to define the matrix norm for a matrix  $A \in \mathbb{R}^{n \times n}$ :

$$||A||_{\mathrm{F}} = \sqrt{\sum_{i=1}^{n} \sum_{j=1}^{n} A_{ij}^{2}}$$

This is called the Frobenius norm. In answering the following questions, you may utilize the Cauchy-Schwarz inequality in your proof:

$$\left(\sum_{i=1}^{n} u_i v_i\right)^2 \le \left(\sum_{i=1}^{n} u_i^2\right) \left(\sum_{i=1}^{n} v_i^2\right) \text{ where } u_i, v_i \in \mathbb{R}$$

(a) (15 pts) Prove that Frobenius norm satisfies the three properties:

$$\|A\| \ge 0 \tag{1}$$

$$||A + B|| \le ||A|| + ||B|| \tag{2}$$

$$\|\alpha A\| = |\alpha| \|A\| \tag{3}$$

for  $A, B \in \mathbb{R}^{n \times n}$  and  $\alpha \in \mathbb{R}$ .

(b) (15 pts) Does Frobenius norm satisfy the following condition?

 $||Ax||_2 \le ||A||_{\mathsf{F}} ||x||_2 \quad \forall x \in \mathbb{R}^n, A \in \mathbb{R}^{n \times n}$ 

If so, provide a proof. If not, provide a counter example.

Solution.

(a) Since  $A_{ij}^2 \ge 0$ , we know that  $\sum_i \sum_j A_{ij}^2 \ge 0$  and thus  $||A||_F = \sqrt{\sum_i \sum_j A_{ij}^2} \ge 0$ . This proves (1). For (2), we have

$$\|A + B\|_{F}^{2} = \sum_{i} \sum_{j} (A_{ij} + B_{ij})^{2}$$
  

$$= \sum_{i} \sum_{j} A_{ij}^{2} + \sum_{i} \sum_{j} B_{ij}^{2} + 2 \sum_{i} \sum_{j} A_{ij} B_{ij}$$
  

$$\leq \|A\|_{F}^{2} + \|B\|_{F}^{2} + 2 \sqrt{(\sum_{i} \sum_{j} A_{ij}^{2})(\sum_{i} \sum_{j} B_{ij}^{2})}$$
 (Cauchy-Schwarz)  

$$= \|A\|_{F}^{2} + \|B\|_{F}^{2} + 2\|A\|_{F}\|B\|_{F}$$
  

$$= (\|A\|_{F} + \|B\|_{F})^{2}.$$

This implies that  $||A + B||_F \le ||A||_F + ||B||_F$ . For (3), we have

$$\|\alpha A\|_F = \sqrt{\sum_i \sum_j (\alpha A_{ij})^2}$$
$$= \sqrt{\alpha^2} \sqrt{\sum_i \sum_j A_{ij}^2}$$
$$= |\alpha| \|A\|_F.$$

(b) We have

$$\|Ax\|_{2} = \sqrt{\sum_{i} \left(\sum_{j} A_{ij} x_{j}\right)^{2}}$$

$$\leq \sqrt{\sum_{i} \left(\left(\sum_{j} A_{ij}^{2}\right) \left(\sum_{j} x_{j}^{2}\right)\right)}$$

$$= \sqrt{\left(\sum_{j} x_{j}^{2}\right) \left(\sum_{i} \sum_{j} A_{ij}^{2}\right)}$$

$$= \|A\|_{F} \|x\|_{2}.$$
(Cauchy-Schwarz)

Problem 3 (20 pts). Redo Problem 2, but replace Frobenius norm with the following max norm:

$$||A||_{\max} = \max_{i,j} |A_{ij}|$$

- (a) (10 pts) Prove properties (1), (2) and (3) for max norm.
- (b) (10 pts) Does max norm satisfies the following condition?

 $||Ax||_2 \le ||A||_{\max} ||x||_2 \quad \forall x \in \mathbb{R}^n, A \in \mathbb{R}^{n \times n}$ 

If so, provide a proof. If not, provide a counter example.

Solution.

(a) Since  $|A_{ij}| \ge 0$ , we know that  $||A||_{\max} = \max_{i,j} |A_{ij}| \ge 0$ . This proves (1). For (2), we have

$$||A + B||_{\max} = \max_{i,j} |A_{ij} + B_{ij}|$$
  

$$\leq \max_{i,j} (|A_{ij}| + |B_{ij}|)$$
  

$$\leq \max_{i,j} \left( |A_{ij}| + \max_{i',j'} |B_{i'j'}| \right)$$
  

$$= \max_{i,j} |A_{ij}| + \max_{i',j'} |B_{i'j'}|$$
  

$$= ||A||_{\max} + ||B||_{\max}.$$

For (3), we have

$$\|\alpha A\|_{\max} = \max_{i,j} |\alpha A_{ij}|$$
$$= |\alpha| \max_{i,j} |A_{ij}|$$
$$= |\alpha| ||A||_{\max}.$$

(b) The inequality does not hold. For example, let

$$A = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 1 \\ 1 & 1 \end{bmatrix}, x = \begin{bmatrix} 1 \\ 1 \end{bmatrix}.$$

Then  $||Ax|| = 2\sqrt{2}$ ,  $||A||_{\max} = 1$  and  $||x||_2 = \sqrt{2}$ . Therefore,  $||Ax|| > ||A||_{\max} ||x||_2$ .

**Problem 4** (10 pts). Assume A is a sparse matrix stored in the following compressed column format

- value array: a
- row indices array: row\_ind
- column pointer array: col\_ptr

and n is the number of the columns. Please give the MATLAB codes of these functions. You can only use the above information. For example, you cannot use a function to know the length of an array.

(a) (5 pts) the max norm of A

(b) (5 pts) the Frobenius norm of A

## Solution.

```
(a) v = 0.0
for i = 1:col_ptr(n+1)-1
if a(i) > 0
    abs_a = a(i);
else
    abs_a = -a(i);
```

```
end
if abs_a > v
v = abs_a;
end
end
(b) v = 0.0;
for i = 1:col_ptr(n+1)-1
v = v + a(i)^2;
end
v = sqrt(v);
```

Note: You cannot use any special MATLAB function as we have mentioned that in the problem statement.

## Problem 5 (30 pts).

(a) (15 pts) On the page 10 of the slide "sparse\_iterative1.pdf", we have a theorem to check the convergence of Jacobi methods with the assumption

$$\rho(M^{-1}N) < 1.$$

Now, we drop this assumption but directly check whether

$$(M^{-1}N)^k \to 0, \text{ as } k \to \infty$$
 (4)

with the matrix

$$A = \begin{bmatrix} 2 & 0 & -1 \\ 1 & -7 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & -3 \end{bmatrix}.$$

Specifically, please derive the analytic form of  $(M^{-1}N)^k, \forall k$ , and show that (4) holds.

(b) (15 pts) Let us check the Gauss-Seidel method with the iteration

$$x^{(k+1)} = L^{-1}(b + Ux^{(k)}),$$

where

$$L = \begin{bmatrix} a_{11} & 0 & \cdots & 0 \\ a_{21} & a_{22} & \ddots & 0 \\ \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & 0 \\ a_{n1} & a_{n2} & \cdots & a_{nn} \end{bmatrix}, U = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & -a_{12} & \cdots & -a_{1n} \\ 0 & 0 & \ddots & \vdots \\ \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & -a_{(n-1)n} \\ 0 & 0 & \cdots & 0 \end{bmatrix}$$

Please check whether

$$(L^{-1}U)^k \to 0, \text{ as } k \to \infty$$
 (5)

with the same matrix A in (a), and explain why (5) implies the convergence of Gauss-Seidel Method. Specifically, from (5) you must derive the relationship between

$$x^{k+1} - x^*$$
 and  $x^k - x^*$ 

and also the analytic from of  $(L^{-1}U)^k$ .

Solution.

(a) We have

$$M = \begin{bmatrix} 2 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & -7 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & -3 \end{bmatrix}, N = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 0 & 1 \\ -1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & -1 & 0 \end{bmatrix}.$$

Then, we calculate the power of  $M^{-1}N$  to these general forms

$$\begin{split} (M^{-1}N)^{3n+1} &= \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 0 & 1/(2 \cdot (2 \cdot 3 \cdot 7)^n) \\ 1/(7 \cdot (2 \cdot 3 \cdot 7)^n) & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1/(3 \cdot (2 \cdot 3 \cdot 7)^n) & 0 \end{bmatrix}, n = 0, 1, \dots \\ (M^{-1}N)^{3n+2} &= \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 1/((2 \cdot 3) \cdot (2 \cdot 3 \cdot 7)^n) & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1/((2 \cdot 7) \cdot (2 \cdot 3 \cdot 7)^n) \\ 1/((3 \cdot 7) \cdot (2 \cdot 3 \cdot 7)^n) & 0 & 0 \\ 1/((3 \cdot 7)^n & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1/(2 \cdot 3 \cdot 7)^n & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1/(2 \cdot 3 \cdot 7)^n \end{bmatrix}, n = 1, 2, \dots \end{split}$$

and we derive the general formula

The equation (6) implies that

$$(M^{-1}N)^k \to 0$$
, as  $k \to \infty$ ,

since

$$\left|\frac{1}{2\cdot 3\cdot 7}\right| < 1.$$

Therefore, we have that

$$x_{k+1} - x^* = (M^{-1}N)^k (x_1 - x^*)$$

so it implies that

$$x_{k+1} - x^* \to 0$$
, as  $k \to \infty$ ,

which means we can use Jacobi Method to get the solution  $x^* = A^{-1}b$ .

(b) We have

$$L = \begin{bmatrix} 2 & 0 & 0 \\ 1 & -7 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & -3 \end{bmatrix}, U = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix},$$

and

$$L^{-1} = \begin{bmatrix} 1/2 & 0 & 0\\ 1/(2 \cdot 7) & -1/7 & 0\\ 1/(2 \cdot 3 \cdot 7) & -1/(3 \cdot 7) & -1/3 \end{bmatrix}.$$

Thus,

$$\begin{split} L^{-1}U &= \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 0 & 1/2 \\ 0 & 0 & 1/(2 \cdot 7) \\ 0 & 0 & 1/(2 \cdot 3 \cdot 7) \end{bmatrix} \\ (L^{-1}U)^2 &= \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 0 & 1/(2 \cdot (2 \cdot 3 \cdot 7)) \\ 0 & 0 & 1/((2 \cdot 7) \cdot (2 \cdot 3 \cdot 7)) \\ 0 & 0 & 1/(2 \cdot (2 \cdot 3 \cdot 7)^2) \end{bmatrix} \\ (L^{-1}U)^3 &= \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 0 & 1/(2 \cdot (2 \cdot 3 \cdot 7)^2) \\ 0 & 0 & 1/((2 \cdot 7) \cdot (2 \cdot 3 \cdot 7)^2) \\ 0 & 0 & 1/((2 \cdot 3 \cdot 7)^3) \end{bmatrix} \end{split}$$

and the general form

$$(L^{-1}U)^{k} = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 0 & 1/(2 \cdot (2 \cdot 3 \cdot 7)^{k-1}) \\ 0 & 0 & 1/((2 \cdot 7) \cdot (2 \cdot 3 \cdot 7)^{k-1}) \\ 0 & 0 & 1/(2 \cdot 3 \cdot 7)^{k} \end{bmatrix},$$

which implies that

$$(L^{-1}U)^k \to 0$$
, as  $k \to \infty$ .

For the reason that (5) implies the convergence of Gauss-Seidel Method, we assume that there exists a solution

$$x^* = A^{-1}b.$$

Therefore, the iteration

$$x^{(k+1)} = L^{-1}(b + Ux^{(k)})$$

becomes

$$\begin{aligned} x^{(k+1)} &= L^{-1}(Ax^* + Ux^{(k)}) \\ &= L^{-1}((L-U)x^* + Ux^{(k)}) \\ &= L^{-1}(L-U)x^* + L^{-1}Ux^{(k)} \\ &= x^* - L^{-1}Ux^* + L^{-1}Ux^{(k)} \\ &= x^* + L^{-1}U(x^{(k)} - x^*) \end{aligned}$$

That is,

$$(x^{(k+1)} - x^*) = L^{-1}U(x^{(k)} - x^*)$$
  
$$\Rightarrow (x^{(k+1)} - x^*) = (L^{-1}U)^k(x^{(1)} - x^*),$$

 $\mathbf{SO}$ 

$$(L^{-1}U)^k \to 0$$
, as  $k \to \infty$ 

implies that

$$x^{(k+1)} \to x^*$$
, as  $k \to \infty$ .