

Functions of Bounded Variation and Rectifiable Curves

Functions of bounded variation

6.1 Determine which of the following functions are of bounded variation on $[0, 1]$.

(a) $f(x) = x^2 \sin(1/x)$ if $x \neq 0$, $f(0) = 0$.

(b) $f(x) = \sqrt{x} \sin(1/x)$ if $x \neq 0$, $f(0) = 0$.

Proof: (a) Since

$$f'(x) = 2x \sin(1/x) - \cos(1/x) \text{ for } x \in (0, 1] \text{ and } f'(0) = 0,$$

we know that $f'(x)$ is bounded on $[0, 1]$, in fact, $|f'(x)| \leq 3$ on $[0, 1]$. Hence, f is of bounded variation on $[0, 1]$.

(b) First, we choose $n + 1$ be an even integer so that $\frac{1}{\frac{\pi}{2}(n+1)} < 1$, and thus consider a partition $P = \left\{ 0 = x_0, x_1 = \frac{1}{\frac{\pi}{2}}, x_2 = \frac{1}{2\frac{\pi}{2}}, \dots, x_n = \frac{1}{n\frac{\pi}{2}}, x_{n+1} = \frac{1}{(n+1)\frac{\pi}{2}}, x_{n+2} = 1 \right\}$, then we have

$$\sum_{k=1}^{n+2} |\Delta f_k| \geq 2\sqrt{\frac{2}{\pi}} \left(\sum_{k=1}^n \sqrt{1/k} \right).$$

Since $\sum \sqrt{1/k}$ diverges to $+\infty$, we know that f is not of bounded variation on $[0, 1]$.

6.2 A function f , defined on $[a, b]$, is said to satisfy a uniform Lipschitz condition of order $\alpha > 0$ on $[a, b]$ if there exists a constant $M > 0$ such that $|f(x) - f(y)| < M|x - y|^\alpha$ for all x and y in $[a, b]$. (Compare with Exercise 5.1.)

(a) If f is such a function, show that $\alpha > 1$ implies f is constant on $[a, b]$, whereas $\alpha = 1$ implies f is of bounded variation $[a, b]$.

Proof: As $\alpha > 1$, we consider, for $x \neq y$, where $x, y \in [a, b]$,

$$0 \leq \frac{|f(x) - f(y)|}{|x - y|} < M|x - y|^{\alpha-1}.$$

Hence, $f'(x)$ exists on $[a, b]$, and we have $f'(x) = 0$ on $[a, b]$. So, we know that f is constant.

As $\alpha = 1$, consider any partition $P = \{a = x_0, x_1, \dots, x_n = b\}$, we have

$$\sum_{k=1}^n |\Delta f_k| \leq M \sum_{k=1}^n |x_{k+1} - x_k| = M(b - a).$$

That is, f is of bounded variation on $[a, b]$.

(b) Give an example of a function f satisfying a uniform Lipschitz condition of order $\alpha < 1$ on $[a, b]$ such that f is not of bounded variation on $[a, b]$.

Proof: First, note that x^α satisfies uniform Lipschitz condition of order α , where $0 < \alpha < 1$. Choosing $\beta > 1$ such that $\alpha\beta < 1$ and let $M = \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{k^\beta}$ since the series converges. So, we have $1 = \frac{1}{M} \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{k^\beta}$.

Define a function f as follows. We partition $[0, 1]$ into infinitely many subintervals. Consider

$$x_0 = 0, x_1 - x_0 = \frac{1}{M} \frac{1}{1^\beta}, x_2 - x_1 = \frac{1}{M} \frac{1}{2^\beta}, \dots, x_n - x_{n-1} = \frac{1}{M} \frac{1}{n^\beta}, \dots$$

And in every subinterval $[x_i, x_{i+1}]$, where $i = 0, 1, \dots$, we define

$$f(x) = \left(\left| x - \frac{x_i + x_{i+1}}{2} \right| \right)^\alpha,$$

then f is a continuous function and is not bounded variation on $[0, 1]$ since $\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \left(\frac{1}{2M} \frac{1}{k^\beta} \right)^\alpha$ diverges.

In order to show that f satisfies uniform Lipschitz condition of order α , we consider three cases.

(1) If $x, y \in [x_i, x_{i+1}]$, and $x, y \in [x_i, \frac{x_i + x_{i+1}}{2}]$ or $x, y \in [\frac{x_i + x_{i+1}}{2}, x_{i+1}]$, then

$$|f(x) - f(y)| = |x^\alpha - y^\alpha| \leq |x - y|^\alpha.$$

(2) If $x, y \in [x_i, x_{i+1}]$, and $x \in [x_i, \frac{x_i + x_{i+1}}{2}]$ or $y \in [\frac{x_i + x_{i+1}}{2}, x_{i+1}]$, then there is a $z \in [x_i, \frac{x_i + x_{i+1}}{2}]$ such that $f(y) = f(z)$. So,

$$|f(x) - f(y)| = |f(x) - f(z)| \leq |x^\alpha - z^\alpha| \leq |x - z|^\alpha \leq |x - y|^\alpha.$$

(3) If $x \in [x_i, x_{i+1}]$ and $y \in [x_j, x_{j+1}]$, where $i > j$.

If $x \in [x_i, \frac{x_i + x_{i+1}}{2}]$, then there is a $z \in [x_i, \frac{x_i + x_{i+1}}{2}]$ such that $f(y) = f(z)$. So,

$$|f(x) - f(y)| = |f(x) - f(z)| \leq |x^\alpha - z^\alpha| \leq |x - z|^\alpha \leq |x - y|^\alpha.$$

Similarly for $x \in [\frac{x_i + x_{i+1}}{2}, x_{i+1}]$.

Remark: Here is another example. Since it will use **Fourier Theory**, we do not give a proof. We just write it down as a reference.

$$f(t) = \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \frac{\cos(3^k t)}{3^{k\alpha}}.$$

(c) Give an example of a function f which is of bounded variation on $[a, b]$ but which satisfies no uniform Lipschitz condition on $[a, b]$.

Proof: Since a function satisfies uniform Lipschitz condition of order $\alpha > 0$, it must be continuous. So, we consider

$$f(x) = \begin{cases} x & \text{if } x \in [a, b) \\ b + 1 & \text{if } x = b. \end{cases}$$

Trivially, f is not continuous but increasing. So, the function is desired.

Remark: Here is a good problem, we write it as follows. If f satisfies

$$|f(x) - f(y)| \leq K|x - y|^{1/2} \text{ for } x \in [0, 1], \text{ where } f(0) = 0.$$

define

$$g(x) = \begin{cases} \frac{f(x)}{x^{1/3}} & \text{if } x \in (0, 1] \\ 0 & \text{if } x = 0. \end{cases}$$

Then g satisfies uniform Lipschitz condition of order $1/6$.

Proof: Note that if one of x , and y is zero, the result is trivial. So, we may consider $0 < y < x \leq 1$ as follows. Consider

$$\begin{aligned} |g(x) - g(y)| &= \left| \frac{f(x)}{x^{1/3}} - \frac{f(y)}{y^{1/3}} \right| \\ &= \left| \frac{f(x)}{x^{1/3}} - \frac{f(y)}{x^{1/3}} + \frac{f(y)}{x^{1/3}} - \frac{f(y)}{y^{1/3}} \right| \\ &\leq \left| \frac{f(x)}{x^{1/3}} - \frac{f(y)}{x^{1/3}} \right| + \left| \frac{f(y)}{x^{1/3}} - \frac{f(y)}{y^{1/3}} \right|. \end{aligned}$$

*

For the part

$$\begin{aligned}
 \left| \frac{f(x)}{x^{1/3}} - \frac{f(y)}{y^{1/3}} \right| &= \frac{1}{x^{1/3}} |f(x) - f(y)| \\
 &\leq \frac{K}{x^{1/3}} |x - y|^{1/2} \text{ by hypothesis} \\
 &\leq K |x - y|^{1/2} |x - y|^{-1/3} \text{ since } x \geq x - y > 0 \\
 &= K |x - y|^{1/6}.
 \end{aligned}$$

A

For another part $\left| \frac{f(y)}{x^{1/3}} - \frac{f(y)}{y^{1/3}} \right|$, we consider two cases.

(1) $x \geq 2y$ which implies that $x > x - y \geq y > 0$,

$$\begin{aligned}
 \left| \frac{f(y)}{x^{1/3}} - \frac{f(y)}{y^{1/3}} \right| &= |f(y)| \left| \frac{x^{1/3} - y^{1/3}}{(xy)^{1/3}} \right| \\
 &\leq |f(y)| \left| \frac{(x - y)^{1/3}}{(xy)^{1/3}} \right| \text{ since } |x^{1/3} - y^{1/3}| \leq |x - y|^{1/3} \text{ for all } x, y \geq 0 \\
 &\leq |f(y)| \left| \frac{x^{1/3}}{(xy)^{1/3}} \right| \text{ since } (x - y)^{1/3} \leq x^{1/3} \\
 &\leq |f(y)| \left| \frac{1}{y^{1/3}} \right| \\
 &\leq K \frac{|y|^{1/2}}{|y|^{1/3}} \text{ by hypothesis} \\
 &\leq K |y|^{1/6} \\
 &\leq K |x - y|^{1/6} \text{ since } y \leq x - y.
 \end{aligned}$$

B

(2) $x < 2y$ which implies that $x > y > x - y > 0$,

$$\begin{aligned}
 \left| \frac{f(y)}{x^{1/3}} - \frac{f(y)}{y^{1/3}} \right| &= |f(y)| \left| \frac{x^{1/3} - y^{1/3}}{(xy)^{1/3}} \right| \\
 &\leq |f(y)| \left| \frac{(x - y)^{1/3}}{(xy)^{1/3}} \right| \text{ since } |x^{1/3} - y^{1/3}| \leq |x - y|^{1/3} \text{ for all } x, y \geq 0 \\
 &\leq |f(y)| \left| \frac{(x - y)^{1/3}}{y^{2/3}} \right| \text{ since } x > y \\
 &\leq K |y|^{1/2} \left| \frac{(x - y)^{1/3}}{y^{2/3}} \right| \text{ by hypothesis} \\
 &\leq K |y|^{-1/6} |x - y|^{1/3} \\
 &\leq K |x - y|^{-1/6} |x - y|^{1/3} \text{ since } y > x - y \\
 &= K |x - y|^{1/6}.
 \end{aligned}$$

C

So, by (A)-(C), (*) tells that g satisfies uniform Lipschitz condition of order $1/6$.

Note: Here is a general result. Let $0 \leq \beta < \alpha < 2\beta$. If f satisfies

$$|f(x) - f(y)| \leq K|x - y|^\alpha \text{ for } x \in [0, 1], \text{ where } f(0) = 0.$$

define

$$g(x) = \begin{cases} \frac{f(x)}{x^\beta} & \text{if } x \in (0, 1] \\ 0 & \text{if } x = 0. \end{cases}$$

Then g satisfies uniform Lipschitz condition of order $\alpha - \beta$. The proof is similar, so we omit it.

6.3 Show that a polynomial f is of bounded variation on every compact interval $[a, b]$. Describe a method for finding the total variation of f on $[a, b]$ if the zeros of the derivative f' are known.

Proof: If f is a constant, then the total variation of f on $[a, b]$ is zero. So, we may assume that f is a polynomial of degree $n \geq 1$, and consider $f'(x) = 0$ by two cases as follows.

(1) If there is no point such that $f'(x) = 0$, then by **Intermediate Value Theorem of Differentiability**, we know that $f'(x) > 0$ on $[a, b]$, or $f'(x) < 0$ on $[a, b]$. So, it implies that f is monotonic. Hence, the total variation of f on $[a, b]$ is $|f(b) - f(a)|$.

(2) If there are m points such that $f'(x) = 0$, say $a = x_0 \leq x_1 < x_2 < \dots < x_m \leq b = x_{m+1}$, where $1 \leq m \leq n$, then we know the monotone property of function f . So, the total variation of f on $[a, b]$ is

$$\sum_{i=1}^{m+1} |f(x_i) - f(x_{i-1})|.$$

Remark: Here is another proof. Let f be a polynomial on $[a, b]$, then we know that f' is bounded on $[a, b]$ since f' is also polynomial which implies that it is continuous. Hence, we know that f is of bounded variation on $[a, b]$.

6.4 A nonempty set S of real-valued functions defined on an interval $[a, b]$ is called a linear space of functions if it has the following two properties:

(a) If $f \in S$, then $cf \in S$ for every real number c .

(b) If $f \in S$ and $g \in S$, then $f + g \in S$.

Theorem 6.9 shows that the set V of all functions of bounded variation on $[a, b]$ is a linear space. If S is any linear space which contains all monotonic functions on $[a, b]$, prove that $V \subseteq S$. This can be described by saying that **the functions of bounded variation form the smallest linear space containing all monotonic functions**.

Proof: It is directlt from Theorem 6.9 and some facts in Linear Algebra. We omit the detail.

6.5 Let f be a real-valued function defined on $[0, 1]$ such that $f(0) > 0$, $f(x) \neq x$ for all x , and $f(x) \leq f(y)$ whenever $x \leq y$. Let $A = \{x : f(x) > x\}$. Prove that $\sup A \in A$, and that $f(1) > 1$.

Proof: Note that since $f(0) > 0$, A is not empty. Suppose that $\sup A := a \notin A$, i.e., $f(a) < a$ since $f(x) \neq x$ for all x . So, given any $\varepsilon_n > 0$, then there is a $b_n \in A$ such that

$$a - \varepsilon_n < b_n. \quad *$$

In addition,

$$b_n < f(b_n) \text{ since } b_n \in A. \quad **$$

So, by (*) and (**), we have (let $\varepsilon_n \rightarrow 0^+$),

$$a \leq f(a^-) (< f(a)) \text{ since } f \text{ is monotonic increasing.}$$

which contradicts to $f(a) < a$. Hence, we know that $\sup A \in A$.

Claim that $1 = \sup A$. Suppose **NOT**, that is, $a < 1$. Then we have

$$a < f(a) < f(1) < 1.$$

Since $a = \sup A$, consider $x \in (a, f(a))$, then

$$f(x) < x$$

which implies that

$$f(a^+) \leq a$$

which contradicts to $a < f(a)$. So, we know that $\sup A = 1$. Hence, we have proved that $f(1) > 1$.

Remark: The reader should keep the method in mind if we ask how to show that $f(1) > 1$ directly. The set A is helpful to do this. Or equivalently, let f be strictly increasing on $[0, 1]$ with $f(0) > 0$. If $f(1) \leq 1$, then there exists a point $x \in [0, 1]$ such that $f(x) = x$.

6.6 If f is defined everywhere in R^1 , then f is said to be of bounded variation on $(-\infty, +\infty)$ if f is of bounded variation on every finite interval and if there exists a positive number M such that $V_f(a, b) < M$ for all compact interval $[a, b]$. The total variation of f on $(-\infty, +\infty)$ is then defined to be the sup of all numbers $V_f(a, b)$, $-\infty < a < b < +\infty$, and denoted by $V_f(-\infty, +\infty)$. Similar definitions apply to half open infinite intervals $[a, +\infty)$ and $(-\infty, b]$.

(a) State and prove theorems for the infinite interval $(-\infty, +\infty)$ analogous to the Theorems 6.7, 6.9, 6.10, 6.11, and 6.12.

(Theorem 6.7*) Let $f : R \rightarrow R$ be of bounded variation, then f is bounded on R .

Proof: Given any $x \in R$, then $x \in [0, a]$ or $x \in [a, 0]$. If $x \in [0, a]$, then f is bounded on $[0, a]$ with

$$|f(x)| \leq |f(0)| + V_f(0, a) \leq |f(0)| + V_f(-\infty, +\infty).$$

Similarly for $x \in [a, 0]$.

(Theorem 6.9*) Assume that f , and g be of bounded variation on R , then so are their sum, difference, and product. Also, we have

$$V_{f \pm g}(-\infty, +\infty) \leq V_f(-\infty, +\infty) + V_g(-\infty, +\infty)$$

and

$$V_{fg}(-\infty, +\infty) \leq AV_f(-\infty, +\infty) + BV_g(-\infty, +\infty),$$

where $A = \sup_{x \in R} |g(x)|$ and $B = \sup_{x \in R} |f(x)|$.

Proof: For sum and difference, given any compact interval $[a, b]$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} V_{f \pm g}(a, b) &\leq V_f(a, b) + V_g(a, b), \\ &\leq V_f(-\infty, +\infty) + V_g(-\infty, +\infty) \end{aligned}$$

which implies that

$$V_{f \pm g}(-\infty, +\infty) \leq V_f(-\infty, +\infty) + V_g(-\infty, +\infty).$$

For product, given any compact interval $[a, b]$, we have (let $A(a, b) = \sup_{x \in [a, b]} |g(x)|$, and $B(a, b) = \sup_{x \in [a, b]} |f(x)|$),

$$\begin{aligned} V_{fg}(a, b) &\leq A(a, b)V_f(a, b) + B(a, b)V_g(a, b) \\ &\leq AV_f(-\infty, +\infty) + BV_g(-\infty, +\infty) \end{aligned}$$

which implies that

$$V_{fg}(-\infty, +\infty) \leq AV_f(-\infty, +\infty) + BV_g(-\infty, +\infty).$$

(Theorem 6.10*) Let f be of bounded variation on R , and assume that f is bounded away from zero; that is, suppose that there exists a positive number m such that $0 < m \leq |f(x)|$ for all $x \in R$. Then $g = 1/f$ is also of bounded variation on R , and

$$V_g(-\infty, +\infty) \leq \frac{V_f(-\infty, +\infty)}{m^2}.$$

Proof: Given any compact interval $[a, b]$, we have

$$V_g(a, b) \leq \frac{V_f(a, b)}{m^2} \leq \frac{V_f(-\infty, +\infty)}{m^2}$$

which implies that

$$V_g(-\infty, +\infty) \leq \frac{V_f(-\infty, +\infty)}{m^2}.$$

(Theorem 6.11*) Let f be of bounded variation on R , and assume that $c \in R$. Then f is of bounded variation on $(-\infty, c]$ and on $[c, +\infty)$ and we have

$$V_f(-\infty, +\infty) = V_f(-\infty, c) + V_f(c, +\infty).$$

Proof: Given any a compact interval $[a, b]$ such that $c \in (a, b)$. Then we have

$$V_f(a, b) = V_f(a, c) + V_f(c, b).$$

Since

$$V_f(a, b) \leq V_f(-\infty, +\infty)$$

which implies that

$$V_f(a, c) \leq V_f(-\infty, +\infty) \text{ and } V_f(c, b) \leq V_f(-\infty, +\infty),$$

we know that the existence of $V_f(-\infty, c)$ and $V_f(c, +\infty)$. That is, f is of bounded variation on $(-\infty, c]$ and on $[c, +\infty)$.

Since

$$V_f(a, c) + V_f(c, b) = V_f(a, b) \leq V_f(-\infty, +\infty)$$

which implies that

$$V_f(-\infty, c) + V_f(c, +\infty) \leq V_f(-\infty, +\infty), \quad *$$

and

$$V_f(a, b) = V_f(a, c) + V_f(c, b) \leq V_f(-\infty, c) + V_f(c, +\infty)$$

which implies that

$$V_f(-\infty, +\infty) \leq V_f(-\infty, c) + V_f(c, +\infty), \quad **$$

we know that

$$V_f(-\infty, +\infty) = V_f(-\infty, c) + V_f(c, +\infty).$$

(Theorem 6.12*) Let f be of bounded variation on R . Let $V(x)$ be defined on $(-\infty, x]$ as follows:

$$V(x) = V_f(-\infty, x) \text{ if } x \in R, \text{ and } V(-\infty) = 0.$$

Then (i) V is an increasing function on $(-\infty, +\infty)$ and (ii) $V - f$ is an increasing function on $(-\infty, +\infty)$.

Proof: (i) Let $x < y$, then we have $V(y) - V(x) = V_f(x, y) \geq 0$. So, we know that V is an increasing function on $(-\infty, +\infty)$.

(ii) Let $x < y$, then we have $(V - f)(y) - (V - f)(x) = V_f(x, y) - (f(y) - f(x)) \geq 0$. So,

we know that $V - f$ is an increasing function on $(-\infty, +\infty)$.

(b) Show that Theorem 6.5 is true for $(-\infty, +\infty)$ if "monotonic" is replaced by "bounded and monotonic." State and prove a similar modification of Theorem 6.13.

(Theorem 6.5*) If f is bounded and monotonic on $(-\infty, +\infty)$, then f is of bounded variation on $(-\infty, +\infty)$.

Proof: Given any compact interval $[a, b]$, then we have $V_f(a, b)$ exists, and we have $V_f(a, b) = |f(b) - f(a)|$, since f is monotonic. In addition, since f is bounded on R , say $|f(x)| \leq M$ for all x , we know that $2M$ is an upper bound of $V_f(a, b)$ for all a, b . Hence, $V_f(-\infty, +\infty)$ exists. That is, f is of bounded variation on R .

(Theorem 6.13*) Let f be defined on $(-\infty, +\infty)$, then f is of bounded variation on $(-\infty, +\infty)$ if, and only if, f can be expressed as the difference of two increasing and bounded functions.

Proof: Suppose that f is of bounded variation on $(-\infty, +\infty)$, then by **Theorem 6.12***, we know that

$$f = V - (V - f),$$

where V and $V - f$ are increasing on $(-\infty, +\infty)$. In addition, since f is of bounded variation on R , we know that V and f is bounded on R which implies that $V - f$ is bounded on R . So, we have proved that if f is of bounded variation on $(-\infty, +\infty)$ then f can be expressed as the difference of two increasing and bounded functions.

Suppose that f can be expressed as the difference of two increasing and bounded functions, say $f = f_1 - f_2$. Then by **Theorem 6.9***, and **Theorem 6.5***, we know that f is of bounded variation on R .

Remark: The representation of a function of bounded variation as a difference of two increasing and bounded functions is by no means unique. It is clear that **Theorem 6.13*** also holds if "increasing" is replaced by "strictly increasing." For example, $f = (f_1 + g) - (f_2 + g)$, where g is any strictly increasing and bounded function on R . One of such g is $\arctan x$.

6.7 Assume that f is of bounded variation on $[a, b]$ and let

$$P = \{x_0, x_1, \dots, x_n\} \in \mathcal{P}[a, b].$$

As usual, write $\Delta f_k = f(x_k) - f(x_{k-1})$, $k = 1, 2, \dots, n$. Define

$$A(P) = \{k : \Delta f_k > 0\}, B(P) = \{k : \Delta f_k < 0\}.$$

The numbers

$$p_f(a, b) = \sup \left\{ \sum_{k \in A(P)} \Delta f_k : P \in \mathcal{P}[a, b] \right\}$$

and

$$n_f(a, b) = \sup \left\{ \sum_{k \in B(P)} |\Delta f_k| : P \in \mathcal{P}[a, b] \right\}$$

are called respectively, the positive and negative variations of f on $[a, b]$. For each x in $(a, b]$. Let $V(x) = V_f(a, x)$, $p(x) = p_f(a, x)$, $n(x) = n_f(a, x)$, and let $V(a) = p(a) = n(a) = 0$. Show that we have:

(a) $V(x) = p(x) + n(x)$.

Proof: Given a partition P on $[a, x]$, then we have

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{k=1}^n |\Delta f_k| &= \sum_{k \in A(P)} |\Delta f_k| + \sum_{k \in B(P)} |\Delta f_k| \\ &= \sum_{k \in A(P)} \Delta f_k + \sum_{k \in B(P)} |\Delta f_k|, \end{aligned}$$

which implies that (taking supremum)

$$V(x) = p(x) + n(x). \quad *$$

Remark: The existence of $p(x)$ and $q(x)$ is clear, so we know that (*) holds by **Theorem 1.15**.

(b) $0 \leq p(x) \leq V(x)$ and $0 \leq n(x) \leq V(x)$.

Proof: Consider $[a, x]$, and since

$$V(x) \geq \sum_{k=1}^n |\Delta f_k| \geq \sum_{k \in A(P)} |\Delta f_k|,$$

we know that $0 \leq p(x) \leq V(x)$. Similarly for $0 \leq n(x) \leq V(x)$.

(c) p and n are increasing on $[a, b]$.

Proof: Let x, y in $[a, b]$ with $x < y$, and consider $p(y) - p(x)$ as follows. Since

$$p(y) \geq \sum_{k \in A(P), [a, y]} \Delta f_k \geq \sum_{k \in A(P), [a, x]} \Delta f_k,$$

we know that

$$p(y) \geq p(x).$$

That is, p is increasing on $[a, b]$. Similarly for n .

(d) $f(x) = f(a) + p(x) - n(x)$. Part (d) gives an alternative proof of Theorem 6.13.

Proof: Consider $[a, x]$, and since

$$f(x) - f(a) = \sum_{k=1}^n \Delta f_k = \sum_{k \in A(P)} \Delta f_k + \sum_{k \in B(P)} \Delta f_k$$

which implies that

$$f(x) - f(a) + \sum_{k \in B(P)} |\Delta f_k| = \sum_{k \in A(P)} \Delta f_k$$

which implies that $f(x) = f(a) + p(x) - n(x)$.

(e) $2p(x) = V(x) + f(x) - f(a)$, $2n(x) = V(x) - f(x) + f(a)$.

Proof: By (d) and (a), the statement is obvious.

(f) Every point of continuity of f is also a point of continuity of p and of n .

Proof: By (e) and **Theorem 6.14**, the statement is obvious.

Curves

6.8 Let f and g be complex-valued functions defined as follows:

$$f(t) = e^{2\pi i t} \text{ if } t \in [0, 1], \quad g(t) = e^{2\pi i t} \text{ if } t \in [0, 2].$$

(a) Prove that f and g have the same graph but are not equivalent according to definition

in Section 6.12.

Proof: Since $\{f(t) : t \in [0, 1]\} = \{g(t) : t \in [0, 2]\} =$ the circle of unit disk, we know that f and g have the same graph.

If f and g are equivalent, then there is an 1-1 and onto function $\phi : [0, 2] \rightarrow [0, 1]$ such that

$$f(\phi(t)) = g(t).$$

That is,

$$e^{2\pi i \phi(t)} = \cos 2\pi(\phi(t)) + i \sin 2\pi(\phi(t)) = e^{2\pi i t} = \cos 2\pi t + i \sin 2\pi t.$$

In particular, $\phi(1) := c \in (0, 1)$. However,

$$f(c) = \cos 2\pi c + i \sin 2\pi c = g(1) = 1$$

which implies that $c \in Z$, a contradiction.

(b) Prove that the length of g is twice that of f .

Proof: Since

$$\text{the length of } g = \int_0^2 |g'(t)| dt = 4\pi$$

and

$$\text{the length of } f = \int_0^1 |f'(t)| dt = 2\pi,$$

we know that the length of g is twice that of f .

6.9 Let f be rectifiable path of length L defined on $[a, b]$, and assume that f is not constant on any subinterval of $[a, b]$. Let s denote the arc length function given by $s(x) = \Lambda_f(a, x)$ if $a < x \leq b$, $s(a) = 0$.

(a) Prove that s^{-1} exists and is continuous on $[0, L]$.

Proof: By **Theorem 6.19**, we know that $s(x)$ is continuous and strictly increasing on $[0, L]$. So, the inverse function s^{-1} exists since s is an 1-1 and onto function, and by **Theorem 4.29**, we know that s^{-1} is continuous on $[0, L]$.

(b) Define $g(t) = f[s^{-1}(t)]$ if $t \in [0, L]$ and show that g is equivalent to f . Since $f(t) = g[s(t)]$, the function g is said to provide a representation of the graph of f **with arc length as parameter**.

Proof: It is clear by **Theorem 6.20**.

6.10 Let f and g be two real-valued continuous functions of bounded variation defined on $[a, b]$, with $0 < f(x) < g(x)$ for each x in (a, b) , $f(a) = g(a)$, $f(b) = g(b)$. Let h be the complex-valued function defined on the interval $[a, 2b - a]$ as follows:

$$\begin{aligned} h(t) &= t + if(t), \text{ if } a \leq t \leq b \\ &= 2b - t + ig(2b - t), \text{ if } b \leq t \leq 2b - a. \end{aligned}$$

(a) Show that h describes a rectifiable curve Γ .

Proof: It is clear that h is continuous on $[a, 2b - a]$. Note that t , f and g are of bounded variation on $[a, b]$, so $\Lambda_h(a, 2b - a)$ exists. That is, h is rectifiable on $[a, 2b - a]$.

(b) Explain, by means of a sketch, the geometric relationship between f , g , and h .

Solution: The reader can give it a draw and see the graph lying on $x - y$ plane is a

closed region.

(c) Show that the set of points

$$S = \{(x, y) : a \leq x \leq b, f(x) \leq y \leq g(x)\}$$

in a region in R^2 whose boundary is the curve Γ .

Proof: It can be answered by (b), so we omit it.

(d) Let H be the complex-valued function defined on $[a, 2b - a]$ as follows:

$$\begin{aligned} H(t) &= t - \frac{1}{2}i[g(t) - f(t)], \text{ if } a \leq t \leq b \\ &= 2b - t + \frac{1}{2}i[g(2b - t) - f(2b - t)], \text{ if } b \leq t \leq 2b - a. \end{aligned}$$

Show that H describes a rectifiable curve Γ_0 which is the boundary of the region

$$S_0 = \{(x, y) : a \leq x \leq b, f(x) - g(x) \leq 2y \leq g(x) - f(x)\}.$$

Proof: Let $F(t) = \frac{-1}{2}[g(t) - f(t)]$ and $G(t) = \frac{1}{2}[g(t) - f(t)]$ defined on $[a, b]$. It is clear that $F(t)$ and $G(t)$ are of bounded variation and continuous on $[a, b]$ with $0 < F(x) < G(x)$ for each $x \in (a, b)$, $F(b) = G(b) = 0$, and $F(a) = G(a) = 0$. In addition, we have

$$\begin{aligned} H(t) &= t + iF(t), \text{ if } a \leq t \leq b \\ &= 2b - t + iG(2b - t), \text{ if } b \leq t \leq 2b - a. \end{aligned}$$

So, by preceding (a)-(c), we have prove it.

(e) Show that, S_0 has the x -axis as a line of symmetry. (The region S_0 is called the symmetrization of S with respect to x -axis.)

Proof: It is clear since $(x, y) \in S_0 \Leftrightarrow (x, -y) \in S_0$ by the fact

$$f(x) - g(x) \leq 2y \leq g(x) - f(x).$$

(f) Show that the length of Γ_0 does not exceed the length of Γ .

Proof: By (e), the symmetrization of S with respect to x -axis tells that $\Lambda_H(a, b) = \Lambda_H(b, 2b - a)$. So, it suffices to show that $\Lambda_H(a, 2b - a) \geq 2\Lambda_H(a, b)$. Choosing a partition $P_1 = \{x_0 = a, \dots, x_n = b\}$ on $[a, b]$ such that

$$\begin{aligned} 2\Lambda_H(a, b) - \varepsilon &< 2\Lambda_H(P_1) \\ &= 2 \sum_{i=1}^n \left\{ (x_i - x_{i-1})^2 + \left[\frac{1}{2}(f - g)(x_i) - \frac{1}{2}(f - g)(x_{i-1}) \right]^2 \right\}^{1/2} \\ &= \sum_{i=1}^n \left\{ 4(x_i - x_{i-1})^2 + [(f - g)(x_i) - (f - g)(x_{i-1})]^2 \right\}^{1/2} \quad * \end{aligned}$$

and note that $b - a = (2b - a) - b$, we use this P_1 to produce a partition

$P_2 = P_1 \cup \{x_n = b, x_{n+1} = b + (x_n - x_{n-1}), \dots, x_{2n} = 2b - a\}$ on $[a, 2b - a]$. Then we have

$$\begin{aligned}
\Lambda_h(P_2) &= \sum_{i=1}^{2n} \|h(x_i) - h(x_{i-1})\| \\
&= \sum_{i=1}^n \|h(x_i) - h(x_{i-1})\| + \sum_{i=n+1}^{2n} \|h(x_i) - h(x_{i-1})\| \\
&= \sum_{i=1}^n [(x_i - x_{i-1})^2 + (f(x_i) - f(x_{i-1}))^2]^{1/2} + \sum_{i=n+1}^{2n} [(x_i - x_{i-1})^2 + (g(x_i) - g(x_{i-1}))^2]^{1/2} \\
&= \sum_{i=1}^n \left\{ [(x_i - x_{i-1})^2 + (f(x_i) - f(x_{i-1}))^2]^{1/2} + [(x_i - x_{i-1})^2 + (g(x_i) - g(x_{i-1}))^2]^{1/2} \right\} \quad **
\end{aligned}$$

From (*) and (**), we know that

$$2\Lambda_H(a, b) - \varepsilon < 2\Lambda_H(P_1) \leq \Lambda_h(P_2) \quad ***$$

which implies that

$$\Lambda_H(a, 2b - a) = 2\Lambda_H(a, b) \leq \Lambda_h(a, 2b - a).$$

So, we know that the length of Γ_0 does not exceed the length of Γ .

Remark: Define $x_i - x_{i-1} = a_i$, $f(x_i) - f(x_{i-1}) = b_i$, and $g(x_i) - g(x_{i-1}) = c_i$, then we have

$$(4a_i^2 + (b_i - c_i)^2)^{1/2} \leq (a_i^2 + b_i^2)^{1/2} + (a_i^2 + c_i^2)^{1/2}.$$

Hence we have the result (***) .

Proof: It suffices to square both side. We leave it to the reader.

Absolutely continuous functions

A real-valued function f defined on $[a, b]$ is said to be **absolutely continuous** on $[a, b]$ if for every $\varepsilon > 0$, there is a $\delta > 0$ such that

$$\sum_{k=1}^n |f(b_k) - f(a_k)| < \varepsilon$$

for every n **disjoint** open subintervals (a_k, b_k) of $[a, b]$, $n = 1, 2, \dots$, the sum of whose lengths $\sum_{k=1}^n (b_k - a_k)$ is less than δ .

Absolutely continuous functions occur in the Lebesgue theory of integration and differentiation. The following exercises give some of their elementary properties.

6.11 Prove that every absolutely continuous function on $[a, b]$ is continuous and of bounded variation on $[a, b]$.

Proof: Let f be absolutely continuous on $[a, b]$. Then $\varepsilon > 0$, there is a $\delta > 0$ such that

$$\sum_{k=1}^n |f(b_k) - f(a_k)| < \varepsilon$$

for every n **disjoint** open subintervals (a_k, b_k) of $[a, b]$, $n = 1, 2, \dots$, the sum of whose lengths $\sum_{k=1}^n (b_k - a_k)$ is less than δ . So, as $|x - y| < \delta$, where $x, y \in [a, b]$, we have

$$|f(x) - f(y)| < \varepsilon.$$

That is, f is uniformly continuous on $[a, b]$. So, f is continuous on $[a, b]$.

In addition, given any $\varepsilon = 1$, there exists a $\delta > 0$ such that as $\sum_{k=1}^n (b_k - a_k) < \delta$, where (a_k, b_k) 's are disjoint open intervals in $[a, b]$, we have

$$\sum_{k=1}^n |f(b_k) - f(a_k)| < 1.$$

For this δ , and let K be the smallest positive integer such that $K(\delta/2) \geq b - a$. So, we partition $[a, b]$ into K closed subintervals, i.e.,

$P = \{y_0 = a, y_1 = a + \delta/2, \dots, y_{K-1} = a + (K-1)(\delta/2), y_K = b\}$. So, it is clear that f is of bounded variation $[y_i, y_{i+1}]$, where $i = 0, 1, \dots, K$. It implies that f is of bounded variation on $[a, b]$.

Note: There exists functions which are continuous and of bounded variation but not absolutely continuous.

Remark: 1. The standard example is called **Cantor-Lebesgue function**. The reader can see this in the book, **Measure and Integral, An Introduction to Real Analysis** by **Richard L. Wheeden and Antoni Zygmund**, pp 35 and pp 115.

2. If we write "absolutely continuous" by **ABC**, "continuous" by **C**, and "bounded variation" by **B**, then it is clear that by preceding result, **ABC** implies **B** and **C**, and **B** and **C** do **NOT** imply **ABC**.

6.12 Prove that f is absolutely continuous if it satisfies a uniform Lipschitz condition of order 1 on $[a, b]$. (See Exercise 6.2)

Proof: Let f satisfy a uniform Lipschitz condition of order 1 on $[a, b]$, i.e., $|f(x) - f(y)| \leq M|x - y|$ where $x, y \in [a, b]$. Then given $\varepsilon > 0$, there is a $\delta = \varepsilon/M$ such that as $\sum_{k=1}^n (b_k - a_k) < \delta$, where (a_k, b_k) 's are disjoint open subintervals on $[a, b]$, $k = 1, \dots, n$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{k=1}^n |f(b_k) - f(a_k)| &\leq \sum_{k=1}^n M|b_k - a_k| \\ &= \sum_{k=1}^n M(b_k - a_k) \\ &< M\delta \\ &= \varepsilon. \end{aligned}$$

Hence, f is absolutely continuous on $[a, b]$.

6.13 If f and g are absolutely continuous on $[a, b]$, prove that each of the following is also: $|f|$, cf (c constant), $f + g$, $f \cdot g$; also f/g if g is bounded away from zero.

Proof: (1) ($|f|$ is absolutely continuous on $[a, b]$): Given $\varepsilon > 0$, we want to find a $\delta > 0$, such that as $\sum_{k=1}^n (b_k - a_k) < \delta$, where (a_k, b_k) 's are disjoint open intervals on $[a, b]$, we have

$$\sum_{k=1}^n ||f(b_k)| - |f(a_k)|| < \varepsilon. \tag{1*}$$

Since f is absolutely continuous on $[a, b]$, for this ε , there is a $\delta > 0$ such that as $\sum_{k=1}^n (b_k - a_k) < \delta$, where (a_k, b_k) 's are disjoint open intervals on $[a, b]$, we have

$$\sum_{k=1}^n |f(b_k) - f(a_k)| < \varepsilon$$

which implies that (1*) holds by the following

$$\sum_{k=1}^n ||f(b_k) - f(a_k)|| \leq \sum_{k=1}^n |f(b_k) - f(a_k)| < \varepsilon.$$

So, we know that $|f|$ is absolutely continuous on $[a, b]$.

(2) (cf is absolutely continuous on $[a, b]$): If $c = 0$, it is clear. So, we may assume that $c \neq 0$. Given $\varepsilon > 0$, we want to find a $\delta > 0$, such that as $\sum_{k=1}^n (b_k - a_k) < \delta$, where (a_k, b_k) 's are disjoint open intervals on $[a, b]$, we have

$$\sum_{k=1}^n |cf(b_k) - cf(a_k)| < \varepsilon. \quad 2^*$$

Since f is absolutely continuous on $[a, b]$, for this ε , there is a $\delta > 0$ such that as $\sum_{k=1}^n (b_k - a_k) < \delta$, where (a_k, b_k) 's are disjoint open intervals on $[a, b]$, we have

$$\sum_{k=1}^n |f(b_k) - f(a_k)| < \varepsilon/|c|$$

which implies that (2*) holds by the following

$$\sum_{k=1}^n |cf(b_k) - cf(a_k)| = |c| \sum_{k=1}^n |f(b_k) - f(a_k)| < \varepsilon.$$

So, we know that cf is absolutely continuous on $[a, b]$.

(3) ($f + g$ is absolutely continuous on $[a, b]$): Given $\varepsilon > 0$, we want to find a $\delta > 0$, such that as $\sum_{k=1}^n (b_k - a_k) < \delta$, where (a_k, b_k) 's are disjoint open intervals on $[a, b]$, we have

$$\sum_{k=1}^n |(f + g)(b_k) - (f + g)(a_k)| < \varepsilon. \quad 3^*$$

Since f and g are absolutely continuous on $[a, b]$, for this ε , there is a $\delta > 0$ such that as $\sum_{k=1}^n (b_k - a_k) < \delta$, where (a_k, b_k) 's are disjoint open intervals on $[a, b]$, we have

$$\sum_{k=1}^n |f(b_k) - f(a_k)| < \varepsilon/2 \text{ and } \sum_{k=1}^n |g(b_k) - g(a_k)| < \varepsilon/2$$

which implies that (3*) holds by the following

$$\begin{aligned} & \sum_{k=1}^n |(f + g)(b_k) - (f + g)(a_k)| \\ &= \sum_{k=1}^n |f(b_k) - f(a_k) + g(b_k) - g(a_k)| \\ &\leq \sum_{k=1}^n |f(b_k) - f(a_k)| + \sum_{k=1}^n |g(b_k) - g(a_k)| \\ &< \varepsilon. \end{aligned}$$

So, we know that $f + g$ is absolutely continuous on $[a, b]$.

(4) ($f \cdot g$ is absolutely continuous on $[a, b]$): Let $M_f = \sup_{x \in [a, b]} |f(x)|$ and $M_g = \sup_{x \in [a, b]} |g(x)|$. Given $\varepsilon > 0$, we want to find a $\delta > 0$, such that as $\sum_{k=1}^n (b_k - a_k) < \delta$, where (a_k, b_k) 's are disjoint open intervals on $[a, b]$, we have

$$\sum_{k=1}^n |(f+g)(b_k) - (f+g)(a_k)| < \varepsilon. \quad 4^*$$

Since f and g are absolutely continuous on $[a, b]$, for this ε , there is a $\delta > 0$ such that as $\sum_{k=1}^n (b_k - a_k) < \delta$, where (a_k, b_k) 's are disjoint open intervals on $[a, b]$, we have

$$\sum_{k=1}^n |f(b_k) - f(a_k)| < \frac{\varepsilon}{2(M_g + 1)} \quad \text{and} \quad \sum_{k=1}^n |g(b_k) - g(a_k)| < \frac{\varepsilon}{2(M_f + 1)}$$

which implies that (4*) holds by the following

$$\begin{aligned} & \sum_{k=1}^n |(f \cdot g)(b_k) - (f \cdot g)(a_k)| \\ &= \sum_{k=1}^n |f(b_k)(g(b_k) - g(a_k)) + g(a_k)(f(b_k) - f(a_k))| \\ &\leq M_f \sum_{k=1}^n |g(b_k) - g(a_k)| + M_g \sum_{k=1}^n |f(b_k) - f(a_k)| \\ &< \frac{\varepsilon M_f}{2(M_f + 1)} + \frac{\varepsilon M_g}{2(M_g + 1)} \\ &< \varepsilon. \end{aligned}$$

Remark: The part shows that f^n is absolutely continuous on $[a, b]$, where $n \in \mathbb{N}$, if f is absolutely continuous on $[a, b]$.

(5) (f/g is absolutely continuous on $[a, b]$): By (4) it suffices to show that $1/g$ is absolutely continuous on $[a, b]$. Since g is bounded away from zero, say $0 < m \leq g(x)$ for all $x \in [a, b]$. Given $\varepsilon > 0$, we want to find a $\delta > 0$, such that as $\sum_{k=1}^n (b_k - a_k) < \delta$, where (a_k, b_k) 's are disjoint open intervals on $[a, b]$, we have

$$\sum_{k=1}^n |(1/g)(b_k) - (1/g)(a_k)| < \varepsilon. \quad 5^*$$

Since g is absolutely continuous on $[a, b]$, for this ε , there is a $\delta > 0$ such that as $\sum_{k=1}^n (b_k - a_k) < \delta$, where (a_k, b_k) 's are disjoint open intervals on $[a, b]$, we have

$$\sum_{k=1}^n |g(b_k) - g(a_k)| < m^2 \varepsilon$$

which implies that (4*) holds by the following

$$\begin{aligned} & \sum_{k=1}^n |(1/g)(b_k) - (1/g)(a_k)| \\ &= \sum_{k=1}^n \left| \frac{g(b_k) - g(a_k)}{g(b_k)g(a_k)} \right| \\ &\leq \frac{1}{m^2} \sum_{k=1}^n |g(b_k) - g(a_k)| \\ &< \varepsilon. \end{aligned}$$