Lesson 0. Preliminary

CSIE 3110 - Formal Languages and Automata Theory

Tony Tan Department of Computer Science and Information Engineering College of Electrical Engineering and Computer Science National Taiwan University

Table of contents

- 1. Introduction
- 2. Some words about mathematical proofs

- 3. The halting problem in C++ $\,$
- 4. The notion of alphabets and languages

5. Concluding remarks

Table of contents

1. Introduction

2. Some words about mathematical proofs

- 3. The halting problem in C++
- 4. The notion of alphabets and languages

5. Concluding remarks

Official course website:

Official course website:

https://www.csie.ntu.edu.tw/~tonytan/teaching/2021a-aut/2021a-aut.html

• All information about this course can be found in the website.

Official course website:

- All information about this course can be found in the website.
- Lecture notes, slides and homework will be posted there.

Official course website:

- All information about this course can be found in the website.
- Lecture notes, slides and homework will be posted there.
- Information about the midterm and final exams will be posted there.

Official course website:

- All information about this course can be found in the website.
- Lecture notes, slides and homework will be posted there.
- Information about the midterm and final exams will be posted there.
- Videos of lectures will be posted in NTU COOL.

Official course website:

https://www.csie.ntu.edu.tw/~tonytan/teaching/2021a-aut/2021a-aut.html

- All information about this course can be found in the website.
- Lecture notes, slides and homework will be posted there.
- Information about the midterm and final exams will be posted there.
- Videos of lectures will be posted in NTU COOL.

Pay special attention to the "Announcement" part:

Announcements

· Important anncouncments will be posted here as well as sent to your emails via NTU COOL or CEIBA.



Staff

Instructor:

• Tony Tan (陳偉松) tonytan@csie.ntu.edu.tw

TA:

- Lu Chia-Hsuan (呂佳軒) r09922064@csie.ntu.edu.tw
- Lu Yu-Cheng (呂侑承) r109220304@csie.ntu.edu.tw
- Mailing list: automata@csie.ntu.edu.tw.

Syllabus and schedule (can be found in the course website)

Week	Dates	Lesson	Remark
Part 0: Preliminaries			
I	27 Sep.	Lesson 0. Preliminaries	
		Part 1: Regular languages and context-free languages	
п	4 Oct.	Lesson 1. Finite state automata	
ш	11 Oct.	Holiday (National day)	
IV	18 Oct.	Lesson 2. Regular expressions	
v	25 Oct.	Lesson 3. Context-free languages	
VI	1 Nov.	Lesson 4. Push-down automata	
		Reading week and midterm exam	
VII	8 Nov.	Reading week	
VIII	15 Nov.	Midterm exam:Monday, 10:30-12:30, room: To be determined.	
		Part 2: Turing machines and some basic complexity classes	
IX	22 Nov.	Lesson 5. Turing machines and decidable languages	
х	29 Nov.	Lesson 6. Turing machines and the notion of algorithm	
XI	6 Dec.	Lesson 7. Universal Turing machines and halting problem	
XII	13 Dec.	Lesson 8. Reducibility	
XIII	20 Dec.	Lesson 9. Non-deterministic Turing machines	
XIV	27 Dec.	Lesson 10. Basic complexity classes	
		Reading week and final exam	
XV	3 Jan.	Reading week	
XVI	10 Jan.	Final exam: Monday, 10:3012:30, room: To be determined.	

How this course will be conducted

• The note for a lesson in a particular week will be posted in the course website a week before.



For example, for lesson 1 it will be posted 1 week before 4 October.

How this course will be conducted

• The note for a lesson in a particular week will be posted in the course website a week before.



For example, for lesson 1 it will be posted 1 week before 4 October.

• Weekly online discussion on Monday, starting at 10:30 am.

For now, we use Google meet.

Check the announcement "Our Google meet link" in NTU COOL for the link.

How this course will be conducted

• The note for a lesson in a particular week will be posted in the course website a week before.



For example, for lesson 1 it will be posted 1 week before 4 October.

• Weekly online discussion on Monday, starting at 10:30 am.

For now, we use Google meet.

Check the announcement "Our Google meet link" in NTU COOL for the link.

• Depending on the situation, we may be able to conduct the lesson in the physical class, but nothing is certain yet.

It all depends on the future instruction from the university.

• The purpose of the online discussion is for you to ask questions.

- The purpose of the online discussion is for you to ask questions.
- You can ask any questions, and I will try my best to answer.

- The purpose of the online discussion is for you to ask questions.
- You can ask any questions, and I will try my best to answer.
- Depending on the questions, I will even explain materials already covered in the video.

I will not repeat the whole lecture during the discussion.

- The purpose of the online discussion is for you to ask questions.
- You can ask any questions, and I will try my best to answer.
- Depending on the questions, I will even explain materials already covered in the video.

I will not repeat the whole lecture during the discussion.

• Since this course is supposed to be in English, the discussion is also in English.

Some details about videos

Usually each lesson will be divided into a few videos.

Some details about videos

Usually each lesson will be divided into a few videos.

For example, for lesson 1:



There will be three videos, one video for each of the following topics.

Some details about videos

Usually each lesson will be divided into a few videos.

For example, for lesson 1:



There will be three videos, one video for each of the following topics.

- Deterministic finite state automata.
- Non-deterministic finite state automata.
- Pumping lemma.

• Textbook: Introduction to the Theory of Computation by M. Sipser.

But we will not follow the book strictly.

- Textbook: *Introduction to the Theory of Computation* by M. Sipser. But we will not follow the book strictly.
- There will be two homework.

Each weighs 20%.

- Textbook: *Introduction to the Theory of Computation* by M. Sipser. But we will not follow the book strictly.
- There will be two homework.

Each weighs 20%.

• There will be one midterm exam and one final exam. Each weighs 30%.

- Textbook: *Introduction to the Theory of Computation* by M. Sipser. But we will not follow the book strictly.
- There will be two homework.

Each weighs 20%.

- There will be one midterm exam and one final exam. Each weighs 30%.
- The instruction on how to submit your homework will be provided in due time.

- Textbook: *Introduction to the Theory of Computation* by M. Sipser. But we will not follow the book strictly.
- There will be two homework.

Each weighs 20%.

- There will be one midterm exam and one final exam. Each weighs 30%.
- The instruction on how to submit your homework will be provided in due time.
- We are still deciding how to conduct the exams.

Table of contents

1. Introduction

2. Some words about mathematical proofs

- 3. The halting problem in C++
- 4. The notion of alphabets and languages

5. Concluding remarks

¹Adopted from Chapter 0 in Sipser's textbook

Definitions describe the objects and notions that we use.

¹Adopted from Chapter 0 in Sipser's textbook

Definitions describe the objects and notions that we use.

<u>Precision</u> is essential to any mathematical definition.

¹Adopted from Chapter 0 in Sipser's textbook

Definitions describe the objects and notions that we use.

<u>Precision</u> is essential to any mathematical definition.

When defining some object, we must make clear what constitutes that object and what does not.

¹Adopted from Chapter 0 in Sipser's textbook

Definitions describe the objects and notions that we use.

<u>Precision</u> is essential to any mathematical definition.

When defining some object, we must make clear what constitutes that object and what does not.

A **theorem** is a mathematical statement proved true.

¹Adopted from Chapter 0 in Sipser's textbook

Definitions describe the objects and notions that we use.

<u>Precision</u> is essential to any mathematical definition.

When defining some object, we must make clear what constitutes that object and what does not.

A **theorem** is a mathematical statement proved true.

Generally we reserve the use of that word for statements of special interest.

¹Adopted from Chapter 0 in Sipser's textbook

Definitions describe the objects and notions that we use.

<u>Precision</u> is essential to any mathematical definition.

When defining some object, we must make clear what constitutes that object and what does not.

A **theorem** is a mathematical statement proved true.

Generally we reserve the use of that word for statements of special interest.

A **lemma** is a statement that we prove to assist in the proof of a theorem or another lemma.

¹Adopted from Chapter 0 in Sipser's textbook

Definitions, theorems, proofs¹

Definitions describe the objects and notions that we use.

Precision is essential to any mathematical definition.

When defining some object, we must make clear what constitutes that object and what does not.

A **theorem** is a mathematical statement proved true.

Generally we reserve the use of that word for statements of special interest.

A **lemma** is a statement that we prove to assist in the proof of a theorem or another lemma.

A **corollary** (of a theorem) is a statement that follows easily from a theorem or its proof.

¹Adopted from Chapter 0 in Sipser's textbook

A **mathematical proof** (or, in short **proof**) is a "convincing" logical argument that a statement is true.

A **mathematical proof** (or, in short **proof**) is a "convincing" logical argument that a statement is true.

Formally we can view a proof as a (finite) sequence of statements:

statement₁ statement₂

statement_n

such that each statement s_i is either an assumption or it can be trivially deduced from earlier statements s_1, \ldots, s_{i-1} .

A **mathematical proof** (or, in short **proof**) is a "convincing" logical argument that a statement is true.

Formally we can view a proof as a (finite) sequence of statements:

statement₁ statement₂

statement_n

such that each statement s_i is either an assumption or it can be trivially deduced from earlier statements s_1, \ldots, s_{i-1} .

statement_n is the theorem/lemma that we want to prove.

Some examples of simple deductions

Example 1:

If it is raining, John stays at home. John is not at home today.

... It is not raining today.

Some examples of simple deductions

Example 1:

If it is raining, John stays at home. John is not at home today.

... It is not raining today.

Example 2:

If it is sunny, John goes to the beach. When John is at the beach, he swims in the sea. It is sunny today.

... Today John swims in the sea.

Some examples of simple deductions

Example 1:

If it is raining, John stays at home. John is not at home today.

... It is not raining today.

Example 2:

If it is sunny, John goes to the beach. When John is at the beach, he swims in the sea. It is sunny today.

... Today John swims in the sea.

See Appendix B for some other examples of deductions.

Types of proofs

 $^{^{2}}$ For more details and examples, see Chapter 0 in Sipser's textbook.

Types of proofs

Types of proofs that normally occur in this course.²

- Proofs by construction.
- Proofs by contradiction.
- Proofs by induction.

 $^{^2\}mbox{For more details and examples, see Chapter 0 in Sipser's textbook.$

³Taken from Chapter 0 in Sipser's textbook.

Be patient. If you don't see how to do it right away, don't worry. Researchers sometimes work for weeks or even years to find a single proof.

³Taken from Chapter 0 in Sipser's textbook.

Be patient. If you don't see how to do it right away, don't worry. Researchers sometimes work for weeks or even years to find a single proof.

Genius is the patience of thoughts, concentrated in a certain direction. — Isaac Newton

³Taken from Chapter 0 in Sipser's textbook.

Be patient. If you don't see how to do it right away, don't worry. Researchers sometimes work for weeks or even years to find a single proof.

Genius is the patience of thoughts, concentrated in a certain direction. — Isaac Newton

Come back to it. Look over the statement you want to prove, think about it a bit, leave it, and then return a few minutes or hours later. Let the unconscious, intuitive part of your mind have a chance to work.

³Taken from Chapter 0 in Sipser's textbook.

Be neat! When you are building your intuition for the statement you are trying to prove, use simple, clear pictures and/or text. You are trying to develop your insight into the statement, and sloppiness gets in the way of insight. Furthermore, when you are writing a solution for another person to read, neatness will help that person understand it.

⁴Taken from Chapter 0 in Sipser's textbook.

Be neat! When you are building your intuition for the statement you are trying to prove, use simple, clear pictures and/or text. You are trying to develop your insight into the statement, and sloppiness gets in the way of insight. Furthermore, when you are writing a solution for another person to read, neatness will help that person understand it.

Be concise. Brevity helps you express high-level ideas without getting lost in details. Good mathematical notation is useful for expressing ideas concisely. But be sure to include enough of your reasoning when writing up a proof so that the reader can easily understand what you are trying to say

⁴Taken from Chapter 0 in Sipser's textbook.

Be patient.

Come back to it.

Be neat!

Be concise.

⁵Taken from Chapter 0 in Sipser's textbook.

After you have written down your proof....⁶

<u>Reread</u>, <u>reconsider</u> and <u>reexamine</u> your proof, even after you are convinced that your proof is correct.

⁶Adopted from the book *How to solve it* by George Pólya.

After you have written down your proof....⁶

<u>Reread</u>, <u>reconsider</u> and <u>reexamine</u> your proof, even after you are convinced that your proof is correct.

Doing so could consolidate your knowledge and develop your problem solving skill.

⁶Adopted from the book *How to solve it* by George Pólya.

After you have written down your proof....⁶

<u>Reread</u>, <u>reconsider</u> and <u>reexamine</u> your proof, even after you are convinced that your proof is correct.

Doing so could consolidate your knowledge and develop your problem solving skill.

Ask the following questions.

- What is the main idea of the proof?
- Can the proof be simplified?
- Can the result be derived differently?
- Can the result/method be used for some other problem?
- How does it relate to other results that you know?

All these obviously take time and energy, but worth the effort.

⁶Adopted from the book *How to solve it* by George Pólya.

Some references

On general problem solving skill:

- How to Solve it by George Pólya.
- Princeton Companion to Mathematics, part VIII. Final Perspectives, Timothy Gowers, editor.

On the more technical side:

- Mathematical discovery on understanding, learning, and teaching problem solving (volumes I and II) by George Pólya.
- Solving mathematical problems: A personal perspective by Terrence Tao.

Table of contents

- 1. Introduction
- 2. Some words about mathematical proofs

- 3. The halting problem in C++ $\,$
- 4. The notion of alphabets and languages

5. Concluding remarks

Consider the following problem denoted by Problem-A.

Proble	Problem-A			
Input:	Two files:			
	The first file is a $C++$ program, denoted by file-1.cpp.			
	The second file is a file with arbitrary extension, denoted by file-2.			
Task:	Output True, if the C++ program file-1.cpp returns True			
	when the input (to file-1.cpp) is the content of file-2.			
	Otherwise, output False.			

Consider the following problem denoted by Problem-A.

Proble	Problem-A				
Input:	Two files:				
	The first file is a $C++$ program, denoted by file-1.cpp.				
	The second file is a file with arbitrary extension, denoted by file-2.				
Task:	Output True, if the C++ program file-1.cpp returns True				
	when the input (to file-1.cpp) is the content of file-2.				
	Otherwise, output False.				

(Important!) Notice how we define **Problem-A**.

It is clear what the input and output should be!

Consider the following problem denoted by Problem-A.

Proble	Problem-A				
Input:	Two files:				
	The first file is a $C++$ program, denoted by file-1.cpp.				
	The second file is a file with arbitrary extension, denoted by file-2.				
Task:	Output True, if the C++ program file-1.cpp returns True				
	when the input (to file-1.cpp) is the content of file-2.				
	Otherwise, output False.				

(Important!) Notice how we define Problem-A.

It is clear what the input and output should be!

This is not acceptable:

- We want to decide if a C++ program output True on an input.
- We want to decide the outcome of a C++ program on an input.
- Problem-A is to determine the outcome of a C++ program on an input.

- We want to decide if a C++ program output True on an input.
- We want to decide the outcome of a C++ program on an input.
- **Problem-A** is to determine the outcome of a C++ program on an input.

- We want to decide if a C++ program output True on an input.
- We want to decide the outcome of a C++ program on an input.
- Problem-A is to determine the outcome of a C++ program on an input.

It is not clear if the C++ program or the input is fixed or both are fixed.

- We want to decide if a C++ program output True on an input.
- We want to decide the outcome of a C++ program on an input.
- Problem-A is to determine the outcome of a C++ program on an input.

It is not clear if the C++ program or the input is fixed or both are fixed.

Problem-X(P.cpp)			
Input: Task:	A file denoted by input-file. Output True, if the C++ program P.cpp returns True on input input-file. Otherwise, output False.		

- We want to decide if a C++ program output True on an input.
- We want to decide the outcome of a C++ program on an input.
- Problem-A is to determine the outcome of a C++ program on an input.

It is not clear if the C++ program or the input is fixed or both are fixed.

Problem-X(P.cpp)								

Input: A file denoted by input-file.

 Task:
 Output True, if the C++ program P.cpp returns True on input input-file.

 Otherwise, output False.

Problem-Y(input-file)

Input: A C++ program denoted by P.cpp.

Task:Output True, if the C++ program P.cpp returns True on input input-file.Otherwise, output False.

- We want to decide if a C++ program output True on an input.
- We want to decide the outcome of a C++ program on an input.
- Problem-A is to determine the outcome of a C++ program on an input.

It is not clear if the C++ program or the input is fixed or both are fixed.

Prob	lem-X(P.cpp)
------	--------	--------

Input: A file denoted by input-file.

 Task:
 Output True, if the C++ program P.cpp returns True on input input-file.

 Otherwise, output False.

Problem-Y(input-file)

 Input:
 A C++ program denoted by P.cpp.

 Task:
 Output True, if the C++ program P.cpp returns True on input input-file.

 Otherwise, output False.

Problem-Z(P.cpp, input-file)

Input: Nothing.

 Task:
 Output True, if the C++ program P.cpp returns True on input input-file.

 Otherwise, output False.

- We want to decide if a C++ program output True on an input.
- We want to decide the outcome of a C++ program on an input.
- Problem-A is to determine the outcome of a C++ program on an input.

It is not clear if the C++ program or the input is fixed or both are fixed.

Problem-X(P.cpp)			
	Input:	A file denoted by input-file.	
	Teele	Output Trues if the C magnetic D and meturing 5	

Task:Output True, if the C++ program P.cpp returns True on input input-file.Otherwise, output False.

Problem-Y(input-file)

 Input:
 A C++ program denoted by P.cpp.

 Task:
 Output True, if the C++ program P.cpp returns True on input input-file.

 Otherwise, output False.

Problem-Z(P.cpp, input-file)

Input: Nothing.

 Task:
 Output True, if the C++ program P.cpp returns True on input input-file.

 Otherwise, output False.

All of them are very different from our original **Problem-A**.

First principle

To define a problem, write down precisely what the input and output should be.

First principle

To define a problem, write down precisely what the input and output should be.

<u>Before</u> we start designing an algorithm/program/Turing machines, write down precisely what the input and output should be.

First principle

To define a problem, write down precisely what the input and output should be.

<u>Before</u> we start designing an algorithm/program/Turing machines, write down precisely what the input and output should be.

For example, we can use this format:



Other format is also acceptable as long as the input and output is clear.

Coming back to Problem-A

Proble	Problem-A				
Input:	It: Two files:				
	The first file is a $C++$ program, denoted by file-1.cpp.				
	The second file is a file with arbitrary extension, denoted by file-2.				
Task:	Output True, if the C++ program file-1.cpp returns True				
	when the input (to file-1.cpp) is the content of file-2.				
	Otherwise, output False.				

Coming back to Problem-A

Problem-A	
Input:	Two files:
	The first file is a $C++$ program, denoted by file-1.cpp.
	The second file is a file with arbitrary extension, denoted by file-2.
Task:	Output True, if the C++ program file-1.cpp returns True
	when the input (to file-1.cpp) is the content of file-2.
	Otherwise, output False.

Note that it requires that on <u>every</u> input file-1.cpp and file-2, it should output True or False.

Coming back to Problem-A

Problem-A	
Input:	Two files:
	The first file is a $C++$ program, denoted by file-1.cpp.
	The second file is a file with arbitrary extension, denoted by file-2.
Task:	Output True, if the C++ program file-1.cpp returns True
	when the input (to file-1.cpp) is the content of file-2.
	Otherwise, output False.

Note that it requires that on <u>every</u> input file-1.cpp and file-2, it should output True or False.

We would like to show:

Theorem 0.1 *There is no C++ program for* **Problem-A**.

In other words, it is impossible to write a C++ program for **Problem-A**.

Problem-A	
Input:	Two files:
	The first file is a $C++$ program, denoted by file-1.cpp.
	The second file is a file with arbitrary extension, denoted by file-2.
Task:	Output True, if the C++ program file-1.cpp returns True
	when the input (to file-1.cpp) is the content of file-2.
	Otherwise, output False.

We would like to show that there is no C++ program for ${\bf Problem-A},$ but we don't know how to show it.

Problem-A	
Input:	Two files:
	The first file is a $C++$ program, denoted by file-1.cpp.
	The second file is a file with arbitrary extension, denoted by file-2.
Task:	Output True, if the C++ program file-1.cpp returns True
	when the input (to file-1.cpp) is the content of file-2.
	Otherwise, output False.

We would like to show that there is no C++ program for ${\bf Problem-A},$ but we don't know how to show it.

So, we reduce it to:

Problem-B	
Input:	One files: A C++ program, denoted by file.cpp.
Task:	Output True, if the C++ program file.cpp returns True
	when its input is the content of file.cpp itself.
	Otherwise, output False.

Problem-A	
Input:	Two files:
	The first file is a $C++$ program, denoted by file-1.cpp.
	The second file is a file with arbitrary extension, denoted by file-2.
Task:	Output True, if the C++ program file-1.cpp returns True
	when the input (to file-1.cpp) is the content of file-2.
	Otherwise, output False.

We would like to show that there is no C++ program for $\ensuremath{\text{Problem-A}}$, but we don't know how to show it.

So, we reduce it to:

Problem-B	
Input:	One files: A C++ program, denoted by file.cpp.
Task:	Output True, if the C++ program file.cpp returns True
	when its input is the content of file.cpp itself.
	Otherwise, output False.

Note that if there is a C++ program for **Problem-A**, then there is a C++ program for **Problem-B**.

Problem-A	
Input:	Two files:
	The first file is a $C++$ program, denoted by file-1.cpp.
	The second file is a file with arbitrary extension, denoted by file-2.
Task:	Output True, if the C++ program file-1.cpp returns True
	when the input (to file-1.cpp) is the content of file-2.
	Otherwise, output False.

We would like to show that there is no C++ program for $\ensuremath{\text{Problem-A}}$, but we don't know how to show it.

So, we reduce it to:

Problem-B	
Input:	One files: A C++ program, denoted by file.cpp.
Task:	Output True, if the C++ program file.cpp returns True
	when its input is the content of file.cpp itself.
	Otherwise, output False.

Note that if there is a C++ program for **Problem-A**, then there is a C++ program for **Problem-B**.

In some sense, **Problem-A** is more "general" than **Problem-B**.

Problem-B	
Input:	One files: A C++ program, denoted by file.cpp.
Task:	Output <u>True</u> , if the C++ program file.cpp returns True
	when its input is the content of file.cpp itself.
	Otherwise, output <u>False</u> .

Problem-B	
Input:	One files: A C++ program, denoted by file.cpp.
Task:	Output <u>True</u> , if the C++ program file.cpp returns True
	when its input is the content of file.cpp itself.
	Otherwise, output <u>False</u> .

Still we don't know how to show that there is no C++ program for **Problem-B**.

Problem-B	
Input:	One files: A C++ program, denoted by file.cpp.
Task:	Output <u>True</u> , if the C++ program file.cpp returns True when its input is the content of file.cpp itself.
	Otherwise, output <u>False</u> .

Still we don't know how to show that there is no C++ program for **Problem-B**.

So, we consider the following problem:

Proble	Problem-C	
Input:	One files: A C++ program, denoted by file.cpp.	
Task:	Output <u>False</u> , if the C++ program file.cpp returns True	
	when its input is the content of file.cpp itself.	
	Otherwise, output <u>True</u> .	

Problem-B		
Input:	One files: A C++ program, denoted by file.cpp.	
Task:	Output <u>True</u> , if the C++ program file.cpp returns True	
	when its input is the content of file.cpp itself.	
	Otherwise, output <u>False</u> .	

Still we don't know how to show that there is no C++ program for **Problem-B**.

So, we consider the following problem:

Proble	Problem-C	
Input:	One files: A C++ program, denoted by file.cpp.	
Task:	Output False, if the C++ program file.cpp returns True	
	when its input is the content of file.cpp itself.	
	Otherwise, output <u>True</u> .	

Note that the output of Problem-B is just the complement of the output of Problem-C.

Proble	Problem-B	
Input:	One files: A C++ program, denoted by file.cpp.	
Task:	Output <u>True</u> , if the C++ program file.cpp returns True when its input is the content of file.cpp itself.	
	Otherwise, output <u>False</u> .	

Still we don't know how to show that there is no C++ program for **Problem-B**.

So, we consider the following problem:

Proble	Problem-C	
Input:	One files: A C++ program, denoted by file.cpp.	
Task:	Output <u>False</u> , if the C++ program file.cpp returns True	
	when its input is the content of file.cpp itself.	
	Otherwise, output <u>True</u> .	

Note that the output of $\ensuremath{\text{Problem-B}}$ is just the complement of the output of $\ensuremath{\text{Problem-C}}$.

There is a C++ program for **Problem-B**, if and only if there is a C++ program for **Problem-C**.

Problem-C	
Input:	One files: A C++ program, denoted by input.cpp.
Task:	Output <u>False</u> , if the C++ program input.cpp returns True
	when its input is the content of input.cpp itself.
	Otherwise, output <u>True</u> .

Problem-C	
Input:	One files: A C++ program, denoted by input.cpp.
Task:	Output <pre>False</pre> , if the C++ program input.cpp returns True
	when its input is the content of input.cpp itself.
	Otherwise, output <u>True</u> .

The proof is by contradiction. Suppose there is a C++ program for **Problem-C**, which we denote by myprog.cpp.

(Problem-C	
	Input: Task:	One files: A C++ program, denoted by input.cpp. Output <u>False</u> , if the C++ program input.cpp returns True
		when its input is the content of input.cpp itself. Otherwise, output <u>True</u> .

The proof is by contradiction. Suppose there is a C++ program for **Problem-C**, which we denote by myprog.cpp.

Now we run myprog.cpp with input myprog.cpp itself. There are two cases.

Problem-C	
Input: Task:	One files: A C++ program, denoted by input.cpp. Output False, if the C++ program input.cpp returns True
	when its input is the content of input.cpp itself. Otherwise, output <u>True</u> .

The proof is by contradiction. Suppose there is a C++ program for $\ensuremath{\textbf{Problem-C}}$, which we denote by <code>myprog.cpp</code>.

Now we run myprog.cpp with input myprog.cpp itself. There are two cases.

• The output is True.

Problem-C	
Input: Task:	One files: A C++ program, denoted by input.cpp. Output False, if the C++ program input.cpp returns True
	when its input is the content of input.cpp itself. Otherwise, output <u>True</u> .

The proof is by contradiction. Suppose there is a C++ program for **Problem-C**, which we denote by myprog.cpp.

Now we run myprog.cpp with input myprog.cpp itself. There are two cases.

• The output is True. Since myprog.cpp is a program for **Problem-C**, by definition of **Problem-C**, myprog.cpp does not return True on myprog.cpp itself. A contradiction.

Problem-C	
Input: Task:	One files: A C++ program, denoted by input.cpp. Output False, if the C++ program input.cpp returns True
	when its input is the content of input.cpp itself. Otherwise, output <u>True</u> .

The proof is by contradiction. Suppose there is a C++ program for **Problem-C**, which we denote by myprog.cpp.

Now we run myprog.cpp with input myprog.cpp itself. There are two cases.

- The output is True. Since myprog.cpp is a program for **Problem-C**, by definition of **Problem-C**, myprog.cpp does not return True on myprog.cpp itself. A contradiction.
- The output is False.

Problem-C	
Input:	One files: A C++ program, denoted by input.cpp.
Task:	Output <u>False</u> , if the C++ program input.cpp returns True when its input is the content of input.cpp itself.
	Otherwise, output <u>True</u> .

The proof is by contradiction. Suppose there is a C++ program for **Problem-C**, which we denote by myprog.cpp.

Now we run myprog.cpp with input myprog.cpp itself. There are two cases.

- The output is True. Since myprog.cpp is a program for **Problem-C**, by definition of **Problem-C**, myprog.cpp does not return True on myprog.cpp itself. A contradiction.
- The output is False. Since myprog.cpp is a program for **Problem-C**, by definition of **Problem-C**, myprog.cpp returns True on myprog.cpp itself. A contradiction.

Problem-C	
Input:	One files: A C++ program, denoted by input.cpp.
Task:	Output <u>False</u> , if the C++ program input.cpp returns True
	when its input is the content of input.cpp itself.
	Otherwise, output <u>True</u> .

The proof is by contradiction. Suppose there is a C++ program for **Problem-C**, which we denote by myprog.cpp.

Now we run myprog.cpp with input myprog.cpp itself. There are two cases.

- The output is True. Since myprog.cpp is a program for **Problem-C**, by definition of **Problem-C**, myprog.cpp does not return True on myprog.cpp itself. A contradiction.
- The output is False. Since myprog.cpp is a program for **Problem-C**, by definition of **Problem-C**, myprog.cpp returns True on myprog.cpp itself. A contradiction.

Therefore, there is no such C++ program myprog.cpp for **Problem-C**.

Since there is no C++ program for $\ensuremath{\text{Problem-C}}$, there is no C++ program for $\ensuremath{\text{Problem-B}}$.

Since there is no C++ program for **Problem-C**, there is no C++ program for **Problem-B**.

Since there is no C++ program for **Problem-B**, there is no C++ program for **Problem-A**.

Since there is no C++ program for **Problem-C**, there is no C++ program for **Problem-B**.

Since there is no C++ program for **Problem-B**, there is no C++ program for **Problem-A**.

Thus, we complete the proof of Theorem 0.1.

Theorem 0.1 There is no C++ program for **Problem-A**.

Since there is no C++ program for **Problem-C**, there is no C++ program for **Problem-B**.

Since there is no C++ program for **Problem-B**, there is no C++ program for **Problem-A**.

Thus, we complete the proof of Theorem 0.1.

Theorem 0.1 *There is no C++ program for* **Problem-A**.

Problem-A is usually known as the "Halting" problem.

We will come back to it again when we discuss Turing machines in the next few months.

Table of contents

- 1. Introduction
- 2. Some words about mathematical proofs

- 3. The halting problem in C++
- 4. The notion of alphabets and languages

5. Concluding remarks

In this course we assume familiarity with basic terminology from discrete mathematics, especially set-theoretic terminology.

See Appendix A in Note 0 for some that we will often use.

In this course we assume familiarity with basic terminology from discrete mathematics, especially set-theoretic terminology.

See Appendix A in Note 0 for some that we will often use.

In addition, we will use the following terminology.

(Def.) An *alphabet* is a finite set of symbols.

We usually use the symbol Σ to denote an alphabet.

In this course we assume familiarity with basic terminology from discrete mathematics, especially set-theoretic terminology.

See Appendix A in Note 0 for some that we will often use.

In addition, we will use the following terminology.

(Def.) An *alphabet* is a finite set of symbols.

We usually use the symbol Σ to denote an alphabet.

Often, $\Sigma = \{0, 1\}$ or $\Sigma = \{a, b\}$.

In this course we assume familiarity with basic terminology from discrete mathematics, especially set-theoretic terminology.

See Appendix A in Note 0 for some that we will often use.

In addition, we will use the following terminology.

(Def.) An alphabet is a finite set of symbols.

We usually use the symbol Σ to denote an alphabet.

Often, $\Sigma = \{0, 1\}$ or $\Sigma = \{a, b\}$.

In standard computers, the alphabet is the set of all ASCII codes.

In this course we assume familiarity with basic terminology from discrete mathematics, especially set-theoretic terminology.

See Appendix A in Note 0 for some that we will often use.

In addition, we will use the following terminology.

(Def.) An alphabet is a finite set of symbols.

We usually use the symbol Σ to denote an alphabet.

Often, $\Sigma = \{0, 1\}$ or $\Sigma = \{a, b\}$.

In standard computers, the alphabet is the set of all ASCII codes.

In memory level, the alphabet is $\{0, 1\}$.

(Def.) A (finite) string/word over Σ is a finite sequence of symbols from Σ .

(Def.) A (finite) string/word over Σ is a finite sequence of symbols from Σ.
For example, *aaa*, 0010, 0, 1111 are (finite) string
00000... (of infinite length) is not a string.

(Def.) A (finite) string/word over Σ is a finite sequence of symbols from Σ.
For example, *aaa*, 0010, 0, 1111 are (finite) string
00000... (of infinite length) is not a string.

We usually write $w = a_1 \dots a_n$ to denote a string whose symbol in position *i* is a_i .

(Def.) A (finite) string/word over Σ is a finite sequence of symbols from Σ.
For example, *aaa*, 0010, 0, 1111 are (finite) string
00000... (of infinite length) is not a string.

We usually write $w = a_1 \dots a_n$ to denote a string whose symbol in position *i* is a_i .

(Def.) The length of w is denoted by |w|.

(Def.) A (finite) string/word over Σ is a finite sequence of symbols from Σ.
For example, *aaa*, 0010, 0, 1111 are (finite) string
00000... (of infinite length) is not a string.

We usually write $w = a_1 \dots a_n$ to denote a string whose symbol in position *i* is a_i .

(Def.) The length of w is denoted by |w|. For example, |aaa| = 3 and |0| = 1.

(Def.) A (finite) string/word over Σ is a finite sequence of symbols from Σ.
For example, *aaa*, 0010, 0, 1111 are (finite) string
00000... (of infinite length) is not a string.

We usually write $w = a_1 \dots a_n$ to denote a string whose symbol in position *i* is a_i .

(Def.) The length of w is denoted by |w|. For example, |aaa| = 3 and |0| = 1.

(Def.) We write ε to denote the *empty string/word*, i.e., the word of length 0.

Let Σ be an alphabet.

Let Σ be an alphabet.

(Def.) For an integer $n \ge 0$, Σ^n denotes the set of all the words over Σ of length n.

Let Σ be an alphabet.

(Def.) For an integer $n \ge 0$, Σ^n denotes the set of all the words over Σ of length n.

(Def.) Σ^* denotes the set of all *finite* words over Σ , i.e., $\Sigma^* = \bigcup_{n \ge 0} \Sigma^n$.

Let Σ be an alphabet.

(Def.) For an integer $n \ge 0$, Σ^n denotes the set of all the words over Σ of length n.

(Def.) Σ^* denotes the set of all *finite* words over Σ , i.e., $\Sigma^* = \bigcup_{n \ge 0} \Sigma^n$.

(Def.) A language L over Σ is a subset of Σ^* .

• \emptyset is a language over Σ .

- \emptyset is a language over Σ .
- Σ^* is a language over Σ .

- \emptyset is a language over Σ .
- Σ^* is a language over Σ .
- $\{w \in \Sigma^* | \text{the length of } w \text{ is } \leq 4\}$ is a language over Σ .

- \emptyset is a language over Σ .
- Σ^* is a language over Σ .
- $\{w \in \Sigma^* | \text{the length of } w \text{ is } \leq 4\}$ is a language over Σ .
- $\{w \in \Sigma^* | w \text{ does not contain 0} \}$ is a language over Σ .

- \emptyset is a language over Σ .
- Σ^* is a language over Σ .
- $\{w \in \Sigma^* | \text{the length of } w \text{ is } \leq 4\}$ is a language over Σ .
- {w ∈ Σ^{*} | w does not contain 0} is a language over Σ.
- $\{w \in \Sigma^* | \text{the length of } w \text{ is a prime number} \}$ is a language over Σ .

Table of contents

- 1. Introduction
- 2. Some words about mathematical proofs

- 3. The halting problem in C++
- 4. The notion of alphabets and languages

5. Concluding remarks

1. Introduction.

https://www.csie.ntu.edu.tw/~tonytan/teaching/2021a-aut/2021a-aut.html

1. Introduction.

https://www.csie.ntu.edu.tw/~tonytan/teaching/2021a-aut/2021a-aut.html

2. Some words about mathematical proofs.

See Appendix A and B in Note 0 for standard terminology that we will use.

1. Introduction.

https://www.csie.ntu.edu.tw/~tonytan/teaching/2021a-aut/2021a-aut.html

2. Some words about mathematical proofs.

See Appendix A and B in Note 0 for standard terminology that we will use.

3. Halting problem for C++ (or any programming language).

1. Introduction.

https://www.csie.ntu.edu.tw/~tonytan/teaching/2021a-aut/2021a-aut.html

2. Some words about mathematical proofs.

See Appendix A and B in Note 0 for standard terminology that we will use.

- 3. Halting problem for C++ (or any programming language).
- 4. The notion of alphabets and languages.

It will be used throughout the course.

End of Lesson 0