Interest Rate Derivative Securities

What you are, you are only by contracts.
— Richard Wagner (1813–1883),
Der Ring des Nibelungen

Which shows that gambling’s not a sin
provided that you always win.
— Roald Dahl (1916–1990),
“Snow-White and the Seven Dwarfs”

Term Structure Fitting

That’s an old besetting sin;
they think calculating is inventing.
— Johann Wolfgang Goethe (1749–1832)
Introduction to Term Structure Modeling

Outline

- Use the binomial interest rate tree to model stochastic term structure.
  - Illustrates the basic ideas underlying future models.
  - Applications are generic in that pricing and hedging methodologies can be easily adapted to other models.
- Although the idea is similar to the earlier one used in option pricing, the current task is more complicated.
  - The evolution of an entire term structure, not just a single stock price, is to be modeled.
  - Interest rates of various maturities cannot evolve arbitrarily or arbitrage profits may occur.

Issues

- A stochastic interest rate model performs two tasks.
  - Provides a stochastic process that defines future term structures without arbitrage profits.
  - “Consistent” with the observed term structures.
- The unbiased expectations theory, the liquidity preference theory, and the market segmentation theory can all be made consistent with the model.

The fox often ran to the hole by which they had come in, to find out if his body was still thin enough to slip through it.
— Grimm’s Fairy Tales
History

• Methodology founded by Merton (1970).
• Modern interest rate modeling is often traced to 1977 when Vasicek and Cox, Ingersoll, and Ross developed simultaneously their influential models.
• Early models have fitting problems because they may not price today’s benchmark bonds correctly.
• An alternative approach pioneered by Ho and Lee (1986) makes fitting the market yield curve mandatory.
• Models based on such a paradigm are called (somewhat misleadingly) arbitrage-free or no-arbitrage models.

Binomial Interest Rate Tree (continued)

• A binomial tree of future short rates is constructed.
• Every short rate is followed by two short rates in the following period (see next page).
• In the figure on p. 797 node A coincides with the start of period \( j \) during which the short rate \( r \) is in effect.

Binomial Interest Rate Tree

• Goal is to construct a no-arbitrage interest rate tree consistent with the yields and/or yield volatilities of zero-coupon bonds of all maturities.
  – This procedure is called calibration.
• Pick a binomial tree model in which the logarithm of the future short rate obeys the binomial distribution.
  – Exactly like the CRR tree.
• The limiting distribution of the short rate at any future time is hence lognormal.
Binomial Interest Rate Tree (continued)

• At the conclusion of period \( j \), a new short rate goes into effect for period \( j + 1 \).

• This may take one of two possible values:
  - \( r_L \): the “low” short-rate outcome at node B.
  - \( r_H \): the “high” short-rate outcome at node C.

• Each branch has a fifty percent chance of occurring in a risk-neutral economy.

Binomial Interest Rate Tree (continued)

• We shall require that the paths combine as the binomial process unfolds.

• The short rate \( r \) can go to \( r_H \) and \( r_L \) with equal risk-neutral probability \( 1/2 \) in a period of length \( \Delta t \).

• Hence the volatility of \( \ln r \) after \( \Delta t \) time is

\[
\sigma = \frac{1}{2} \frac{1}{\sqrt{\Delta t}} \ln \left( \frac{r_H}{r_L} \right)
\]

(see Exercise 23.2.3 in text).

• Above, \( \sigma \) is annualized, whereas \( r_L \) and \( r_H \) are period based.

Binomial Interest Rate Tree (continued)

• Note that

\[
\frac{r_H}{r_L} = e^{2\sigma \sqrt{\Delta t}}.
\]

• Thus greater volatility, hence uncertainty, leads to larger \( r_H/r_L \) and wider ranges of possible short rates.

• The ratio \( r_H/r_L \) may depend on time if the volatility is a function of time.

• Note that \( r_H/r_L \) has nothing to do with the current short rate \( r \) if \( \sigma \) is independent of \( r \).

Binomial Interest Rate Tree (continued)

• In general there are \( j \) possible rates in period \( j \),

\[
r_j, r_jv_j, r_jv_j^2, \ldots, r_jv_j^{j-1},
\]

where

\[
v_j = e^{2\sigma_j \sqrt{\Delta t}} \tag{88}
\]

is the multiplicative ratio for the rates in period \( j \) (see figure on next page).

• We shall call \( r_j \) the baseline rates.

• The subscript \( j \) in \( \sigma_j \) is meant to emphasize that the short rate volatility may be time dependent.
Binomial Interest Rate Tree (concluded)

- In the limit, the short rate follows the following process,
  \[ r(t) = \mu(t) e^{\sigma(t) W(t)}, \]  
  \[(89)\]
in which the (percent) short rate volatility \( \sigma(t) \) is a deterministic function of time.

- As the expected value of \( r(t) \) equals \( \mu(t) e^{\sigma(t)^2 t / 2} \), a declining short rate volatility is usually imposed to preclude the short rate from assuming implausibly high values.

- Incidentally, this is how the binomial interest rate tree achieves mean reversion.

Set Things in Motion

- The abstract process is now in place.

- Now need the annualized rates of return associated with the various riskless bonds that make up the benchmark yield curve and their volatilities.
  - In the U.S., for example, the on-the-run yield curve obtained by the most recently issued Treasury securities may be used as the benchmark curve.

Memory Issues

- Path independency: The term structure at any node is independent of the path taken to reach it.

- So only the baseline rates \( r_i \) and the multiplicative ratios \( v_i \) need to be stored in computer memory.

- This takes up only \( O(n) \) space.a

- Storing the whole tree would have taken up \( O(n^2) \) space.
  - Daily interest rate movements for 30 years require roughly \( (30 \times 365)^2 / 2 \approx 6 \times 10^7 \) double-precision floating-point numbers (half a gigabyte!).

---
aThroughout this chapter, \( n \) denotes the depth of the tree.
Set Things in Motion (concluded)

- The term structure of (yield) volatilities\(^a\) can be estimated from either the historical data (historical volatility) or interest rate option prices such as cap prices (implied volatility).
- The binomial tree should be consistent with both term structures.
- Here we focus on the term structure of interest rates.

\(^a\)Or simply the volatility (term) structure.

Model Term Structures

- The model price is computed by backward induction.
- Refer back to the figure on p. 797.
- Given that the values at nodes B and C are \(P_B\) and \(P_C\), respectively, the value at node A is then
  \[
  P_B + P_C \frac{r}{2(1+r)} + \text{cash flow at node } A.
  \]
- We compute the values column by column without explicitly expanding the binomial interest rate tree (see figure next page).
- This takes quadratic time and linear space.

Term Structure Dynamics

- An \(n\)-period zero-coupon bond’s price can be computed by assigning $1 to every node at period \(n\) and then applying backward induction.
- Repeating this step for \(n = 1, 2, \ldots\), one obtains the market discount function implied by the tree.
- The tree therefore determines a term structure.
- It also contains a term structure dynamics as taking any node in the tree as the current state induces a binomial interest rate tree and, again, a term structure.
- It defines how the term structure evolves over time.
Sample Term Structure

- We shall construct interest rate trees consistent with the sample term structure in the following table.
- Assume the short rate volatility is such that $v \equiv r_h/r_L = 1.5$, independent of time.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Period</th>
<th>1</th>
<th>2</th>
<th>3</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Spot rate (%)</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>4.2</td>
<td>4.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>One-period forward rate (%)</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>4.4</td>
<td>4.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Discount factor</td>
<td>0.96154</td>
<td>0.92101</td>
<td>0.88135</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

An Approximate Calibration Scheme

- Start with the implied one-period forward rates and then equate the expected short rate with the forward rate (see Exercise 5.6.6 in text).
- For the first period, the forward rate is today’s one-period spot rate.
- In general, let $f_j$ denote the forward rate in period $j$.
- This forward rate can be derived from the market discount function via $f_j = (d(j)/d(j+1)) - 1$ (see Exercise 5.6.3 in text).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>An Approximate Calibration Scheme (continued)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>
| Since the $i$th short rate, $1 \leq i \leq j$, occurs with probability $2^{-(j-1)} {j-1 \choose i-1}$, this means $\sum_{i=1}^{j} 2^{-(j-1)} {j-1 \choose i-1} r_j v_j^{i-1} = f_j$.
- Thus $r_j = \left( \frac{2}{1 + v_j} \right)^{j-1} f_j$.
- The binomial interest rate tree is trivial to set up.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>An Approximate Calibration Scheme (concluded)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>
| The ensuing tree for the sample term structure appears in figure next page.
- For example, the price of the zero-coupon bond paying $\$1$ at the end of the third period is $\frac{1}{4} \times \frac{1}{1.04} \times \left( \frac{1}{1.0352} \times \left( \frac{1}{1.0432} + \frac{1}{1.0528} \times \left( \frac{1}{1.0432} + \frac{1}{1.0648} \right) \right) \right)$ or $0.88155$, which exceeds discount factor $0.88135$.
- The tree is thus not calibrated.
- Indeed, this bias is inherent (see text).
Issues in Calibration

- The model prices generated by the binomial interest rate tree should match the observed market prices.
- Perhaps the most crucial aspect of model building.
- Treat the backward induction for the model price of the $m$-period zero-coupon bond as computing some function of the unknown baseline rate $r_m$ called $f(r_m)$.
- A root-finding method is applied to solve $f(r_m) = P$ for $r_m$ given the zero's price $P$ and $r_1, r_2, \ldots, r_{m-1}$.
- This procedure is carried out for $m = 1, 2, \ldots, n$.
- Runs in cubic time, hopelessly slow.

Binomial Interest Rate Tree Calibration

- Calibration can be accomplished in quadratic time by the use of forward induction (Jamshidian, 1991).
- The scheme records how much $1 at a node contributes to the model price.
- This number is called the state price.
  - It stands for the price of a state contingent claim that pays $1 at that particular node (state) and 0 elsewhere.
- The column of state prices will be established by moving forward from time 1 to time $n$.

Binomial Interest Rate Tree Calibration (continued)

- Suppose we are at time $j$ and there are $j + 1$ nodes.
  - The baseline rate for period $j$ is $r \equiv r_j$.
  - The multiplicative ratio be $v \equiv v_j$.
  - $P_1, P_2, \ldots, P_j$ are the state prices a period prior, corresponding to rates $r, rv, \ldots, rv^{j-1}$.
- By definition, $\sum_{i=1}^{j} P_i$ is the price of the $(j - 1)$-period zero-coupon bond.
Binomial Interest Rate Tree Calibration (continued)

- One dollar at time $j$ has a known market value of $\frac{1}{[1 + S(j)]^j}$, where $S(j)$ is the $j$-period spot rate.
- Alternatively, this dollar has a present value of
  
  $$g(r) = \frac{P_1}{1 + r} + \frac{P_2}{(1 + r)^2} + \cdots + \frac{P_j}{(1 + r)^{j-1}}.$$  

- So we solve
  
  $$g(r) = \frac{1}{[1 + S(j)]^j}$$  

  for $r$.

Binomial Interest Rate Tree Calibration (continued)

- Given a decreasing market discount function, a unique positive solution for $r$ is guaranteed.
- The state prices at time $j$ can now be calculated (see figure (a) next page).
- We call a tree with these state prices a binomial state price tree (see figure (b) next page).
- The calibrated tree is depicted in on p. 821.
Binomial Interest Rate Tree Calibration (concluded)

- The Newton-Raphson method can be used to solve for the \( r \) in Eq. (93) on p. 818 as \( g'(r) \) is easy to evaluate.
- The monotonicity and the convexity of \( g(r) \) also facilitate root finding.
- The above idea is straightforward to implement.
- The total running time is \( O(Cn^2) \), where \( C \) is the maximum number of times the root-finding routine iterates, each consuming \( O(n) \) work.
- With a good initial guess, the Newton-Raphson method converges in only a few steps (Lyuu, 1999).

A Numerical Example

- One dollar at the end of the second period should have a present value of 0.92101 by the sample term structure.
- The baseline rate for the second period, \( r_2 \), satisfies \[ \frac{0.480769}{1 + r_2} + \frac{0.480769}{1 + 1.5 \times r_2} = 0.92101. \]
- The result is \( r_2 = 3.526\% \).
- This is used to derive the next column of state prices shown in figure (b) on p. 820 as 0.232197, 0.460505, and 0.228308.
- Their sum gives the correct market discount factor 0.92101.

A Numerical Example (concluded)

- The baseline rate for the third period, \( r_3 \), satisfies \[ \frac{0.232197}{1 + r_3} + \frac{0.460505}{1 + 1.5 \times r_3} + \frac{0.228308}{1 + (1.5)^2 \times r_3} = 0.88135. \]
- The result is \( r_3 = 2.895\% \).
- Now, redo the calculation on p. 813 using the new rates: \[ \frac{1}{4} \times \frac{1}{1.04} \times \left( \frac{1}{1.03526} + \frac{1}{1.02989} + \frac{1}{1.04343} \right) + \frac{1}{1.05289} \times \left( \frac{1}{1.04343} + \frac{1}{1.06514} \right), \]
  which equals 0.88135, an exact match.
- The tree on p. 821 prices without bias the benchmark securities.
- The term structure dynamics is shown on p. 825.
Spread of Nonbenchmark Bonds

- Model prices calculated by the calibrated tree as a rule do not match market prices of nonbenchmark bonds.
- The incremental return over the benchmark bonds is called spread.
- We look for the spread that, when added uniformly over the short rates in the tree, makes the model price equal the market price.
- We will apply the spread concept to option-free bonds here.

Spread of Nonbenchmark Bonds (continued)

- We illustrate the idea with an example.
- Start with the tree on p. 828.
- Consider a security with cash flow $C_i$ at time $i$ for $i = 1, 2, 3$.
- Its model price is $p(s)$, which is equal to
  \[
  \frac{1}{1.04 + s} \times \left[ C_1 + \frac{1}{2} \times \frac{1}{1.03526 + s} \times \left( C_2 + \frac{1}{2} \left( \frac{C_3}{1.02895 + s} + \frac{C_3}{1.04343 + s} \right) \right) \right] + \\
  \frac{1}{2} \times \frac{1}{1.05289 + s} \times \left( C_2 + \frac{1}{2} \left( \frac{C_3}{1.04343 + s} + \frac{C_3}{1.06514 + s} \right) \right).
  \]
- Given a market price of $P$, the spread is the $s$ that solves $P = p(s)$.

Spread of Nonbenchmark Bonds (continued)

- The model price $p(s)$ is a monotonically decreasing, convex function of $s$.
- We will employ the Newton-Raphson root-finding method to solve $p(s) - P = 0$ for $s$.
- But a quick look at the equation above reveals that evaluating $p'(s)$ directly is infeasible.
- Fortunately, the tree can be used to evaluate both $p(s)$ and $p'(s)$ during backward induction.
Spread of Nonbenchmark Bonds (continued)

- Consider an arbitrary node A in the tree associated with the short rate r.
- In the process of computing the model price p(s), a price \( p_A(s) \) is computed at A.
- Prices computed at A’s two successor nodes B and C are discounted by \( r + s \) to obtain \( p_A(s) \) as follows,

\[
p_A(s) = c + \frac{p_B(s) + p_C(s)}{2(1 + r + s)},
\]

where \( c \) denotes the cash flow at A.

Spread of Nonbenchmark Bonds (continued)

- To compute \( p'_A(s) \) as well, node A calculates

\[
p'_A(s) = \frac{p'_B(s) + p'_C(s)}{2(1 + r + s)} - \frac{p_B(s) + p_C(s)}{2(1 + r + s)^2},
\]

(92)

- This is easy if \( p'_B(s) \) and \( p'_C(s) \) are also computed at nodes B and C.
- Apply the above procedure inductively to yield \( p(s) \) and \( p'(s) \) at the root (p. 832).
- This is called the differential tree method.\(^a\)

\(^a\)Lyuu (1999).

Spread of Nonbenchmark Bonds (continued)

- Let \( C \) represent the number of times the tree is traversed, which takes \( O(n^2) \) time.
- The total running time is \( O(Cn^2) \).
- In practice \( C \) is a small constant.
- The memory requirement is \( O(n) \).
Spread of Nonbenchmark Bonds (continued)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Number of partitions</th>
<th>Running time (s)</th>
<th>Number of iterations</th>
<th>Running time (s)</th>
<th>Number of iterations</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>5000</td>
<td>7.850</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>10500</td>
<td>3503.410</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1500</td>
<td>71.650</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>11500</td>
<td>4169.570</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2500</td>
<td>198.770</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>12500</td>
<td>4912.680</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3500</td>
<td>387.460</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>13500</td>
<td>5714.440</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4500</td>
<td>641.400</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>14500</td>
<td>6589.360</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5500</td>
<td>951.800</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>15500</td>
<td>7548.760</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6500</td>
<td>1327.900</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>16500</td>
<td>8502.950</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7500</td>
<td>1761.110</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>17500</td>
<td>9523.900</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8500</td>
<td>2269.750</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>18500</td>
<td>10617.370</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9500</td>
<td>2834.170</td>
<td>5</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

75MHz Sun SPARCstation 20.

Spread of Nonbenchmark Bonds (concluded)

- Consider a three-year, 5% bond with a market price of 100.569.
- Assume the bond pays annual interest.
- The spread can be shown to be 50 basis points over the tree (p. 836).
- Note that the idea of spread does not assume parallel shifts in the term structure.
- It also differs from the yield spread and static spread of the nonbenchmark bond over an otherwise identical benchmark bond.

More Applications of the Differential Tree: Calibrating Black-Derman-Toy (in seconds)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Number of years</th>
<th>Running time (s)</th>
<th>Number of years</th>
<th>Running time (s)</th>
<th>Number of years</th>
<th>Running time (s)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>3000</td>
<td>398.880</td>
<td>39000</td>
<td>8562.640</td>
<td>75000</td>
<td>26182.080</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6000</td>
<td>1697.680</td>
<td>42000</td>
<td>9579.780</td>
<td>78000</td>
<td>28138.140</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9000</td>
<td>2539.040</td>
<td>45000</td>
<td>10785.850</td>
<td>81000</td>
<td>30230.260</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12000</td>
<td>2803.890</td>
<td>48000</td>
<td>11905.290</td>
<td>84000</td>
<td>32317.050</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>15000</td>
<td>3149.330</td>
<td>51000</td>
<td>13199.470</td>
<td>87000</td>
<td>34487.320</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>18000</td>
<td>3549.100</td>
<td>54000</td>
<td>14411.790</td>
<td>90000</td>
<td>36795.430</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>21000</td>
<td>3990.050</td>
<td>57000</td>
<td>15632.370</td>
<td>120000</td>
<td>63767.690</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>24000</td>
<td>4470.320</td>
<td>60000</td>
<td>17360.670</td>
<td>150000</td>
<td>98339.710</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>27000</td>
<td>5211.830</td>
<td>63000</td>
<td>19037.910</td>
<td>180000</td>
<td>140484.180</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>30000</td>
<td>5944.330</td>
<td>66000</td>
<td>20751.100</td>
<td>210000</td>
<td>190557.420</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>33000</td>
<td>6639.480</td>
<td>69000</td>
<td>22435.050</td>
<td>240000</td>
<td>249138.210</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>36000</td>
<td>7611.630</td>
<td>72000</td>
<td>24292.740</td>
<td>270000</td>
<td>313480.390</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

75MHz Sun SPARCstation 20, one period per year.
More Applications of the Differential Tree: Calculating Implied Volatility (in seconds)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Number of partitions</th>
<th>Running number of time iterations</th>
<th>Number of partitions</th>
<th>Running number of time iterations</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>100</td>
<td>0.008210 2</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>0.013845 3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>200</td>
<td>0.033510 2</td>
<td>200</td>
<td>0.036335 3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>300</td>
<td>0.072940 2</td>
<td>300</td>
<td>0.120455 3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>400</td>
<td>0.120180 2</td>
<td>400</td>
<td>0.214100 3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>500</td>
<td>0.201850 2</td>
<td>500</td>
<td>0.333950 3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>600</td>
<td>0.290480 2</td>
<td>600</td>
<td>0.323260 2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>700</td>
<td>0.394090 2</td>
<td>700</td>
<td>0.435720 2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>800</td>
<td>0.522040 2</td>
<td>800</td>
<td>0.569605 2</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Intel 166MHz Pentium, running on Microsoft Windows 95.

Fixed-Income Options

- Consider a two-year 99 European call on the three-year, 5% Treasury.
- Assume the Treasury pays annual interest.
- From p. 840 the three-year Treasury’s price minus the $5 interest could be $102.046, $100.630, or $98.579 two years from now.
- Since these prices do not include the accrued interest, we should compare the strike price against them.
- The call is therefore in the money in the first two scenarios, with values of $3.046 and $1.630, and out of the money in the third scenario.

Fixed-Income Options (continued)

- The option value is calculated to be $1.458 on p. 840(a).
- European interest rate puts can be valued similarly.
- Consider a two-year 99 European put on the same security.
- At expiration, the put is in the money only if the Treasury is worth $98.579 without the accrued interest.
- The option value is computed to be $0.096 on p. 840(b).
Fixed-Income Options (concluded)

- The present value of the strike price is
  \[ PV(X) = 99 \times 0.92101 = 91.18. \]
- The Treasury is worth \( B = 101.955. \)
- The present value of the interest payments during the life of the options is
  \[ PV(I) = 5 \times 0.96154 + 5 \times 0.92101 = 9.41275. \]
- The call and the put are worth \( C = 1.458 \) and \( P = 0.096, \) respectively.
- Hence the put-call parity is preserved:
  \[ C = P + B - PV(I) - PV(X). \]

Delta or Hedge Ratio (concluded)

- Since delta measures the sensitivity of the option value to changes in the underlying bond price, it shows how to hedge one with the other.
- Take the call and put on p. 840 as examples.
- Their deltas are
  \[ \frac{0.774 - 2.258}{99.350 - 102.716} = 0.441, \]
  \[ \frac{0.200 - 0.000}{99.350 - 102.716} = -0.059, \]
  respectively.

Delta or Hedge Ratio

- How much does the option price change in response to changes in the price of the underlying bond?
- This relation is called delta (or hedge ratio) defined as
  \[ \frac{O_h - O_l}{P_h - P_l}. \]
- In the above \( P_h \) and \( P_l \) denote the bond prices if the short rate moves up and down, respectively.
- Similarly, \( O_h \) and \( O_l \) denote the option values if the short rate moves up and down, respectively.

Volatility Term Structures

- The binomial interest rate tree can be used to calculate the yield volatility of zero-coupon bonds.
- Consider an \( n \)-period zero-coupon bond.
- First find its yield to maturity \( y_h \) (\( y_l \), respectively) at the end of the initial period if the rate rises (declines, respectively).
- The yield volatility for our model is defined as \( (1/2) \ln(y_h/y_l). \)
Volatility Term Structures (continued)

- For example, based on the tree on p. 821, the two-year zero's yield at the end of the first period is 5.289% if the rate rises and 3.526% if the rate declines.
- Its yield volatility is therefore
  \[ \frac{1}{2} \ln \left( \frac{0.05289}{0.03526} \right) = 20.273\% . \]

Consider the three-year zero-coupon bond.
- If the rate rises, the price of the zero one year from now will be
  \[ \frac{1}{2} \times \frac{1}{1.05289} \times \left( \frac{1}{1.04343} + \frac{1}{1.06514} \right) = 0.90096. \]
- Thus its yield is \( \sqrt{\frac{1}{0.90096}} - 1 = 0.053531. \)
- If the rate declines, the price of the zero one year from now will be
  \[ \frac{1}{2} \times \frac{1}{1.03526} \times \left( \frac{1}{1.02896} + \frac{1}{1.04343} \right) = 0.93225. \]

Volatility Term Structures (continued)

- Thus its yield is \( \sqrt{\frac{1}{0.93225}} - 1 = 0.0357. \)
- The yield volatility is hence
  \[ \frac{1}{2} \ln \left( \frac{0.053531}{0.0357} \right) = 20.256\% , \]
  slightly less than the one-year yield volatility.
- This is consistent with the reality that longer-term bonds typically have lower yield volatilities than shorter-term bonds.
- The procedure can be repeated for longer-term zeros to obtain their yield volatilities.

Spot rate volatility

Short rate volatility given flat %10 volatility term structure.
Volatility Term Structures (continued)

- We started with \( v_i \) and then derived the volatility term structure.
- In practice, the steps are reversed.
- The volatility term structure is supplied by the user along with the term structure.
- The \( v_i \)—hence the short rate volatilities via Eq. (90) on p. 801—and the \( r_i \) are then simultaneously determined.
- The result is the Black-Derman-Toy model.

Volatility Term Structures (concluded)

- Suppose the user supplies the volatility term structure which results in \((v_1, v_2, v_3, \ldots)\) for the tree.
- The volatility term structure one period from now will be determined by \((v_2, v_3, v_4, \ldots)\) not \((v_1, v_2, v_3, \ldots)\).
- The volatility term structure supplied by the user is hence not maintained through time.
- This issue will be addressed by other types of (complex) models.