## Correctness of getMinIndex

## 1 Question

The following GETMININDEX algorithm has been introduced in the class. Prove that the algorithm is correct. That is, the index to the minimum element will be returned.

```
GETMININDEX(integer array arr, integer len)

minpos \leftarrow 0

for i \leftarrow 1 to len - 1 do

if arr[i] < arr[minpos] then

minpos \leftarrow i

end if

end for

return minpos
```

## 2 Answer

**Claim 0**: Upon existing the loop at i = k for any k = 1, 2, ..., len - 1,  $arr[minpos] \leq arr[j]$  for j = 0, 1, 2, ..., k.

**Proof**: Let  $m_k$  denote the value of *minpos* when existing the loop at i = k.

- 1. The claim is true when i = 1, because either
  - arr[1] < arr[0], which means that the **if** is true (*i* is 1 and *minpos* was assigned to 0 in the first line) and  $m_1$  is then assigned to 1, making  $arr[m_1] \le arr[j]$  for j = 0, 1, or
  - $arr[1] \ge arr[0]$ , which keeps  $m_1 = 0$  and thus  $arr[m_1] \le arr[j]$  for j = 0, 1.
- 2. Assume that when i = t 1, the claim is true. That is,

$$arr[m_{t-1}] \le arr[j] \text{ for } j = 0, 1, 2, \dots, t-1.$$
 (1)

Then, when i = t, there are two cases

• the if is true, which means  $arr[t] < arr[m_{t-1}]$ . Combining the inequality with (1),

$$arr[t] < arr[j] \text{ for } j = 0, 1, 2, \dots, t-1.$$
 (2)

In this case,  $m_t$  gets updated to t. Combining the trivial  $arr[t] \leq arr[t]$  with (2), we get

$$arr[m_t] \le arr[j] \text{ for } j = 0, 1, 2, \dots, t.$$
 (3)

• the if is false, which means  $arr[t] \ge arr[m_{t-1}]$ . Note that  $m_t$  keeps the value of  $m_{t-1}$  here. We can then combine the inequality with (1), and get

$$arr[m_t] \le arr[j] \text{ for } j = 0, 1, 2, \dots, t.$$
 (4)

So in both cases, the claim is true when i = t. By mathematical induction, the claim is true for any i = 1, 2, ..., k.

**Claim 1**: Upon existing the algorithm,  $arr[minpos] \leq arr[j]$  for j = 0, 1, 2, ..., len - 1 for any positive *len*. That is, the algorithm is correct.

**Proof:** Claim 1 is trivially true for len = 1, where minpos stays at 0 and is surely  $\leq arr[j]$  for j = 0. For other len, apply Claim 0 with k = len - 1 and we see that Claim 1 is also true.