NAT Traversal for VoIP

Ai-Chun Pang

Graduate Institute of Networking and Multimedia Dept. of Comp. Sci. and Info. Engr. National Taiwan University

References

- "SIP, NAT and Firewalls", Fredrik Thernelius
- Baruch Sterman and David Schwartz, "NAT Traversal in SIP", Deltathree
- "STUN Simple Traversal of UDP Through Network Address Translators", RFC 3489, IETF
- "An Extension to the SIP for Symmetric Response Routing", RFC 3581, IETF
- "TURN Traversal Using Relay NAT", Internet Draft, IETF

Outline

- Introduction
- Problems of NAT Traversal for VoIP
- Possible Solutions for VoIP over NAT

What is NAT?

- NAT Network Address Translation
- Converts Network Address (and Port) between private and public realm
- Works on IP layer
- Transparent to Upper-layer Applications





Flavors of NAT [1/3]

Static NAT

- Requires the same number of globally IP addresses as that of hosts in the private environment
- Maps between internal IP addresses and external addresses is set manually
 - This mapping intends to stay for a long period of time

Flavors of NAT [2/3]

Dynamic NAT

- Collect the public IP addresses into an IP address pool
- A host connecting to the outside network is allocated an external IP address from the address pool managed by NAT

Flavors of NAT [3/3]

NAPT (Network Address and Port Translation)

- A special case of Dynamic NAT
 - Use port numbers as the basis for the address translation
- Most commonly used

Types of NAT

- Full Cone
- Restricted Cone
- Port Restricted Cone
- Symmetric

Full Cone NAT

- Client sends a packet to public address A.
- NAT allocates a public port (12345) for private port (21) on the client.
- Any incoming packet (from A or B) to public port (12345) will dispatch to private port (21) on the client.



Restricted Cone NAT [1/2]

- Client sends a packet to public address A.
- NAT allocate a public port (12345) for private port (21) on the client.
- Only incoming packet from A to public port (12345) will dispatch to private port (21) on the client.



Restricted Cone NAT [2/2]

- Client sends another packet to public address B.
- NAT will reuse allocated public port (12345) for private port (21) on the client.
- Incoming packet from B to public port (12345) will now dispatch to private port (21) on the client.



Port Restricted Cone NAT

- Client sends a packet to public address A at port 20202.
- NAT will allocate a public port (12345) for private port (21) on the client.
- Only incoming packet from address A and port 20202 to public port (12345) will dispatch to private port (21) on the client.



Symmetric NAT

- NAT allocates a public port each time the client sends a packet to different public address and port
- Only incoming packet from the original mapped public address and port will dispatch to private port on client



VoIP Protocol and NAT

- NAT converts IP addresses on IP layer
- Problem 1:
 - SIP, H.323, Megaco and MGCP are application layer protocol but contain IP address/port info in messages, which is not translated by NAT
- Problem 2:
 - Private client must send an outgoing packet first (to create a mapping on NAT) to receive incoming packets

Solving NAT Traversal Problems

- Objectives
 - To discover the mapped public IP & port for a private IP & port
 - To use the mapped public IP & port in application layer message
 - To keep this mapping valid
- Issues
 - NAT will automatically allocate a public port for a private address & port if needed.
 - NAT will release the mapping if the public port is "idle"
 - No TCP connection on the port
 - No UDP traffic on the port for a period
 - Keep a TCP connection to destination
 - Send UDP packets to destination every specified interval

NAT Solutions

- IPv6 (Internet Protocol Version 6)
- UPnP (Universal Plug-and-Play)
 - UPnP Forum http://www.upnp.org/
- Proprietary protocol by NAT/Firewall
 - SIP ALG (Application Level Gateway)
- SIP extensions for NAT traversal
 - RFC 3581
 - Works for SIP only, can not help RTP to pass through NAT
- STUN (Simple Traversal of UDP Through Network Address Translators)
 - RFC 3489
 - Works except for symmetric NAT
- TURN (Traversal Using Relay NAT)
 - draft-rosenberg-midcom-turn-04
 - for symmetric NAT

Two Distinct Cases – NAT Deployment [1/2]

Case I : SIP Provider is the IP Network Provider



Two Distinct Cases – NAT Deployment [2/2]

Case II : SIP Provider is NOT IP Network Provider



Solution for Case I – ALG [1/2]

Separate Application Layer NAT from IP Layer NAT

- Like MEGACO Decomposition
 - MG = Packet Filter
 - MGC = Control Proxy
- Advantages
 - Better scaling
 - Load balancing
 - Low cost



Solution for Case I – ALG [2/2]

- A control Protocol between application-layer NATs and IP-layer NATs
- Main Requirements
 - Binding Request: To give a private address and obtain a public address
 - Binding Release
 - Open Hole (firewall)
 - Close Hole (firewall)



Proposed Solution for Case II

Much harder problem

- No way to control firewall or NAT
- Cascading NATs
- Variable firewall NAT behaviors

Proposed Solution

- Make SIP "NAT-Friendly"
 - Minor extensions
 - Address the issues for SIP only, not RTP
 - Accepted by IETF (RFC 3581)
- Develop a protocol for traversal of UDP through NAT
 - Work for RTP
 - Also support other applications

SIP Extension to NAT Friendly

Client Behavior

- Include an "**rport**" parameter in the Via header
 - This parameter MUST have no value
 - It serves as a flag
- The client SHOULD retransmit its INVITE every 20 seconds
 - Due to UDP NAT binding period and to keep the binding fresh

SIP Extension to NAT Friendly [2/2]

Server Behavior

- Examines the Via header field value of the request
 - If it contains an "**rport**" parameter,
 - A "received" parameter
 - An "rport" parameter
- The response MUST be sent to the IP address listed in the "received" parameter, and the port in the "rport" parameter.

Example [1/2]

Client A: 10.1.1.1 Proxy B: 68.44.10.3 NAT C: 68.44.20.1

- A issues request INVITE sip:user@domain SIP/2.0 Via: SIP/2.0/UDP 10.1.1.1:4540;rport
- A→C (mapping port 9988)→B INVITE sip:user@domain SIP/2.0 Via: SIP/2.0/UDP proxy.domain.com Via: SIP/2.0/UDP 10.1.1.1:4540; received=68.44.20.1;rport=9988;

Example [2/2]

- Server B receives the response SIP/2.0 200 OK
 Via: SIP/2.0/UDP proxy.domain.com
 Via: SIP/2.0/UDP
 10.1.1.1:4540;received=68.44.20.1;rport=9988;
- B (68.44.10.3:5060) → C (68.44.20.1:9988) → A SIP/2.0 200 OK Via: SIP/2.0/UDP 10.1.1.1:4540;received=68.44.20.1;rport=9988;



UPnP [1/2]

- <u>Universal Plug and Play</u>
- It is being pushed by Microsoft
 - Windows[®] Messenger
- A UPnP-aware client can ask the UPnPenabled NAT how it would map a particular IP:port through UPnP
- It will not work in the case of cascading NATs

UPnP [2/2]

- A: Private Network
 - UPnP-aware Internet gateway device
 - The UPnP-enabled NAT allows "A" to be aware of its external IP
- B: Public Internet
 - "B" and "A" can communicate with each other



External Query

- A server sits listening for packets (**NAT probe**)
- When receiving a packet, it returns a message from the same port to the source containing the IP:port that it sees



STUN

- <u>Simple Traversal of UDP Through NAT</u>
- RFC 3489
- In Working Group IETF MIDCOM Group
- Simple Protocol
- Works with existing NATs
- Main features
 - Allow Client to Discover Presence of NAT
 - Works in Multi-NAT Environments
 - Allow Client to Discover the Type of NAT
 - Allows Client to Discover the Binding Lifetimes
 - Stateless Servers

STUN Server

- Allow client to discover if it is behind a NAT, what type of NAT it is, and the public address & port NAT will use.
- A simple protocol, easy to implement, little load



Binding Acquisition

- STUN Server can be ANYWHERE on Public Internet
- Call Flow Proceeds Normally



STUN Message [1/3]

- TLV (type-length-value)
- Start with a STUN header, followed by a STUN payload (a series of STUN attributes depending on the message type)

Format

STUN	STUN Payload (can have none to many
Header	blocks)

STUN Message [2/3]



Message Types

0x0001: Binding Request
0x0111: Binding Error Response0x0101: Binding Response0x0002: Shared Secret Request
0x0112: Shared Secret Error Response0x0102: Shared Secret Response

STUN Message [3/3]



Attribute Types

0x0001: MAPPED-ADDRESS 0x0003: CHANGE-REQUEST 0x0005: CHANGED-ADDRESS 0x0007: PASSWORD 0x0009: ERROR-CODE 0x000b: REFLECTED-FROM 0x0002: RESPONSE-ADDRESS 0x0004: SOURCE-ADDRESS 0x0006: USERNAME 0x0008: MESSAGE-INTEGRITY 0x000a: UNKNOWN-ATTRIBUTES

Automatic Detection of NAT Environment [1/2]



Test	Destination	Change IP	Change Port	Return IP:port
Test I	IP1:1	N	N	IP1:1
Test II	IP1:1	Y	Y	IP2:2
Test III	IP2:1	N	N	IP2:1
Test IV	IP1:1	N	Y	IP1:2

Automatic Detection of NAT Environment [2/2]



Binding Lifetime Determination



Binding Acquisition Procedure



STUN - Pros and Cons

- Benefits
 - No changes required in NAT
 - No changes required in Proxy
 - Works through most residential NAT
- Drawbacks
 - Doesn't allow VoIP to work through Symmetric NAT
 - RTCP may not work

Is STUN suitable for Symmetric NAT

Absolutely not



Solutions for Symmetric NATs

- Connection Oriented Media
- RTP-Relay

Connection Oriented Media

- The endpoint outside the NAT must wait until it receives a packet from the client before it can know where to reply
- Add a line to the SDP message (coming from the client behind the NAT)

a=direction:active

- The initiating client will "actively" set up the IP:port to which the endpoint should return RTP
 - The IP:port found in the SDP message should be ignored

Problem?

- If the endpoint does not support the a=direction:active tag
- If both endpoints are behind Symmetric NATs

RTP-Relay

- For either of the cases considered in the previous slide, one solution is to have an RTP Relay in the middle of the RTP flow between endpoints.
- The RTP Relay acts as the second endpoint to each of the actual endpoints that are attempting to communicate with each other.

Example

The following is a typical call flow that might be instantiated between a User Agent behind a symmetric NAT and a voice gateway on the open Internet.



TURN

- Traversal Using Relay NAT
- draft-rosenberg-midcom-turn-06.txt



Obtaining a One Time Password



Allocating a Binding



Refreshing a Binding



Sending Data



Receiving Packet



Tearing Down a Binding



TURN – Pros and Cons

- Pros
 - No change required in NAT.
 - Work through firewall and all kinds of NAT.
- Cons
 - Long latency
 - Heavy load for TURN server