# Theory of Computation Lecture Notes 

Prof. Yuh-Dauh Lyuu<br>Dept. Computer Science \& Information Engineering and<br>Department of Finance<br>National Taiwan University

## Class Information

- Papadimitriou. Computational Complexity. 2nd printing. Addison-Wesley. 1995.
- We more or less follow the topics of the book.
- Extra materials may be added.
- You may want to review discrete mathematics. ${ }^{\text {a }}$
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## Class Information (continued)

- More information and lecture notes can be found at www.csie.ntu.edu.tw/~1yuu/complexity.html
- (Homeworks,) exams, solutions and teaching assistants will be announced there.
- Past homeworks and solutions to past exams can be found there, too.


## Class Information (concluded)

- Please ask many questions in class.
- This is the best way for me to remember you in a large class. ${ }^{\text {a }}$
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## Grading

- Three exams.
- You must show up for the exams in person.
- If you cannot make it to an exam for a legitimate reason, please email me or a TA beforehand to the extent possible.


## Problems and Algorithms



## What This Course Is All About

Computation: What is computation?
Computability: What can be computed?

- There are problems that cannot be computed.
- In fact, most problems cannot be computed.


## What This Course Is All About (continued)

Complexity: What is a computable problem's inherent complexity?

- Some computable problems require at least exponential time and/or space.
- They are said to be intractable.
- Some practical problems require superpolynomial ${ }^{\text {a }}$ resources unless certain conjectures are disproved.
- Resources besides time and space: Circuit size, circuit layout area, program size, number of random bits, number of queries, etc.
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## What This Course Is All About (concluded)

Applications: Intractability results can be very useful.

- Cryptography, digital currency, and security.
- Approximations.
- Pseudorandom number generation and derandomization.
- Even conjectures about nature.


## Tractability and Intractability

- Tractability means polynomial in terms of the input size $n$.
$-n, n \log n, n^{2}, n^{90}$.
- It results in a fruitful and practical theory of complexity.
- Few practical, tractable problems require a large degree.
- Superpolynomial-time algorithms are seldom practical.
$-n^{\log n}, 2^{\sqrt{n}},{ }^{2} 2^{n}, n!\sim \sqrt{2 \pi n}(n / e)^{n}$.
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## Exponential Growth of E. Colia

- Under ideal conditions, E. Coli bacteria divide every 20 minutes.
- In two days, a single $E$. Coli bacterium would become $2^{144}$ bacteria.
- They would weigh 2,664 times the Earth!
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## Growth of Factorials

| $n$ | $n!$ | $n$ | $n!$ |
| ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| 1 | 1 | 9 | 362,880 |
| 2 | 2 | 10 | $3,628,800$ |
| 3 | 6 | 11 | $39,916,800$ |
| 4 | 24 | 12 | $479,001,600$ |
| 5 | 120 | 13 | $6,227,020,800$ |
| 6 | 720 | 14 | $87,178,291,200$ |
| 7 | 5040 | 15 | $1,307,674,368,000$ |
| 8 | 40320 | 16 | $20,922,789,888,000$ |

## Moore's Law to the Rescue? ${ }^{a}$

- One version of Moore's law says the computing power doubles every 1.5 years. ${ }^{\text {b }}$
- So the computing power grows like

$$
4^{y / 3}
$$

where $y$ is the number of years from now.

- Assume Moore's law holds forever.
- Can we let the law take care of exponential complexity?
${ }^{\text {a }}$ Contributed by Ms. Amy Liu (J94922016) on May 15, 2006. Thanks also to a lively discussion on September 14, 2010.
${ }^{\text {b }}$ Moore (1965).


## Moore's Law to the Rescue (continued)?

- Suppose a problem takes $a^{n}$ seconds of CPU time to solve now, where $n$ is the input length and $a>1$.
- The same problem will take

$$
\frac{a^{n}}{4^{y / 3}}
$$

seconds to solve $y$ years from now.

- In particular, the hardware $3 n \log _{4} a$ years from now takes 1 second to solve it.
- The overall complexity becomes linear!


## Moore's Law to the Rescue (concluded)?

- Potential objections:
- Moore's law may not hold forever.
- The total number of operations is the same; so the algorithm remains exponential in complexity. ${ }^{\text {a }}$
- What is a "good" theory on computational complexity?
- Should it be based on technology?
- Or should it be based on mathematics?
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## Turing Machines

Tarski has stressed in his lecture (and I think justly)
the great importance of the concept of general recursiveness (or Turing's computability).

- Kurt Gödel (1946)

Either mathematics is too big for the human mind, or the human mind is more than a machine.

- Kurt Gödel ${ }^{\text {a }}$

[^6]
## What Is Computation?

- That can be coded in an algorithm. ${ }^{\text {a }}$
- An algorithm is a detailed step-by-step method for solving a problem.
- The Euclidean algorithm for the greatest common divisor is an algorithm.
- "Let $s$ be the least upper bound of compact set $A$ " is not an algorithm.
- "Let $s$ be a smallest element of a finite-sized array" can be solved by an algorithm.
- How about passing the Turing test?
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## Turing Machines ${ }^{\text {a }}$

- A Turing machine (TM) is a quadruple $M=(K, \Sigma, \delta, s)$.
- $K$ is a finite set of states. ${ }^{\text {b }}$
- $s \in K$ is the initial state.
- $\Sigma$ is a finite set of symbols (disjoint from $K$ ).
$-\Sigma$ includes $\bigsqcup($ blank $)$ and $\triangleright\left(\right.$ first symbol). ${ }^{\text {c }}$
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## Turing Machines (concluded)

- $\delta: K \times \Sigma \rightarrow(K \cup\{h$, "yes", "no" $\}) \times \Sigma \times\{\leftarrow, \rightarrow,-\}$ is a transition function.
$-\leftarrow$ (left), $\rightarrow$ (right), and - (stay) signify cursor movements.



## More on $\delta$

- The program has the halting state $(h)$, the accepting state ("yes"), and the rejecting state ("no").
- Given current state $q \in K$ and current symbol $\sigma \in \Sigma$,

$$
\delta(q, \sigma)=(p, \rho, D)
$$

- It specifies:
* The next state $p$;
* The symbol $\rho$ to be written over $\sigma$;
* The direction $D$ the cursor will move afterwards.


## More on $\delta$ (continued)

- For convenience, $\delta(q, \triangleright)=(\cdot, \triangleright, \rightarrow)$ for every $q \in K$.
- So the cursor never falls off the left end of the string.
- Think of the program as lines of codes:

$$
\begin{aligned}
\delta\left(q_{1}, \sigma_{1}\right) & =\left(p_{1}, \rho_{1}, D_{1}\right) \\
\delta\left(q_{2}, \sigma_{2}\right) & =\left(p_{2}, \rho_{2}, D_{2}\right) \\
& \vdots \\
\delta\left(q_{n}, \sigma_{n}\right) & =\left(p_{n}, \rho_{n}, D_{n}\right) .
\end{aligned}
$$

## More on $\delta$ (concluded)

- Assume the state is $q$ and the symbol under the cursor $\sigma$.
- The line of code that matches $(q, \sigma)$ is executed. ${ }^{\text {a }}$
- Then the process is repeated.
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## The Operations of TMs

- Initially the state is $s$.
- The string on the tape is initialized to a $\triangleright$, followed by a finite-length string $x \in(\Sigma-\{\bigsqcup\})^{*}$.
- $x$ is the input of the TM.
- The input must not contain $\bigsqcup \mathrm{s}$ (why?)!
- The cursor is pointing to the first symbol, always a $\triangleright$.
- The TM takes each step according to $\delta$.
- The cursor may overwrite $\bigsqcup$ to lengthen the string.
- In contrast, writing down a $\bigsqcup$ amounts to erasure.


## "Physical" Interpretations

- The tape: computer memory and registers.
- Except that the tape can be lengthened on demand.
- $\delta$ : program.
- A program has a finite size.
- $K$ : instruction numbers.
- s: "main()" in the C programming language.
- $\Sigma$ : alphabet, much like the ASCII code.


## Alan Turing (1912-1954)

Richard Dawkins (2006), "Turing arguably made a greater contribution to defeating the Nazis than Eisenhower or Churchill."

Michael Peck (2014), "But ULTRA didn't detect German preparations, which was taken as an indication that nothing was happening."


## The Halting of a TM

- A TM $M$ may halt in three cases.
"yes": $M$ accepts its input $x$, and $M(x)=$ "yes".
"no": $M$ rejects its input $x$, and $M(x)=$ "no".
$h: M(x)=y$ means the string (tape) consists of a $\triangleright$, followed by the finite string $y$ which contains no $\bigsqcup \mathrm{s}$, followed by a $\bigsqcup$.
$-y$ is the output of the computation.
$-y$ may be empty denoted by $\epsilon$.
- If $M$ never halts on $x$, then write $M(x)=\nearrow$.



## The First TM Program ${ }^{\text {a }}$

- Assume $M=(K, \Sigma, \delta, s)$, where $K=\{s, h\}$, $\Sigma=\{0,1, \sqcup, \triangleright\}$, and

| $p \in K$ | $\sigma \in \Sigma$ | $\delta(p, \sigma)$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $s$ | $\triangleright$ | $(s, \triangleright, \rightarrow)$ |
| $s$ | 1 | $(s, 0, \rightarrow)$ |
| $s$ | 0 | $(s, 1, \rightarrow)$ |
| $s$ | $\sqcup$ | $(h, \sqcup,-)$ |

- This TM converts all 1's in the input string to 0's and vice versa.
${ }^{\text {a }}$ Contributed by Mr. Zheyuan (Jeffrey) Gao (R01922142) on September 21, 2013.


## The Second TM Program ${ }^{\text {a }}$

- Assume $M=(K, \Sigma, \delta, s)$, where $K=\left\{s, s_{1}, h\right\}$, $\Sigma=\{0,1, \sqcup, \triangleright\}$, and
${ }^{\text {a }}$ Contributed by Mr. Zheyuan (Jeffrey) Gao (R01922142) on September 21, 2013.

| $p \in K$ | $\sigma \in \Sigma$ | $\delta(p, \sigma)$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $s$ | $\triangleright$ | $(s, \triangleright, \rightarrow)$ |
| $s$ | 0 | $(s, 0, \rightarrow)$ |
| $s$ | 1 | $\left(s_{1}, 1, \rightarrow\right)$ |
| $s_{1}$ | 0 | $(s, 0, \rightarrow)$ |
| $s_{1}$ | 1 | $(h, 1,-)$ |
| $s$ | $\sqcup$ | $(h, \sqcup,-)$ |
| $s_{1}$ | $\sqcup$ | $(h, \sqcup,-)$ |

## The Second TM Program (concluded)

- This TM scans to the right until it finds two consecutive 1's and then halts.
- Otherwise, it halts at the end of the input string.
- But it does not tell you its findings.


## The Third TM Program

- Assume $M=(K, \Sigma, \delta, s)$, where

$$
K=\left\{s, s_{1}, " y e s ", " n o "\right\}, \Sigma=\{0,1, \sqcup, \triangleright\}, \text { and }
$$

| $p \in K$ | $\sigma \in \Sigma$ | $\delta(p, \sigma)$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $s$ | $\triangleright$ | $(s, \triangleright, \rightarrow)$ |
| $s$ | 0 | $(s, 0, \rightarrow)$ |
| $s$ | 1 | $\left(s_{1}, 1, \rightarrow\right)$ |
| $s_{1}$ | 0 | $(s, 0, \rightarrow)$ |
| $s_{1}$ | 1 | ("yes", 1,-) |
| $s$ | $\sqcup$ | $(" n o ", \sqcup,-)$ |
| $s_{1}$ | $\sqcup$ | $(" n o ", \sqcup,-)$ |

## The Third TM Program (concluded)

- This TM accepts the input if there are two consecutive 1's.
- Otherwise, it rejects the input string.


## Why Turing Machines?

- Because of the simplicity of the TM, the model has the advantage when it comes to complexity issues.
- One can conceivably develop a complexity theory based on something similar to C, C++ or Java.
- But the added complexity does not yield additional fundamental insights.
- We will describe TMs in pseudocode only. ${ }^{\text {a }}$
${ }^{\text {a But you are strongly encouraged to read and understand the } \mathrm{TM}}$
codes in the textbook to gain insight on its subtleties. codes in the textbook to gain insight on its subtleties.


## A TM Program To Insert a Symbol

- We want to compute $f(x)=a x$.
- The TM moves its cursor to the last symbol.
- It moves the last symbol of $x$ to the right by one position.
- It moves the next to last symbol to the right, and so on.
- The TM finally writes $a$ in the first position.
- The total number of steps is $O(n)$, where $n$ is the length of $x$.


## Remarks

- A computation model should be "physically" realizable.
- E.g., our brain, at least as powerful as a Turing machine, is physical.
- A TM requires a tape of unbounded length, which is not realizable.
- But it is not a major conceptual issue. ${ }^{\text {a }}$
- Imagine you ("the program") live next to a paper mill while carrying out a TM code using pencil ("the cursor") and paper ("the tape").
- The mill will produce extra paper if needed.
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## Remarks (concluded)

- Even our computer is only an approximation of a TM.
- But it is easy to imagine our computer with more and more address space, memory space, and disk space.


## The Concept of Configuration

- A configuration ${ }^{\mathrm{a}}$ is a complete description of the current state of the computation.
- The specification of a configuration is sufficient for the computation to continue as if it had not been stopped.
- What does your PC save before it sleeps or hibernates?
- Enough for it to resume the work later.
- Similar to the concept of state in Markov processes.
${ }^{\text {a }}$ This term was due to Turing (1936).


## Configurations (concluded)

- A configuration is a triple $(q, w, u)$ :
$-q \in K$.
$-w \in \Sigma^{*}$ is the string to the left of the cursor (inclusive).
$-u \in \Sigma^{*}$ is the string to the right of the cursor.
- Note that $(w, u)$ describes both the string and the cursor position.



## Yielding

- Fix a TM $M$.
- Configuration $(q, w, u)$ yields configuration $\left(q^{\prime}, w^{\prime}, u^{\prime}\right)$ in one step,

$$
(q, w, u) \xrightarrow{M}\left(q^{\prime}, w^{\prime}, u^{\prime}\right),
$$

if a step of $M$ from configuration ( $q, w, u$ ) results in configuration $\left(q^{\prime}, w^{\prime}, u^{\prime}\right)$.

- $(q, w, u) \xrightarrow{M^{k}}\left(q^{\prime}, w^{\prime}, u^{\prime}\right)$ : Configuration $(q, w, u)$ yields configuration $\left(q^{\prime}, w^{\prime}, u^{\prime}\right)$ after $k \in \mathbb{N}$ steps.
- $(q, w, u) \xrightarrow{M^{*}}\left(q^{\prime}, w^{\prime}, u^{\prime}\right)$ : Configuration $(q, w, u)$ yields configuration $\left(q^{\prime}, w^{\prime}, u^{\prime}\right)$.


## Palindromes ${ }^{\text {a }}$

- A string is a palindrome if it reads the same forwards and backwards (e.g., 001100).
- A TM program can be written to recognize palindromes:
- It matches the first character with the last character.
- It matches the second character with the next to last character, etc. (see next page).
- "yes" for palindromes and "no" for nonpalindromes.
- This program takes $O\left(n^{2}\right)$ steps.
- Can we do better?
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## A Matching Lower Bound for Palindrome

Theorem 1 (Hennie, 1965) palindrome on single-string TMs takes $\Omega\left(n^{2}\right)$ steps in the worst case.

## Comments on Lower-Bound Proofs

- They are usually difficult.
- Worthy of a Ph.D. degree.
- An algorithm whose running time matches a lower bound means it is optimal.
- The simple $O\left(n^{2}\right)$ algorithm for Palindrome is optimal.
- This happens rarely and is model dependent.
- Searching, sorting, PALINDROME, matrix-vector multiplication, etc.


[^0]:    a WWW. csie.ntu.edu.tw/~1 yuu/dm.html

[^1]:    ${ }^{\text {a }}$ Lawrence H. Summers, "[A] science concentrator [...] said that in his eighth semester of [Harvard] college, there was not a single science professor who could identify him by name." (New York Times, September 3, 2003.)

[^2]:    aThe prefix "super" means "above, beyond."

[^3]:    asize of depth-3 circuits to compute the majority function (Wolfovitz, 2006) and certain stochastic models used in finance (Dai (R86526008, D8852600) \& Lyuu, 2007; Lyuu \& Wang (F95922018), 2011; Chiu (R98723059), 2012).

[^4]:    ${ }^{\text {a }}$ Nick Lane (2005), Power, Sex, Suicide: Mitochondria and the Meaning of Life.

[^5]:    ${ }^{\text {a }}$ Contributed by Mr. Hung-Jr Shiu (D00921020) on September 14, 2011.

[^6]:    ${ }^{\text {a }}$ Goldblatt (1979).

[^7]:    ${ }^{a}$ Muhammad ibn Mūsā Al-Khwārizmī (780-850).

[^8]:    ${ }^{\text {a }}$ Turing (1936); Post (1936).
    ${ }^{\text {b }}$ Turing (1936), "If we admitted an infinity of states of mind, some of them will be 'arbitrarily close' and will be confused." In any case, every physical device (lens, microscope, sensor, etc.) has limited resolving power. Thanks to a lively discussion on February 21, 2019.
    ${ }^{\text {c }}$ Two special symbols as we will see.

[^9]:    ${ }^{\text {a }}$ So there should be at most one instruction for every possible pair ( $q, \sigma$ ). Contributed by Mr. Ya-Hsun Chang (B96902025, R00922044) on September 13, 2011.

[^10]:    ${ }^{\text {a }}$ Thanks to a lively discussion on September 20, 2006.

[^11]:    ${ }^{\text {a }}$ Bryson (2001), "Possibly the most demanding form of wordplay in English[.]"

