## Computing Theory

Midterm Examination on April 11, 2019
Spring Semester, 2019
Problem 1 (25 points) Define a single-string bidirectional Turing machine to be a single-string Turing machine which has infinite tapes in both directions (left and right). The computation is similar to an ordinary single-string Turing machine except that the cursor never encounters an end to the tape as it moves left. The tapes of a single-string bidirectional Turing machine is illustrated below.

|  |  |  |  | $\mathbf{c}$ | $\mathbf{o}$ | $\mathbf{m}$ | $\mathbf{p}$ | $\mathbf{l}$ | $\mathbf{e}$ | $\mathbf{x}$ | $\mathbf{i}$ | $\mathbf{t}$ | $\mathbf{y}$ |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |

The tapes of an ordinary single-string Turing machine is illustrated below.

| $\triangleright$ | $\mathbf{c}$ | $\mathbf{o}$ | $\mathbf{m}$ | $\mathbf{p}$ | $\mathbf{l}$ | $\mathbf{e}$ | $\mathbf{x}$ | $\mathbf{i}$ | $\mathbf{t}$ | $\mathbf{y}$ |  |  |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |

Sketch how given any single-string bidirectional Turing machine $M$ operating within time $f(n)$, there exists an ordinary single-string Turing machine $M^{\prime}$ operating within time $O(f(n))$ such that $M(x)=M^{\prime}(x)$ for any input $x$. (Remember to analyze the complexity.)

Proof: The construction of $M^{\prime}$ to simulate $M$ is illustrated as below.

|  |  |  |  | $\mathbf{c}$ | $\mathbf{o}$ | $\mathbf{m}$ | $\mathbf{p}$ | $\mathbf{l}$ | $\mathbf{e}$ | $\mathbf{x}$ | $\mathbf{i}$ | $\mathbf{t}$ | $\mathbf{y}$ |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |



| $\mathbf{e}$ | $\mathbf{x}$ | $\mathbf{i}$ | $\mathbf{t}$ | $\mathbf{y}$ |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\mathbf{l}$ | $\mathbf{p}$ | $\mathbf{m}$ | $\mathbf{o}$ | $\mathbf{c}$ |  |  |  |  |



| $\triangleright$ | $\mathbf{e}$ | $\mathbf{x}$ | $\mathbf{i}$ | $\mathbf{t}$ | $\mathbf{y}$ |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\triangleright$ | $\mathbf{l}$ | $\mathbf{p}$ | $\mathbf{m}$ | $\mathbf{o}$ | $\mathbf{c}$ |  |  |  |  |

Construct $M^{\prime}$ by "folding" the tapes of $M$ at an arbitrary location, say the input string's left border (and assume the cursor starts at the first symbol of the string, without loss of generality). If the symbol set of $M$ is $\Sigma$, then the symbol set of $M^{\prime}$ contains $\Sigma^{2}$. We will work on the program of $M$ to obtain the desired program for $M^{\prime}$. Assume, without loss of generality, that the cursor of $M^{\prime}$ starts at the first symbol of the input string. To implement the two-way tape on a standard one-way tape, strings on the tape of $M$ will be interpreted as a folded string: The string selected from the top symbols refers to the string of $M$ to the right of the "fold", whereas the string selected from the bottom symbols refers to the string of $M$ to the left of the "fold" but in a reverse order. See the above illustration. If $M$ works to the right of the "fold", $M^{\prime}$ will work on the top symbols and follow the cursor instruction of $M$. If $M$ moves to the left of the "fold", then $M^{\prime}$ will use the bottom symbols and change left movements into right movements.

The more formal construction of $M^{\prime}$ is described as follows. Modify the original program of $M(K, \Sigma, \delta, s)$ to obtain the new machine $M^{\prime}\left(K^{\prime}, \Sigma^{\prime}, \delta^{\prime}, s^{\prime}\right)$, where $K^{\prime}$ includes $\{(q, i)$ : $q \in K, i \in\{t, b\}\}$, where $t$ and $b$ represent the modes of $M^{\prime}$ (the top and bottom modes), and $\Sigma^{\prime}$ includes $\left\{\left(\sigma_{1}, \sigma_{2}\right): \sigma_{1}, \sigma_{2} \in \Sigma\right\}$. For every instruction $\delta(q, \sigma)=(p, \rho, D)$ of $M, M^{\prime}$ will have the following instructions:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \delta^{\prime}((q, t),(\sigma, x))=((p, t),(\rho, x), D) \text { for all } x \in \Sigma \\
& \delta^{\prime}((q, b),(x, \sigma))=\left((p, b),(x, \rho), D^{\prime}\right) \text { for all } x \in \Sigma, \text { where } D^{\prime}= \begin{cases}-, & \text { if } D=- \\
\leftarrow, & \text { if } D=\rightarrow \\
\rightarrow, & \text { if } D=\leftarrow\end{cases}
\end{aligned}
$$

Also, $M^{\prime}$ has the following instructions to reverse directions:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \delta^{\prime}((q, t),(\triangleright, \triangleright))=((q, b),(\triangleright, \triangleright), \rightarrow) \text { for all } q \in K . \\
& \delta^{\prime}((q, b),(\triangleright, \triangleright))=((q, t),(\triangleright, \triangleright), \rightarrow) \text { for all } q \in K .
\end{aligned}
$$

The input $x^{\prime}=\left(x_{1}^{\prime}, x_{2}^{\prime}, \ldots, x_{n}^{\prime}\right)$ to $M^{\prime}$ is the same as $M$ 's input $x=\left(x_{1}, x_{2}, \ldots, x_{n}\right)$ except that $x_{i}^{\prime}=\left(x_{i}, \bigsqcup\right)$ and $(\triangleright, \triangleright)$ is the first symbol.
$M^{\prime}$ takes at most 2 steps to simulate each step of $M$ in the above (maybe less than complete) formulation. As there are $f(n)$ steps of $M, M^{\prime}$ operates within time $O(f(n))$.

Problem 2 (25 points) Define the language

$$
H_{\epsilon}=\{M \mid M \text { halts on the empty string } \epsilon .\} \text {. }
$$

Prove that $H_{\epsilon}$ is undecidable by reducing the halting problem to it. (Do not use Rice's theorem.)

Proof: Given the question " $M ; x \in H$ ?", we construct the following machine:

$$
M_{x}(y): M(x) .
$$

Clearly, $M$ halts on $x$ if and only if $M_{x}$ halts on $\epsilon$. In other words, $M ; x \in H$ if and only if $M_{x} \in H_{\epsilon}$. So if $H_{\epsilon}$ were recursive, $H$ would be recursive, a contradiction.

Problem 3 (25 points) Prove that the language

$$
\begin{aligned}
& k \text {-REACHABILITY }=\{(G, a, b, k) \mid G \text { is a directed graph where there exists a path of } \\
&\text { length at most } k \text { from node } a \text { to } b .\}
\end{aligned}
$$

is in NL $=\operatorname{NSPACE}(\log n)$.
Proof: The nondeterministic algorithm of $k$-REACHABILITY works as follows. Start at node $a$ and repeatly and nondeterministically select the next node from the current node for up to $k$ steps. If node $b$ is ever reached, accept the input. Otherwise, reject the input. The algorithm only needs to record the current node and the next node; hence it runs in nondeterministic logarithmic space.

Problem 4 (25 points) A NAND gate is a logic gate which produces an output "false" only if all its inputs are true. The truth table of NAND gate is illustrated as bellow.

| A | B | A NAND B |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 0 | 0 | 1 |
| 0 | 1 | 1 |
| 1 | 0 | 1 |
| 1 | 1 | 0 |

Define a NAND Boolean circuit to be a Boolean circuit which contains only NAND gates. The problem NAND CIRCUIT VALUE asks, given an NAND Boolean circuit and a truth assignment to the input, what is the value of the output? Prove that NAND CIRCUIT VALUE is P-complete.

Proof: It is clear that NAND CIRCUIT VALUE is in P. For any Boolean circuit, NOT, AND, and OR gates can be replaced by following rules.

$$
\begin{aligned}
\text { NOT } x & =x \text { NAND } x . \\
x \text { AND } y & =(x \text { NAND } y) \text { NAND }(x \text { NAND } y) . \\
x \text { OR } y & =(x \text { NAND } x) \text { NAND }(y \text { NAND } y) .
\end{aligned}
$$

We can transform any Boolean circuit into a NAND Boolean circuit by the above local substitution. Thus we can reduce the problem CIRCUIT VALUE into NAND CIRCUIT VALUE. Since CIRCUIT VALUE is P-complete, NAND CIRCUIT VALUE is also P -complete.

