Theory of Computation

Final Exam, 2015 Fall Semester, 1/12/2016

Note: Unless stated otherwise, you may use any results proved in class.

Problem 1 (25 points) Reduce 3SAT to INTEGER PROGRAMMING.

Ans: Let the variables in the 3SAT formula be $x_1, x_2, ..., x_n$. We will have corresponding variables $z_1, z_2, ..., z_n$ in our integer program. First, we restrict each variable z_i such that

$$0 \le z_i \le 1$$
, for all i .

Assigning $z_i = 1$ in the integer program represents setting $x_i =$ true in the 3SAT formula, and assigning $z_i = 0$ represents setting $x_i =$ false. For each clause such as $(x_1 \vee \overline{x_2} \vee x_3)$, we can rewrite it as the integer program:

$$z_1 + (1 - z_2) + z_3 > 0.$$

To satisfy this inequality, we must either set $z_1 = 1$ or $z_2 = 0$ or $z_3 = 1$, which means we either set $x_1 =$ true or $x_2 =$ false or $x_3 =$ true in the corresponding truth assignment. Assigning true/false to every x_i in all clauses, we then will have a set of input of INTEGER PROGRAMMING that is equivalent to the given set of input to 3SAT.

Problem 2 (25 points) For the Diffie-Hellman Secret-Key Agreement Protocol, Alice and Bob agree on a large prime p and a primivite root g of p (where p and g are public). Alice chooses a random a and Bob also chooses a random b.

- 1. (10 points) What are the values of α, β and the common key?
- 2. (15 points) For p = 11, g = 2, a = 4 and b = 5, what are the values of α, β and the common key?

Ę

Ans:

1. The values of α and β are

$$\alpha \equiv g^a \pmod{p},$$

$$\beta \equiv g^b \pmod{p},$$

and the common key is

$$\alpha^b \equiv g^{ab} \equiv g^{ba} \equiv \beta^a \pmod{p}.$$

2. For p = 11, g = 2, a = 4 and b = 5, the values of α and β are

$$\alpha \equiv 2^4 \equiv 5 \pmod{11},$$

$$\beta \equiv 2^5 \equiv 10 \pmod{11},$$

and the common key is

$$\alpha^b \equiv 2^{4 \times 5} \equiv \beta^a \equiv 1 \pmod{11}.$$

Problem 3 (25 points) Prove that $NP \subseteq ZPP$, then $NP \subseteq BPP$.

Ans: Assume NP \subseteq ZPP. Pick any NP-complete language L. We only need to show that $L \in$ BPP. There exists an algorithm A that decides L in expected polynomial time, say p(n). By Markov's inequality, the probability that the running time of A exceeds 3p(n) is at most 1/3. Run A for 3p(n) steps to determine with probability at least 1 - 1/3 = 2/3 whether the input belongs in L. We therefore obtain a polynomial-time algorithm for L which errs with probability at most 1/3 on each input. Hence L is in BPP.

Problem 4 (25 points) Let G = (V, E) be an undirected graph in which every node has a degree of at most k. Let I be a nonempty set. I is said to be independent if there is no edge between any two nodes in I. k-DEGREE INDEPENDENT SET asks if there is an independent set of size k. Consider the following algorithm for k-DEGREE INDEPENDENT SET:

```
1: I := \emptyset;

2: while \exists v \in G do

3: Add v to I;

4: Delete v and all of its adjacent nodes from G;
```

```
5: end while;
```

6: return I;

Show that this algorithm for k-DEGREE INDEPENDENT SET is a $\frac{k}{k+1}$ -approximation algorithm. Recall that an ϵ -approximation algorithm returns a solution that is at least $(1 - \epsilon)$ times the optimum for maximization problems.

Ans: Since each stage of the algorithm adds a node to I and deletes at most k + 1 nodes from G, I has at least $\frac{|V|}{k+1}$ nodes, which is at least $\frac{1}{k+1}$ times the size of the optimum independent set because the size of the optimum independent set is trivially at most |V|. Thus this algorithm returns solutions that are never smaller than $1 - \frac{1}{k+1} = \frac{k}{k+1}$ times the optimum.

Problem 5 (25 points) A cut in an undirected graph G = (V, E) is a partition of the nodes into two nonempty sets S and V-S. MAX BISECTION asks if there is a cut of size at least K such that |S| = |V - S|. It is known that MAX BISECTION is NP-complete. BISECTION WIDTH asks if there is a bisection of size at most K such that |S| = |V - S|. Show that BISECTION WIDTH is NP-complete. You do not need to show it is in NP.

Ans: See pp. 392–393 in the slides.

Problem 6 (25 points) Is $x^4 \equiv 25 \mod 1013$ solvable and why?

Ans:

Let's first notice that 1013 is a prime. Since 25 has square roots ± 5 , we need to check if any of the Legendre symbols $\left(\frac{5}{1013}\right)$ or $\left(\frac{-5}{1013}\right)$ is 1. We have

$$\left(\frac{5}{1013}\right) = \left(\frac{1013}{5}\right) = \left(\frac{3}{5}\right) = -1$$

and

$$\left(\frac{-5}{1013}\right) = \left(\frac{-1}{1013}\right) \left(\frac{5}{1013}\right) = (-1)^{\frac{1013-1}{2}} \left(\frac{5}{1013}\right) = \left(\frac{5}{1013}\right) = -1$$

so 25 is not a quadratic residue modulo 1013 and cannot be a solution to $x^4 \equiv 25 \mod 1013$.

Problem 7 (25 points) Let $n \in \mathbb{Z}^+$ with $n \geq 2$. Let $\phi(n)$ stand for Euler's totient function, which counts the number of positive integers smaller than n and are relative prime to n.

1. (5 points) Determine $\phi(2^n)$.

- 2. (10 points) Determine $\phi(\phi(2^n))$.
- 3. (10 points) Determine $\phi((2p)^n)$ where p is an odd prime.

Ans:

- 1. $\phi(2^n) = 2^n 2^{n-1} = 2^{n-1}(2-1) = 2^{n-1}$.
- 2. $\phi(\phi(2^n)) = \phi(2^{n-1}) = 2^{n-1} 2^{n-2} = 2^{n-2}(2-1) = 2^{n-2}$.

3.
$$\phi((2p)^n) = \phi(2^n p^n) = \phi(2^n) \phi(p^n) = 2^{n-1}(p^n - p^{n-1}) = 2^{n-1}p^{n-1}(p-1).$$