

Homework #6

RELEASE DATE: 11/29/2012

DUE DATE: 12/13/2012, BEFORE THE END OF CLASS

QUESTIONS ABOUT HOMEWORK MATERIALS ARE WELCOMED ON THE FORUM.

Unless granted by the instructor in advance, you must turn in a printed/written copy of your solutions (without the source code) for all problems. For problems marked with (), please follow the guidelines on the course website and upload your source code to designated places.*

Any form of cheating, lying, or plagiarism will not be tolerated. Students can get zero scores and/or fail the class and/or be kicked out of school and/or receive other punishments for those kinds of misconducts.

Discussions on course materials and homework solutions are encouraged. But you should write the final solutions alone and understand them fully. Books, notes, and Internet resources can be consulted, but not copied from.

Since everyone needs to write the final solutions alone, there is absolutely no need to lend your homework solutions and/or source codes to your classmates at any time. In order to maximize the level of fairness in this class, lending and borrowing homework solutions are both regarded as dishonest behaviors and will be punished according to the honesty policy.

You should write your solutions in English with the common math notations introduced in class or in the problems. We do not accept solutions written in any other languages.

6.1 Transforms: Explicit versus Implicit

Consider the following training set:

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbf{x}_1 = (1, 0), y_1 = -1 & \quad \mathbf{x}_2 = (0, 1), y_2 = -1 & \quad \mathbf{x}_3 = (0, -1), y_3 = -1 \\ \mathbf{x}_4 = (-1, 0), y_4 = +1 & \quad \mathbf{x}_5 = (0, 2), y_5 = +1 & \quad \mathbf{x}_6 = (0, -2), y_6 = +1 \\ & \quad \mathbf{x}_7 = (-2, 0), y_7 = +1 \end{aligned}$$

- (1) Use following nonlinear transformation of the input vector \mathbf{x} to the transformed vector $\mathbf{z} = (\phi_1(\mathbf{x}), \phi_2(\mathbf{x}))$:

$$\phi_1(\mathbf{x}) = (\mathbf{x}[2])^2 - 2\mathbf{x}[1] - 1 \quad \phi_2(\mathbf{x}) = (\mathbf{x}[1])^2 - 2\mathbf{x}[2] + 4$$

- (a) (10%) Write down the equation of the optimal separating “hyperplane” in the \mathcal{Z} space. Then, plot the transformed training points in the \mathcal{Z} space as well as the boundary between the +1 and -1 regions, and mark the on-the-boundary vectors (the potential support vectors).
- (b) (10%) Write down the equation of the corresponding nonlinear curve in the \mathcal{X} space. Then, plot the original training points on the \mathcal{X} plane as well as the boundary between the +1 and -1 regions, and mark the on-the-boundary vectors (the potential support vectors).
- (2) Consider the same training set, but instead of explicitly transforming the input space \mathcal{X} , apply the (hard-margin) SVM algorithm with the kernel function

$$K(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{x}') = (3 + \mathbf{x}^T \mathbf{x}')^2,$$

which corresponds to a second-order polynomial transformation.

- (a) (10%) Set up the optimization problem using $(\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_7)$ and numerically solve for them (you can use any package you want). What is the optimal $\boldsymbol{\alpha}$?
- (b) (10%) Write down the equation of the corresponding nonlinear curve in the \mathcal{X} space. Then, plot the original training points on the \mathcal{X} plane as well as the boundary between the +1 and -1 regions, and mark the on-the-boundary vectors (the potential support vectors).
- (3) (10%) Should the two nonlinear curves (and potential support vectors) found in (1) and (2) be the same? Why or why not? Make a comparison and briefly describe your findings.

6.2 A Leave-One-Out Bound of Support Vector Machine

Consider the soft-margin SVM

$$(D) \quad \min_{\alpha} \quad E_N(\alpha) = \frac{1}{2} \sum_{n=1}^N \sum_{m=1}^N \alpha_n \alpha_m y_n y_m K(\mathbf{x}_n, \mathbf{x}_m) - \sum_{n=1}^N \alpha_n$$

subject to

$$\sum_{n=1}^N y_n \alpha_n = 0$$

$$0 \leq \alpha_n \leq C$$

and a soft-margin SVM without the N -th example

$$(D_{-N}) \quad \min_{\beta} \quad E_{N-1}(\beta) = \frac{1}{2} \sum_{n=1}^{N-1} \sum_{m=1}^{N-1} \beta_n \beta_m y_n y_m K(\mathbf{x}_n, \mathbf{x}_m) - \sum_{n=1}^{N-1} \beta_n$$

subject to

$$\sum_{n=1}^{N-1} y_n \beta_n = 0$$

$$0 \leq \beta_n \leq C$$

- (1) (10%) Assume that α^* is an optimal solution for (D) with $\alpha_N^* = 0$. Let $\hat{\beta} = (\alpha_1^*, \alpha_2^*, \dots, \alpha_{N-1}^*)$. Argue that $\hat{\beta}$ is a feasible vector for (D_{-N}) . That is, check that $\hat{\beta}$ satisfies all constraints of (D_{-N}) .
- (2) (10%) Assume that β^* is an optimal solution for (D_{-N}) . That is, $E_{N-1}(\beta) \geq E_{N-1}(\beta^*)$ for all feasible vectors β . Prove that the $\hat{\beta}$ above satisfies

$$E_{N-1}(\hat{\beta}) = E_{N-1}(\beta^*).$$

In other words, $\hat{\beta}$ is also optimal for (D_{-N}) .

- (3) (10%) Recall that $\#SV = (\# \text{ of nonzero } \alpha_n^*)$. With the results in (1) and (2), prove that the leave-one-out cross-validation error of SVM is upper bounded by the percentage of support vectors. You can use the fact that

$$\alpha_n^* = 0 \implies y_n \left((\mathbf{w}^*)^T \phi(\mathbf{x}_n) + b^* \right) \geq 1.$$

- (4) (10%) Let $\mathcal{D}_{SV} = \{(\mathbf{x}_n, y_n) \text{ such that } \alpha_n^* > 0 \text{ for } (D)\}$. Use what you have done in (1) and (2) to briefly argue that learning an SVM using \mathcal{D}_{SV} is equivalent to learning an SVM using the full \mathcal{D} .

6.3 Dual Problem of L1-Loss Soft-Margin Support Vector Machines with Weights and Relative Margins

In class, we taught the soft-margin support vector machine as follows.

$$(P_1) \quad \min_{\mathbf{w}, b, \xi} \quad \frac{1}{2} \mathbf{w}^T \mathbf{w} + C \sum_{n=1}^N \xi_n$$

s.t.

$$y_n (\mathbf{w}^T \mathbf{x}_n + b) \geq 1 - \xi_n$$

$$\xi_n \geq 0.$$

The support vector machine is a special case of the more general soft-margin formulation below. In the more general formulation, each example is associated with its own Δ_n , which represents the desired (un-normalized) margin instead of 1; each example is also associated with its own C_n , which represents the rate of paying its penalty. The formulation is

$$(P) \quad \min_{\mathbf{w}, b, \xi} \quad \frac{1}{2} \mathbf{w}^T \mathbf{w} + \sum_{n=1}^N C_n \xi_n$$

s.t.

$$y_n (\mathbf{w}^T \mathbf{x}_n + b) \geq \Delta_n - \xi_n \quad (a)$$

$$\xi_n \geq 0 \quad (b).$$

In this problem, we derive the dual of the formulation.

- (1) (10%) Let α_n be the Lagrange multipliers for the n -th constraint of (a), and γ_n be the Lagrange multiplier for the n -th constraint of (b). Following the derivation of the dual SVM in class, write down (P) as an equivalent optimization problem

$$\min_{(b, \mathbf{w}, \boldsymbol{\xi})} \max_{\alpha_n \geq 0, \gamma_n \geq 0} \mathcal{L}((b, \mathbf{w}, \boldsymbol{\xi}), (\boldsymbol{\alpha}, \boldsymbol{\gamma})).$$

What is $\mathcal{L}((b, \mathbf{w}, \boldsymbol{\xi}), (\boldsymbol{\alpha}, \boldsymbol{\gamma}))$?

- (2) (10%) Using (assuming) strong duality, the solution to (P) would be the same as the Lagrange dual problem

$$\max_{\alpha_n \geq 0, \gamma_n \geq 0} \min_{(b, \mathbf{w}, \boldsymbol{\xi})} \mathcal{L}((b, \mathbf{w}, \boldsymbol{\xi}), (\boldsymbol{\alpha}, \boldsymbol{\gamma})).$$

Use the KKT conditions to simplify the Lagrange dual problem, and obtain a dual problem that involves only α_n .

6.4 Operation of Kernels

- (1) (10%) Do Exercise 8.12(a) of LFD Draft
- (2) (10%) Do Exercise 8.12(b) of LFD Draft
- (3) (10%) Let $K_1(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{x}') = \phi_1(\mathbf{x})^T \phi_1(\mathbf{x}')$ be a kernel function with its value within $[0, 1]$. Consider a kernel function $K(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{x}') = \frac{1}{1 - K_1(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{x}'})$. Prove that K is a valid kernel by deriving a transform function $\phi(\mathbf{x})$ such that

$$K(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{x}') = \phi(\mathbf{x})^T \phi(\mathbf{x}').$$

6.5 Large-Margin Perceptron Classification (*)

- (1) (15%) Implement the perceptron learning algorithm in Problem 1.6. Run the algorithm on the following data set for training (until E_{in} reaches 0):

http://www.csie.ntu.edu.tw/~htlin/course/ml12fall/hw6/hw6_5_train.dat

and the following set for testing

http://www.csie.ntu.edu.tw/~htlin/course/ml12fall/hw6/hw6_5_test.dat

Let $g(\mathbf{x}) = \text{sign}(\mathbf{w}^T \mathbf{x} + b)$ with (b, \mathbf{w}) coming from PLA. Record the following two items:

- the margin of the hyperplane
- the out-of-sample error E_{out} of g

Repeat the experiment over 100 runs. Plot a histogram of the margin and another histogram of the out-of-sample error. Briefly state your findings.

- (2) (15%) Implement the large-margin perceptron (linear hard-margin SVM) formulation below:

$$\begin{aligned} \min_{\mathbf{w}, b} \quad & \frac{1}{2} \mathbf{w}^T \mathbf{w} \\ \text{subject to} \quad & y_n (\mathbf{w}^T \mathbf{x}_n + b) \geq 1 \text{ for } n = 1, 2, \dots, N. \end{aligned}$$

Run the algorithm on the following data set for training:

http://www.csie.ntu.edu.tw/~htlin/course/ml12fall/hw6/hw6_5_train.dat

and the following set for testing

http://www.csie.ntu.edu.tw/~htlin/course/ml12fall/hw6/hw6_5_test.dat

Let $g(\mathbf{x}) = \text{sign}(\mathbf{w}^T \mathbf{x} + b)$ with (b, \mathbf{w}) coming from SVM. Record the following two items:

- the margin of the hyperplane
- the out-of-sample error E_{out} of g

Compare the numbers with the histograms that you get from PLA. Briefly state your findings.

(Note: You can use any general-purpose packages for quadratic programming to solve this problem, but you **cannot** use any SVM-specific packages.)

6.6 Experiments with Nonlinear Support Vector Machine (*)

Write a program to implement the nonlinear soft-margin Support Vector Machine by solving

$$\begin{aligned} \min_{\alpha} \quad & \frac{1}{2} \sum_{i=1}^N \sum_{j=1}^N \alpha_i \alpha_j y_i y_j K(\mathbf{x}_i, \mathbf{x}_j) - \sum_{i=1}^N \alpha_i \\ \text{s.t.} \quad & \sum_{i=1}^N y_i \alpha_i = 0 \\ & 0 \leq \alpha_i \leq C \end{aligned}$$

- (1) (15%) Use the following set for training:

http://www.csie.ntu.edu.tw/~htlin/course/ml10fall/data/hw6_6_train.dat

Consider the polynomial kernel $(1 + \mathbf{x}^T \mathbf{x}')^d$ with $d = 3, 6, 9$, and $C = 0.001, 1, 1000$. For each (d, C) combination, show $E_{\text{in}}, E_{\text{cv}}$ with 5-fold cross validation, and $\frac{\#SV}{N}$ (an upper-bound of leave-one-out cross validation error). Briefly describe your findings.

- (2) (15%) Use the following set for training:

http://www.csie.ntu.edu.tw/~htlin/course/ml10fall/data/hw6_6_train.dat

Consider the Gaussian-RBF kernel $\exp\left(\frac{-\|\mathbf{x} - \mathbf{x}'\|^2}{2\sigma^2}\right)$ with $\sigma = 0.125, 0.5, 2$ and $C = 0.001, 1, 1000$. For each (σ, C) combination, show $E_{\text{in}}, E_{\text{cv}}$ with 5-fold cross validation, and $\frac{\#SV}{N}$ (an upper-bound of leave-one-out cross validation error). Briefly describe your findings.

(Note: For this problem, you CAN use any package you want. A recommended choice is LIBSVM developed by Prof. Chih-Jen Lin in our department)

6.7 Kernel Scaling and Shifting

For a valid kernel K , consider a new kernel

$$\tilde{K}(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{x}') = pK(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{x}') + q$$

for some positive p and q . It is not difficult to see that \tilde{K} is also a valid kernel.

- (1) (Bonus 5%) Argue that for the dual of hard-margin SVM, using \tilde{K} instead of K leads to an equivalent solution. You need to show the detailed equivalence.
- (2) (Bonus 5%) Argue that for the dual of soft-margin SVM, using \tilde{K} along with some new \tilde{C} instead of K with some original C leads to an equivalent solution. What is the relation between \tilde{C} and C ?